

DOI: 10.1111/j.1461-9563.2011.00558.x

Evidence of interaction between *Sirex noctilio* and other species inhabiting the bole of *Pinus*

Kathleen Ryan, Peter de Groot*† and Sandy M. Smith

Faculty of Forestry, University of Toronto, 33 Willcocks Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 3B3, Canada and *Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste Marie, Ontario, P6A 2E5, Canada

- **Abstract** 1 *Sirex noctilio* is a woodwasp native to Eurasia and Northern Africa and has recently been found infesting pines in eastern North America. Its pest status in this new range is not yet known, although it is an important pest in other areas where it has been introduced. *Pinus* spp. in North America are hosts to several native and alien species of subcortical insects. Interactions between the woodwasp and these species may influence its distribution or the characteristics of its life history, thus affecting its population dynamics over time.
 - 2 Sixty *S. noctilio*-infested *Pinus* spp. were felled in Ontario, Canada, and all phloemfeeding and woodboring insects were collected and identified from each 1-m section of the tree.
 - 3 *Sirex noctilio* was in a tree alone 10% of the time but commonly shared the tree with subcortical beetles, such as *Tomicus piniperda*, *Pissodes nemorensis*, *Ips grandicollis*, *Gnathotrichus materiarius* and *Monochamus carolinensis*. The woodwasp was distributed throughout the tree stem and this distribution overlapped with that of the beetles.
 - 4 Fewer but larger *S. noctilio* males emerged from trees with beetles compared with those without, although there was no statistical difference in females.
 - 5 These findings suggest that co-habiting beetles could negatively affect *S. noctilio* population dynamics over time. The potential mechanisms for this interaction are discussed.

Keywords Bark beetles, distribution, interaction, *Sirex noctilio*, woodboring beetles.

Introduction

The woodwasp *Sirex noctilio* Fabricius was recently detected in eastern North America (Hoebeke *et al.*, 2005; de Groot *et al.*, 2006). In its native range in Eurasia and North Africa, it is a secondary pest of *Pinus* spp. (Spradbery & Kirk, 1978) and is of little economic or ecological concern. Before its discovery in North America, the wasp had established in parts of the southern hemisphere (Ciesla, 2003), where, at times, it caused extensive tree mortality in plantations of introduced pines (Neumann & Minko, 1981). The extent to which this species will become a problem in North America has yet to be determined (Dodds *et al.*, 2010; but see also Yemshanov *et al.*, 2009).

Pinus spp. in Canada and the U.S.A. host in excess of 1100 species of insects, with approximately 260 species inhabiting

Correspondence: Kathleen Ryan. Fax: +1 416 978 3834; e-mail: kathleen.ryan@utoronto.ca

[†]Deceased

the bole (de Groot & Turgeon, 1998). Thus, the woodwasp is expected to share its host tree with other phloem-feeding and woodboring insects, although the degree to which interactions with them could influence S. noctilio survival and development, and subsequently its population size, remains unknown. We hypothesized that these interactions help to limit its impact in Eurasia where there is a well-developed community of insects sharing the tree with the wasp (Wermelinger et al., 2008), in contrast to its introduced range in the southern hemisphere where Pinus spp. are all exotic and therefore host fewer insect species. There are many Curculionidae and Cerambycidae that colonize declining and newly-dead Pinus spp. trees in eastern North America. Insects such as the introduced bark beetle Tomicus piniperda (L.) prefer pine hosts with similar characteristics to that favoured by S. noctilio (Schroeder, 1987; Paine et al., 1997). Other beetles, such as Monochamus spp. and *Pissodes* spp., are also expected to share host trees with the woodwasp (USDA, 1985). Therefore, there is a high likelihood for interactions to occur between these beetles and the woodwasp, either directly via predation (Dodds *et al.*, 2001) or indirectly via the fungal associates of one or both species.

Sirex noctilio has a complex biology and this may influence the way in which indirect interactions with other subcortical insects could occur. The woodwasp has an obligate relationship with its fungal symbiont Amylostereum areolatum (Fr.) Boidin (Gaut, 1969). After oviposition by S. noctilio into the sapwood, females deposit the fungus as well as a phytotoxic mucus in an adjacent drill tunnel (Coutts & Dolezal, 1969). When the growth of A. areolatum is inhibited, woodwasp development is impeded (Coutts & Dolezal, 1965; King, 1966; Madden, 1981). Most of the woodboring beetle species found in North America that are expected to share the tree with S. noctilio are known vectors of ophiostomatoid (blue stain) fungi (Nevill & Alexander, 1992; Hausner et al., 2005). These beetle-associated fungi are primary colonists of dying or recently dead trees (Kirisits, 2004) and such pioneer species are characteristically fast-growing and effective at exploiting a new resource (Boddy, 2000). Amylostereum areolatum is a weak competitor compared with some of these fungi and cannot establish in sapwood that is already colonized by these species (Ryan et al., 2011). Therefore, the woodwasp must compete with woodboring beetles for an ephemeral resource for its symbiont and this exploitative competition for substrate could act as a bottom-up factor influencing S. noctilio populations.

Evidence of interspecific interactions between *S. noctilio* and woodboring beetles could include inter-tree partitioning, altered within-tree distribution or different patterns in *S. noctilio* lifehistory traits (e.g. abundance, body size). Spatial partitioning of species in standing host trees is well documented in bark beetle communities where tree colonization by two or more beetle species affects distribution on the bole (Paine *et al.*, 1981; Schlyter & Anderbrant, 1993; Ayres *et al.*, 2001). The outcome of interspecific competition in these bark beetle communities can be a lower offspring body mass (Schlyter & Anderbrant, 1993; Amezaga & Garbisu 2000) or fewer progeny (Rankin & Borden, 1991; Schlyter & Anderbrant, 1993). An altered or biased sex ratio could also occur (Andersen, 1961).

The present study aimed to: (i) examine whether other woodboring insects are associated with *S. noctilio*-infested trees and, if so, how frequently; (ii) establish and compare the within-tree distribution of *S. noctilio* with or without other woodborers; and (iii) determine whether life-history traits (i.e. abundance, size and sex ratio) of *S. noctilio* would be affected by the presence of other woodborers.

Materials and methods

To meet these objectives, 60 *S. noctilio*-infested *Pinus* spp. from 17 stands located in southern and central Ontario, Canada, were felled in the early spring, before woodwasp emergence: 22 trees in May 2007 and 38 in May 2008. These forests were selected because *S. noctilio* females had been caught in survey traps within the preceding 2 years. In each stand, the entire bole of trees that had died since the onset of the previous year's *S. noctilio* flight season (i.e. those with red or brown foliage) was examined with binoculars to detect symptoms of *S. noctilio* attack (i.e. resin beads or drips resulting from

Table 1 Mean \pm SE characteristics of *Pinus* spp. felled in 2007 and2008 from stands located in Ontario, Canada, for study of *Sirex noctilio*and subcortical beetle emergence

	2007		2008	
Tree char- acteristic	Pinus banksiana (n = 5 trees)	Pinus sylvestris (n = 17)	Pinus sylvestris (n = 34)	Pinus resinosa (n = 4)
Height (m) Diameter at breast height (cm)	12.28 (0.86) 12.58 (0.91)	12.9 (0.72) 13.78 (0.71)	10.73 (0.61) 14.08 (0.78)	11.83 (0.53) 14.43 (1.40)

oviposition activity) (Ryan, 2011). Only trees with at least five resin beads, and without woodwasp exit holes, were considered suitable for the present study. The number of trees selected per stand varied between one and nine, although the study objective was to collect a minimum of three trees per stand. Low numbers of infested trees dictated the tree selection in many of the forests sampled. A total of 51 *Pinus sylvestris* (17 in 2007, 34 in 2008), five *Pinus banksiana* and four *Pinus resinosa* were used in the present study. The location, species and other attributes of the selected trees are described in Ryan (2011). Mean attributes per tree species and year are provided in Table 1.

Trees were cut at the base (i.e. within 40 cm from ground), and the bole was sectioned into 1 m lengths (bolts) and numbered consecutively. Each bolt was stood apex side up in an individual cardboard cylinder $(36 \times 102 \text{ cm})$ with a tight-fitting, opaque plastic lid (Greif Lok-Rim Fibre drum, Greif Inc., Delaware, Ohio). A hole of approximately 9 cm in diameter was cut in the centre of each lid. A plastic cup (475 mL), with a small hole cut in its base, was inverted and glued over the 9-cm hole of the lid. A second, smaller, clear plastic cup (375 mL) was placed on top of the larger cup to collect emerging insects. A string with one end stapled to the apex of the bolt and the other glued outside the exit hole of the larger cup was installed in each cylinder to provide a means for insects to crawl out of the tube. Cylinders were stored upright in covered, unheated, translucent-sided sheds at Angus, Ontario (17T 589861 4908280).

Woodboring insects were removed regularly from each cylinder between May and October. The small plastic cups were emptied five times weekly between late June and early September, and three times a week otherwise. Each time, the bottom of cylinders was examined and insects that had not exited the cylinder were removed manually. Insects from each rearing cylinder were stored in a vial of 70% ethanol until they could be identified. The prothorax width of each woodwasp was measured and its sex was determined. Prothorax width was selected as a surrogate for woodwasp size because it showed good test, re-test reliability on preliminary testing. Bolts from trees collected in 2008 were left in rearing cylinders until October 2009 to assess whether some *S. noctilio* had a 2-year lifecycle in Ontario. Insects emerging in the second year were collected and processed as described above.

Siricids, curculionids and cerambycids were identified to species using keys and information available in Schiff *et al.* (2006), Bright (1976), Passoa and Cavey (2006), Downie and Arnett (1996) and Yanega (1996), along with supplementary

material provided by H. Goulet (unpublished data). Insects that required specialized taxonomic expertise (weevils and *Tetropium* spp.) were identified (or had identifications verified) by specialists in these taxa (D. Langor, Canadian Forest Service, Canada and S. LaPlante, Canadian National Collection of Insects, Agriculture & Agri-Foods Canada, Canada). Voucher specimens are deposited in the Forest Health insect collection maintained by the Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.

Statistical analysis

To account for differences in unit size, insect abundance data were standardized and expressed as the number of insects per unit of surface area (m²) of the bole (i.e. the area available for insect oviposition) using the equation for a tapered cylinder for between-tree analysis [surface area of sides = $\pi(r_1 + r_2) \times \sqrt{([r_1 - r_2]^2 + h^2)}$, where *r* is the radius and *h* is the height] or the equation for a cylinder for within-tree analysis [surface area = $2(\pi r^2) + 2(\pi r)h$]. Standardized emergence data from the first season after felling were used in all analyses. For intra-tree distribution analysis, trees were categorized into three arbitrary height classes (total tree height <10 m, 10–15 m and >15 m), and each group was analyzed separately.

Data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity before analysis, and were transformed using $\log_n + 1$. Nonparametric tests were used to analyze data that could not be adequately transformed. A two-sample pooled variance t-test was used to compare tree characteristics between trees with beetles and those without. An analysis of covariance (with tree diameter at breast height as a co-variate), or a Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare insect parameters at tree level, and repeated measures analysis of variance or a Wilcoxon test was utilized to compare insect parameters by bolt. In these models, only the lower four bolts of each tree were analyzed, except in the tallest height class when the lower eight bolts were used, because sample sizes decreased at higher bolt positions. Statistical correlation between S. noctilio size and abundance per tree was measured with Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. Analyses were conducted in SYSTAT (Systat Software Inc., 2007).

To assess whether interactions between S. noctilio and cohabiting species existed, the data were organized and processed as follows: (i) all bark and woodboring beetles, regardless of species, were pooled because the effects of the beetles on the woodwasp were all expected to be similar and indirect; (ii) using a natural break in the data to guide categorization, trees with less than 10 co-habiting specimens were grouped with those with no co-habitants and categorized as 'beetlenegative' and trees with ≥ 17 beetles were considered beetlepositive; (iii) all tree species were pooled to increase sample size as an initial analysis of P. sylvestris only showed similar patterns; (iv) trees 7–15.5 m in height (n = 45) were used because, within this subset, trees in each category did not differ in height ($t_{43} = 0.29$, P = 0.77) or diameter at breast height ($t_{43} = -1.78$, P = 0.08), limiting the effect of tree size on insect community (for details, see Results).

To assess for the occurrence of larval or pupal mortality in the presence of ophiostomatoid fungi (Morgan & Stewart, 1966b), a subset of bolts was dissected to look for evidence of this interaction. Eighteen *S. noctilio*-positive bolts (nine each for beetle-positive and beetle-negative) were selected. These bolts were sawed lengthwise into 1-cm wide planks and examined for dead larvae or pupae.

Results

A total of 15 986 phloem-feeding- and woodboring insects representing 43 species emerged from the bolts in the first year after felling (6586 insects from trees cut in 2007 and 9400 from those cut in 2008). A list of all species collected is available from Ryan (2011). Sirex noctilio was the most common insect collected (7018 specimens: 1783 females, 5235 males) and emerged from all 60 trees. Other major species included T. piniperda (n = 6242), Pissodes nemorensis Germar (n = 1111), Ips grandicollis (Eichoff) (n = 521), Gnathotrichus materiarius (Fitch) (n = 218) and Monochamus carolinensis (Olivier) (n = 191). Two other siricid species, Sirex nigricornis Fabricius (n = 204) and Sirex edwardsii Brullé (n = 20) emerged from S. noctilio-infested trees. The emergence of S. noctilio can spread over two seasons: a total of 152 specimens (72 females, 80 males) emerged in 2009 from 16 of the 38 trees felled in May 2008 and reared until October 2009.

Both sexes of *S. noctilio* emerged from all three *Pinus* species and from both collection years (Table 2). *Sirex noctilio* emerged from each pine species between late June and the third week of September in each year. The number of *S. noctilio* per tree was highly variable (range 3-569 specimens), and so was the number per bolt (range 0-149). Sex ratios (M : F) over all 60 trees ranged between 0.3 and 41.0 (Table 2). Mean prothorax width ranged from 2.6 mm in *P. banksiana* to 4.6 mm in *P. resinosa* (Table 2).

The three most commonly collected co-habiting insects: *T. piniperda, P. nemorensis* and *I. grandicollis*, emerged from all three pine species, although few specimens emerged from *P. banksiana* (Table 2). *Gnathotrichus materiarius* and *M. carolinensis* emerged only from *P. sylvestris. Tomicus piniperda* emerged from the bolts before *S. noctilio* (Fig. 1) and *P. nemorensis* emerged during a similar period to the woodwasp in 2008. *Ips grandicollis, G. materiarius* and *M. carolinensis* began emerging before *S. noctilio* but emerged over an extended period of time; the emergence of the former two species concluded well after *S. noctilio* finished emerging (Fig. 1). Emergence data from 2007 are not presented as a result of high mortality (and therefore missing emergence dates) for a number of beetle specimens.

Within-tree distribution

In *P. sylvestris*, the number of males emerging was not uniform along the bole but the number of females emerging typically was (except in 10–15-m height class in 2007) (Table 3). Emergence of males tended to be higher in the mid-bole in the two largest height classes. In *P. banksiana*, both male and female emergence was statistically uniform along the bole. Female wasp emergence in *P. resinosa* differed significantly through the tree (Table 3). The number of males emerging from *P. resinosa* was low, thus precluding statistical analysis.

Table 2 Summary statistics on emergence of Sirex noctilio and the most common co-habiting species of beetles from Pinus banksiana, Pinus resinosa or Pinus sylvestris during the first year after felling

	2007	7					2008					
	Pinu	s banksian	a (n = 5)	Pinus	s sylvestris (n	n = 17)	Pinus	s sylvestris	(n = 34)	Pir	nus resinc	osa (n = 4)
	n	Mean	Range	n	Mean	Range	n	Mean	Range	n	Mean	Range
Sirex noctilio												
Females	5	15	1-42	17	39	5-127	33	22	5-76	4	63	15-26
Males	5	39	12-104	17	144	5-452	34	71	1-414	4	39	4-84
Sex ratio (M : F)		4.67	0.54-13.0		3.90	0.83-5.04		3.65	0.50-41.0		0.53	0.27-0.95
Prothorax width (mм)	5	2.58	1.96-3.23	17	2.87	2.24-4.45	34	2.91	2.35-4.23	4	4.64	4.03-4.87
Tomicus piniperda	2	2	1-3	7	339	1-2375	15	208	1-1730	З	247	19-630
Pissodes nemorensis	2	3	2-3	11	10	1-29	17	20	1-161	4	163	6-377
lps grandicollis	5	3	1-6	11	10	1-24	13	19	1-141	4	37	2-104
Gnathotrichus materiarius	-	-	_	6	31	3-120	7	5	1-12	-	-	_
Monochamus carolinensis	-	-	-	3	16	11–13	10	11	1-49	-	-	-

Trees were felled in 2007 and 2008 from stands located in Ontario, Canada. Emergence averaged over the number of trees with emergence records for that year.



Figure 1 Cumulative emergence over time of Sirex noctilio and the most common species of subcortical beetles collected from S. noctilio-infested Pinus spp. felled in Ontario, Canada, in 2008.

This was also the case for *T. piniperda*. *P. nemorensis*, *I. grandicollis*, *M. carolinensis* and *G. materiarius*, although the overall distribution of emergence as a function of bolt height above ground is provided for illustrative purposes (Fig. 2).

Sirex noctilio life-history traits with and without beetles

Sirex noctilio was the sole subcortical inhabitant of six trees (all *P. sylvestris*). Nineteen trees (14 *P. sylvestris*, one *P. resinosa* and four *P. banksiana*) had less than 10 co-habiting specimens: the remainder, 35 trees (31 *P. sylvestris*, three *P. resinosa* and one *P. banksiana*) had 17 or more specimens of beetles.

There was a significant difference in tree height between trees with less than 10 beetles (mean \pm SE; 10.0 \pm 0.6 m) and those with more than 16 beetles (12.6 \pm 0.5 m) (t = -3.31, d.f. = 58, P = 0.002). *Sirex noctilio* was always alone in trees less than 7 m in height and always shared trees that were over 15.5 m with bark beetles.

Significantly fewer *S. noctilio* emerged from beetle-positive than beetle-negative trees. This difference between these categories of trees was the result of greater *S. noctilio* abundance throughout the tree (Fig. 3 and Table 4). Male

S. noctilio abundance patterns were similar to the pooled results. The abundance of female *S. noctilio* did not differ between the two categories (Table 4).

The average *S. noctilio* prothorax was wider in beetlepositive trees than in beetle-negative ones (Table 4). Male prothorax width was also greater in beetle-positive trees; there was no difference in female prothorax width (Table 4). There was a significant, negative correlation between male *S. noctilio* prothorax width and abundance within the tree ($r_s = 0.41$), although no such relationship existed in females ($r_s = 0.17$).

Sex ratio did not differ significantly between beetle-negative and positive trees (Table 4).

There were no dead larvae or pupae found in beetle-positive bolts, although seven dead larvae were found in beetle-negative bolts. Because there was no evidence of fungus-mediated larval or pupal mortality in this subset of beetle-positive bolts, the remaining bolts were not dissected.

Discussion

This is the first published description of the subcortical insect community sharing the tree with *S. noctilio* in North America.

3 Mean \pm SE number of female (F) and male (M) $_{\rm S}$ assess in the first year after felling, and within-tree	sirex noctilio emerged per m ² surface area per 1-m section of tree stem (bolt, numbered from the ground up) from dead Pinus spp. from three	repeated measures analysis of variance by bolt
.	3 Mean \pm SE number of female (F) and male (M) Sirex noctilio emerged per m ² surface area per 1-m set	classes in the first year after felling, and within-tree repeated measures analysis of variance by bolt

	Tree height (classes																
	<10 m				10-15 m								>15 m					
	Pinus sylves $2007 (n = 3)$	tris)	2008 (<i>n</i> = 11	(Pinus sylvestr. 2007 (n = 9)	Şį	2008 (<i>n</i> = 18)		Pinus resinos: 2008 (n = 4)	~	Pinus banksiá 2007 (n = 4)	na	Pinus sylvestr 2007 ($n = 5$)	S	P. banksiana 2008 (n = 5)		2007 (n = 1)	
Bolt	ш	Σ	ш	Σ	ш	Σ	ш	Σ	ш	Σ	ш	Σ	Ŀ	Σ	ш	Σ	Ŀ	Σ
-	24.0 ± 12.2	69.9 ± 32.2	9.4 ± 3.3	15.0 ± 4.2	8.4 ± 5.6	20.6 ± 9.8	4.3 ± 1.9	7.7 ± 3.9	2.4 ± 0.0	0 = 0	10.2 ± 6.4	16.3 ± 10.6	1.1 ± 0.7	11.8 ± 11.8	7.7 ± 6.4	4.8 ± 3.3	8.2 ± 0	28.8±0
0	20.3 ± 13.2	76.0 ± 31.0	17.4 ± 5.3	33.0 ± 8.4	13.0 ± 4.9	46.2 ± 24.1	5.1 ± 1.9	11.6 ± 5.3	15.9 ± 14.7	3.4 ± 3.4	8.6 ± 5.7	31.6 ± 18.2	6.5 ± 2.7	11.1 ± 6.6	4.1 ± 1.9	3.9 ± 2.1	11.4 ± 0	34.1 ± 0
с	19.4 土 17.8	60.5 ± 39.9	12.2 土 4.0	48.8 ± 14.7	21.0 ± 6.6	59.5 ± 24.4	6.7 ± 1.8	20.1 ± 6.1	16.3 ± 12.7	8.8 ± 7.5	2.5 ± 1.6	12.3 ± 7.5	20.9 ± 7.9	39.4 ± 16.7	6.6 ± 3.4	12.2 ± 8.2	18.9 ± 0	35.4 ± 0
4	13.8 ± 10.2	29.7 ± 22.3	10.3 ± 5.1	37.7 ± 13.3	17.5 ± 6.4	93.9 ± 26.0	7.5 ± 3.4	31.2 ± 8.2	31.2 ± 8.8	11.3 土 4.7	1.6 ± 0.9	5.3 ± 5.3	22.9 ± 9.8	43.9 ± 10.3	13.5 ± 5.8	29.1 ± 21.4	17.4 ± 0	15.0 ± 0
2	15.6 ± 15.6	27.7 ± 27.7	11.9 ± 6.0	27.3 ± 16.7	15.0 ± 6.0	76.7 ± 28.0	10.7 ± 3.3	33.5 ± 8.5	24.8 ± 7.8	12.8 ± 5.7	0.8 ± 0.8	0.8 ± 0.8	12.9 ± 5.5	54.1 ± 15.4	15.7 ± 4.2	54.3 ± 27.2	12.9 ± 0	33.4 ± 0
9	9.7 ± 9.7	19.4 ± 19.4	6.9 ± 4.7	20.7 ± 13.4	17.4 ± 7.6	72.1 ± 22.7	6.0 ± 2.3	27.7 ± 6.7	26.7 ± 7.5	17.9 ± 8.9	1.1 ± 1.1	0.6 ± 0.6	12.7 ± 6.1	63.5 ± 25.1	10.9 ± 5.4	66.6 ± 43.4	8.2 ± 0	43.7 ± 0
7	Ι	Ι	0 7 0	10.7 土 4.1	15.4 ± 7.8	58.4 ± 21.8	3.7 ± 1.1	16.7 ± 3.9	18.6 ± 10.6	33.1 ± 15.1	0 = 0	0 〒 0	8.1 ± 4.4	60.5 ± 21.6	14.2 土 4.5	58.5 ± 27.8	8.7 ± 0	26.1 ± 0
œ	I	Ι	I	I	8.0 ± 5.5	24.6 ± 13.6	3.1 ± 1.5	8.3 ± 2.2	28.8 ± 16.6	18.8 ± 15.1	0 = 0	0 = 0	9.6 ± 4.3	81.3 土 44.0	4.9 ± 2.9	28.1 ± 13.9	6.0 ± 0	12.0 ± 0
6	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	14.1 ± 9.1	22.3 ± 10.3	2.6 ± 1.8	4.0 ± 1.7	43.1 ± 33.6	22.8 ± 10.1	0 = 0	0 〒 0	5.8 ± 4.6	70.0 ± 38.3	1.5 ± 1.0	11.4 ± 6.7	9.8 ± 0	13.1 ± 0
10	I	I	I	I	7.6 ± 6.3	2.9 ± 2.9	0.4 ± 0.4	6.0 ± 2.7	0 10 0 10 0	4.4 土 0	I	I	3.4 ± 2.0	24.4 土 13.1	0.5 ± 0.5	10.2 ± 6.5	3.6 ± 0	10.8 ± 0
÷	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	0 7 0	0 = 0	1.1 ± 1.1	3.9 ± 2.3	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	4.7 ± 4.7	14.2 土 14.2	0 \ = 0	6.6 ± 5.8	3.9 ± 0	11.6 ± 0
12	I	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	2.2 ± 2.2	18.8 ± 18.8	1.4 ± 1.4	8.9 ± 6.5	0 ± 0	8.2 ± 0
13	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	9.6 ± 9.6	11.5 ± 11.5	0	15.5 ± 15.5	I	Ι
4	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0 ± 0	9.9 ± 9.9	I	Ι
15	I	I	I	I	I	1	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
16	I	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	I	Ι
	$F_{3,6} = 1.22$	$F_{3,6} = 5.09$	$F_{3,27} = 2.21$	$F_{3,27} = 3.73$	$F_{3,24} = 3.85$	$F_{3,24} = 4.08$	$F_{3,51} = 1.35$	$F_{3,51} = 8.50$	$F_{3,9} = 8.16$	Insufficent data	$F_{3,9} = 1.47$	$F_{3,9} = 1.82$	$F_{7,28} = 1.70$	$F_{7,28} = 7.02$	$F_{7,28} = 1.21$	$F_{7,28} = 4.13$	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	P = 0.38	P = 0.04	P = 0.11	P = 0.02	P = 0.02	P = 0.02	P = 0.27	P < 0.001	P = 0.006		P = 0.29	P = 0.21	P = 0.15	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P = 0.33	P = 0.003		
All tre	es felled in On	tario, Canada, in	2007 and 200	.8(

Agricultural and Forest Entomology © 2011 The Royal Entomological Society, Agricultural and Forest Entomology, 14, 187–195



Figure 2 Mean \pm SE beetle emergence per 1-m² surface area of *Pinus* tree stem (tree species pooled). (a) *Tomicus piniperda* (n = 27 trees), (b) *Pissodes nemorensis* (n = 34), (c) *Ips grandicollis* (n = 33), (d) *Gnathotrichus materiarius* (n = 13), (e) *Monochamus carolinensis* (n = 13). Emergence averaged over number of trees each species emerged from (n). Tree felled in Ontario, Canada, in 2007 and 2008.



Figure 3 Mean \pm SE *Sirex noctilio* emergence per 1-m² surface area of *Pinus* stem in beetle-positive (\geq 17 subcortical beetles per tree) and 'beetle-negative' (\leq 9 beetles per tree) *Pinus* trees felled in Ontario, Canada, in 2007 and 2008.

The findings of the study revealed the presence of many specimens of co-habiting beetles in more than 50% of the trees felled, comprising many species and guilds; *S. noctilio* was found alone in 10% of the trees. The identity of the most common species of co-habiting beetles was not unexpected; similar to *S. noctilio*, *T. piniperda* favours low vigour pines as a brood habitat (Schroeder, 1987) and the other common co-habiting species favour weakened, dying or recently dead pines (USDA, 1985).

The within-tree distribution of *S. noctilio* was similar to that found elsewhere in the insect's known range. In *P. sylvestris* (males) and *P. resinosa* (females), more of the woodwasp emergence was in the mid-tree, and this is similar to that described in *Pinus* spp. in New Zealand (*Pinus radiata*),

Australia (*P. radiata*) and Brazil (*Pinus taeda*) (Morgan & Stewart, 1966a; Neumann *et al.*, 1982; Penteado *et al.*, 1998). Regarding the woodboring beetles, the results of the present study show their within-tree distribution to be consistent to that reported in the literature. For example, *T. piniperda* is known to favour the rough-barked lower stem of the tree but is also found in thinner barked sections (Schroeder, 1997); *P. nemorensis* is usually found throughout the trunk (Atkinson *et al.*, 1988); and *Monochamus* species are typically found above 3 m from the ground (Walsh & Linit, 1985). Based on these findings, we can expect that *S. noctilio* will regularly interact with woodboring beetles throughout its distribution within the tree, notwithstanding tree size.

There was no evidence that *S. noctilio* was excluded from regions of the tree when woodboring beetles were present. The reduction in (but identical distribution of) *S. noctilio* emergence (pooled and males) in beetle-positive trees compared with beetle-negative ones was, however, a clear sign of interaction between them. The lack of significant differences in female woodwasp abundance between the two categories of trees may a result of the smaller sample size because trends were similar to that of males. Although the analysis was confined to a subset of trees of generally similar size, tree size did influence the community of subcortical insects in the full data set, and thus is likely to be a factor influencing interactions between these two groups.

The majority of co-habitants with *S. noctilio* were Curculionidae that feed in the phloem and were therefore unlikely to encounter the woodwasp directly. Thus, as hypothesized, interactions between *S. noctilio* and beetles are more likely to be indirect, and to be mediated by fungal associates. A reduced *S. noctilio* abundance in beetle-colonized trees could occur if woodwasp females are inhibited from ovipositing in trees where beetle-associated ophiostomatoid fungi are present (Ryan, 2011). Alternatively, the woodwasp's symbiont may be

		Analysis by tree				Analysis by bolt			
		Per tree mean <i>Sirex</i> noctilio emergence/m ² without beetles	Per tree mean <i>Sirex noctilio</i> emergence/m ² with beetles	Beetle effect tree level analysis	Diameter at breast height effect tree level analysis	Beetle effect by bolt	Bolt effect	Interaction beetle and bott	
Pooled	Abundance	Sirex noctilio pooled	59 ± 12	32 ± 7	$F_{1,42} = 5.75 P = 0.02$	$F_{1,42} = 1.21 P = 0.28$	$F_{1,43} = 9.88 P = 0.003$	$F_{3,129} = 10.53 P < 0.001$	$F_{3,129} = 0.47 P = 0.70$
, mua app.		Female Sirex noctilio	46 王 G 14 土 G	0 # 5 0 # 5	$F_{1,42} = 0.24$ $F = 0.02$ $F_{1,42} = 2.76$ $P = 0.10$	$F_{1,42} = 1.20$ $F = 0.21$ $F_{1,42} = 0.78$ $P = 0.38$	$F_{1,43} = 3.30 P = 0.00$ $F_{1,43} = 2.26 P = 0.14$	$F_{3,129} = 1.33 \ p < 0.001$ $F_{3,129} = 3.00 \ P < 0.03$	$F_{3,129} = 0.44$ $F = 0.72$ $F_{3,129} = 0.11$ $P = 0.95$
	Prothorax width	Sirex noctilio pooled	2.7 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 0.1	U = 138.5 P = 0.02		Z = -1.83 P = 0.07		
	(mm)	Male Sirex noctilio	2.6 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.1	U = 130.0 P < 0.001	I	Z = -1.83 P = 0.07	I	I
		Female Sirex noctilio	3.1 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.2	U = 199.00 P = 0.43	I	Z = -1.46 P = 0.14	1	I
	Sex ratio (M : F)	Ι	4.3 ± 0.7	5.3 ± 1.6	U = 267.5 P = 0.42	I	I	I	I
Pinus	Abundance	Sirex noctilio pooled	68 ± 15	34 ± 9	$F_{1,33} = 6.34 P = 0.02$	$F_{1,33} = 2.07 P = 0.16$	$F_{1,34} = 6.02 P = 0.02$	$F_{3,102} = 9.51 P < 0.001$	$F_{3,102} = 0.25 P = 0.86$
sylvestris		Male Sirex noctilio	52 土 12	25 ± 7	$F_{1,33} = 7.16 P = 0.01$	$F_{1,33} = 2.42 P = 0.13$	$F_{1,34} = 4.01 \ P = 0.05$	$F_{3,102} = 8.95 P < 0.001$	$F_{3,102} = 1.08 P = 0.36$
		Female Sirex noctilio	14 土 3	9 土 2	$F_{1,33} = 3.10 P = 0.09$	$F_{1,33} = 1.34 P = 0.26$	$F_{1,34} = 1.08 P = 0.31$	$F_{3,102} = 2.41 \ P = 0.07$	$F_{3,102} = 0.66 P = 0.58$
	Prothorax width	Sirex noctilio pooled	2.7 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.1	U = 97.5 P = 0.09	1	Z = -1.83 P = 0.07		I
	(mm)	Male Sirex noctilio	2.6 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.1	U = 93.0 P = 0.06	I	Z = -1.83 P = 0.07	1	I
		Female Sirex noctilio	3.1 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 0.1	U = 137.0 P = 0.83	I	Z = -0.73 P = 0.47	1	I
	Sex ratio (M : F)	Ι	4.8 ± 0.8	5.6 ± 1.8	U = 173.0 P = 0.31	I	I	I	I

Table 4 Mean ± SE emergence, analysis of covariance, Mann–Whitney *U*-test, repeated measures analysis of variance and Wilcoxon test (lower four 1-m bolts of tree stem are compared) results for life-history traits of *Sirex noctilio* emerging from beetle-positive dead *Pinus* spp. (co-habited by ≥17 individual subcortical beetles) compared with those from beetle-negative trees [few (≤9), or no beetles]

© 2011 The Authors Agricultural and Forest Entomology © 2011 The Royal Entomological Society, Agricultural and Forest Entomology, 14, 187–195 out-competed by beetle-associated-fungi, thereby causing mortality of the woodwasp's life stages (Hanson, 1939; King, 1966; Morgan & Stewart, 1966b; Titze & Stahl, 1970; Ryan et al., 2011), resulting in fewer adults emerging. The mechanisms that would explain the interaction between these beetle-associated fungi and the woodwasp or its symbiont depends on the timing of entry into the tree. The most abundant co-habitant, T. piniperda, emerged earlier than the wasp, is reproductively active primarily in the early spring (Kennedy & McCullough, 2002), and appears to most often attack the tree the year after the woodwasp has done so (Ryan, 2011), therefore introducing its associated fungi into the tree when the woodwasp's development is well underway. Given this, the degree of reduced S. noctilio emergence in beetle-positive trees, in the absence of signs of increased larval mortality, was unexpected and suggests that this beetle species may not be an important a competitor to the wasp. We cannot, however, exclude the possibility that this beetle species' fungal associates induced woodwasp egg mortality if the egg eclosion was delayed by substrate or climate conditions: nothing is known of the woodwasp overwintering stage in this range. Beetles that emerge around the same time as S. noctilio, such as P. nemorensis, I. grandicollis, G. materiarius and M. carolinensis, could colonize the tree before or shortly after the woodwasp and plausibly interact with it through any of the suggested mechanisms. These species could be more important competitors with the woodwasp.

The larger male body size in beetle-positive trees was unexpected given that the *S. noctilio* symbiont is considered to be a poor competitor against beetle-associated fungi (King, 1966; Ryan *et al.*, 2011) and also that the woodwasp larvae depend on it for nutrition. Improved larval nutrition in beetlepositive trees could be expected if sapwood drying was accelerated within the tree as a result of the introduction of ophiostomatoid fungi (Chow & Obermajer, 2007), thus improving conditions for *A. areolatum* growth: the woodwasp symbiont grows more quickly in drier wood (Coutts & Dolezal, 1965). The negative correlation between male wasp prothorax size and abundance suggests an ecological trade-off between insect abundance and size.

The present study was not designed to investigate differences in *S. noctilio* characteristics and woodborer communities between *Pinus* species, although some patterns warrant further investigation because these factors may affect population dynamics in different stand types. The trend to larger *S. noctilio* and a lower male : female sex ratio in *P. resinosa* and, conversely, the trend to fewer and smaller woodwasps in *P. banksiana*, relative to other *Pinus* species, could affect the population dynamics of the woodwasp in these stands.

The present study describes the community of phloemfeeding and woodboring beetles sharing host trees with *S. noctilio* in northeastern North America. The reduction in *S. noctilio* emergence in trees with beetles shows evidence of competition between these two groups that could affect the population dynamics of this introduced insect. These signs of interactions are the first described for this system. Further experimental investigations are needed to provide a better understanding of the mechanisms of interaction between the various feeding guilds and the impact that they might have on *S. noctilio* populations and its pest status in its new range.

Acknowledgements

We thank Chuck Davis, Madelaine Danby, Sarah Drabble, Megan Evers, Reg Nott, Sean Strong and Kate Surowiak for their assistance with data and tree collection. Isabelle Ochoa, Kathryn Nystrom, David Langor and Serge LaPlante assisted with insect identification or confirmed species. The Ontario Tree Seed Plant and Al Foley provided facilities for this undertaking. Several private and public landowners provided access to trees. Funding was provided by Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada and Canadian Forest Service – Alien Invasive Species program. We thank Jean Turgeon for reviewing the manuscript and providing very helpful suggestions.

References

- Amezaga, I. & Garbisu, C. (2000) Effect of intraspecific competition on progeny production of *Tomicus piniperda* (Coleoptera; Scolytidae). *Environmental Entomology*, **29**, 1011–1017.
- Andersen, F.S. (1961) Effect of density on animal sex ratio. *Oikos*, **12**, 1–16.
- Atkinson, T.H., Foltz, J.L. & Connor, M.D. (1988) Bionomics of Pissodes nemorensis Germar (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in northern Florida. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 81, 255–261.
- Ayres, B.D., Ayres, M.P., Abrahamson, M.D. & Teale, S.A. (2001) Resource partitioning and overlap in three sympatric species of *Ips* bark beetle (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Oecologia*, **128**, 443–453.
- Boddy, L. (2000) Interspecific combative interactions between wooddecaying basidiomycetes. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 31, 185–194.
- Bright, D.E. Jr (1976) *The Insects and Arachnids of Canada Part 2: The Bark Beetles of Canada and Alaska Coleoptera:Scolytidae*. Canada Department of Agriculture, Canada.
- Chow, S. & Obermajer, A. (2007) Moisture and blue stain distribution in mountain pine beetle infested lodgepole pine trees and industrial implications. *Wood Science Technology*, **41**, 3–16.
- Ciesla, W.M. (2003) European woodwasp: a potential threat to North America's conifer forests. *Journal of Forestry*, **101**, 18–23.
- Coutts, M.P. & Dolezal, J.E. (1965) Sirex noctilio, its associated fungus and some aspects of wood moisture content. Australian Forest Research, 1, 3–13.
- Coutts, M.P. & Dolezal, J.E. (1969) Emplacement of fungal spores by the woodwasp, *Sirex noctilio*, during oviposition. *Forest Science*, 4, 412–416.
- de Groot, P. & Turgeon, J.J. (1998) Insect-pine interactions. *Ecology and Biogeography of Pinus* (ed. by D. M. Richardson), pp. 354–380. Cambridge University Press, U.K.
- de Groot, P., Nystrom, K. & Scarr, T. (2006) Discovery of Sirex noctilio (Hymenoptera: Siricidae) in Ontario, Canada. The Great Lakes Entomologist, 39, 49–53.
- Dodds, K.J., de Groot, P. & Orwig, D.A. (2010) The impact of Sirex noctilio in Pinus resinosa and Pinus sylvestris stands in New York and Ontario. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 40, 212–223.
- Dodds, K.J., Graber, C. & Stephen, F.M. (2001) Facultative intraguild predation by larval Cerambycidae (Coleoptera) on bark beetle larvae (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Environmental Entomology*, **30**, 17–22.
- Downie, N.M. & Arnett, R.H. (1996) The Beetles of Northeastern North America, Vol. 2. The Sandhill Crane Press, Gainesville, Florida.
- Gaut, I.P.C. (1969) Identity of the fungal symbiont of *Sirex noctilio*. *Australian Journal of Biological Science*, **4**, 905–914.

© 2011 The Authors

- Hanson, H.S. (1939) Ecological notes on the sirex woodwasps and their parasites. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, **30**, 27–65.
- Hausner, G., Iranpour, M., Kim, J.J. et al. (2005) Fungi vectored by the introduced bark beetle *Tomicus piniperda* in Ontario, Canada, and comments on the taxonomy of *Leptographium lundbergii*, *Leptographium terebrantis*, *Leptographium truncatum*, and *Leptographium wingfieldii*. Canadian Journal of Botany, 83, 1222–1237.
- Hoebeke, E.R., Haugen, D.A. & Haack, R.A. (2005) Sirex noctilio: discovery of a palearctic siricid woodwasp in New York. Newsletter of the Michigan Entomological Society, 50, 24–25.
- Kennedy, A.A. & McCullough, D.G. (2002) Phenology of the Larger European Pine Shoot Beetle *Tomicus piniperda* (L.) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in relation to native bark beetles and natural enemies in pine stands. *Environmental Entomology*, **31**, 261–272.
- King, J.M. (1966) Some aspects of the biology of the fungal symbiont of *Sirex noctilio* (F.). *Australian Journal of Botany*, **14**, 25– 30.
- Kirisits, T. (2004) Fungal associates of European bark beetles with special emphasis on the Ophiostomatoid fungi. *Bark and Wood Boring Insects in Living Trees in Europe, A Synthesis* (ed. by F. Lieutier, K. R. Day, A. Battisti, J. C. Grègoire and H. F. Evans), pp. 181–235. Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands.
- Madden, J.L. (1981) Egg and larval development in the woodwasp, Sirex noctilio F. Australian Journal of Zoology, 29, 493–506.
- Morgan, F.D. & Stewart, N.C. (1966a) The biology and behaviour of the woodwasp Sirex noctilio (F.) in New Zealand. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand, 7, 195–204.
- Morgan, F.D. & Stewart, N.C. (1966b) The effect of *Rhyssa persuasoria* (L.) on a population of *Sirex noctilio* (F.). *Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 8, 31–38.
- Neumann, F.G. & Minko, G. (1981) The sirex wood wasp in Australian radiata pine plantations. *Australian Forestry*, **44**, 46–63.
- Neumann, F.G., Harris, J.A., Kassaby, F.Y. & Minko, G. (1982) An improved technique for early detection and control of the *Sirex* wood wasp in radiata pine plantations. *Australian Forestry*, 45, 117–124.
- Nevill, R.J. & Alexander, S.A. (1992) Transmission of *Leptographium* procerum to eastern white pine by *Hylobius pales* and *Pissodes nemorensis* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Plant Disease*, **76**, 307–310.
- Paine, T.D., Raffa, K.F. & Harrington, T.C. (1997) Interactions among Scolytid bark beetles, their associated fungi, and live host conifers. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **42**, 179–206.
- Paine, T.K., Birch, M.C. & Švihra, P. (1981) Niche breadth and resource partitioning by four sympatric species of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Oecologia*, 48, 1–6.
- Passoa, S. & Cavey, J. (2006) Key to Help Screen Tomicus piniperda (L.). USDA Forest Service [WWW document]. URL http://www. barkbeetles.org/exotic/tmcspnpe.html [accessed on June 2008].
- Penteado, S.R.C., de Oliveira, E.B. & Iede, E.T. (1998) Sampling methods for evaluating Sirex noctilio attack levels in Pinus taeda stands and for monitoring the efficiency of natural enemies. *Proceedings of a Conference: Training in the Control of Sirex noctilio by the Use of Natural Enemies* (ed. by E. T. Iede, E. Schatza, S. Penteado, R. C. Reardon and S. T. Murphy), pp. 153–159. USDA Forest Service, Morgantown, West Virginia.

- Rankin, L.J. & Borden, J.H. (1991) Competitive interactions between the mountain pine beetle and the pine engraver in lodgepole pine. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, **21**, 1029–1036.
- Ryan, K. (2011) Interactions between the woodwasp Sirex noctilio and co-habiting phloem- and woodboring beetles, and their fungal associates in southern Ontario. PhD Thesis, University of Toronto. http://hdl.handle.net/1807/29855.
- Ryan, K., Moncalvo, J.-M., de Groot, P. & Smith, S.M. (2011) Interactions between the fungal symbiont of *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: Symphyta: Siricidae) and two bark beetle-vectored fungi. *Canadian Entomologist*, **143**, 224–235.
- Schiff, N.M., Valley, S.A., LaBonte, J.R. & Smith, D.R. (2006) Guide to the Siricid Woodwasps of North America. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team, Morgantown, West Virginia.
- Schlyter, F. & Anderbrant, O. (1993) Competition and niche separation between two bark beetles: existence and mechanisms. *Oikos*, 68, 437–447.
- Schroeder, L.M. (1997) Oviposition behavior and reproductive success of the cerambycid Acanthocinus aedilis in the presence and absence of the bark beetle Tomicus piniperda. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 82, 9–17.
- Schroeder, L.M. (1987) Attraction of the bark beetle *Tomicus piniperda* to Scots pine trees in relation to tree vigor and attack density. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **44**, 53–58.
- Spradbery, J.P. & Kirk, A.A. (1978) Aspects of the ecology of siricid woodwasps (Hymenoptera: Siricidae) in Europe, North Africa and Turkey with special reference to the biological control of *Sirex noctilio* F. in Australia. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 68, 341–359.
- SYSTAT Software Inc. (2007) SYSTAT, Version 12.0 [computer program]. SYSTAT Software Inc., Chicago, Illinois.
- Titze, J.F. & Stahl, W. (1970) Inoculations of *Pinus radiata* trees with *Amylostereum areolatum*, the symbiotic fungus of *Sirex noctilio*. *Australian Forestry Research*, **4**, 3–14.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (1985) *Insects* of *Eastern Forests*, Miscellaneous Publications 1426. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Walsh, K.D. & Linit, M.J. (1985) Oviposition biology of the pine sawyer, *Monochamus carolinensis* (Coleoptera; Cerambycidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, **78**, 81–85.
- Wermelinger, B., Rigling, A., Schneider Mathis, D. & Dobbertin, M. (2008) Assessing the role of bark- and wood-boring insects in the decline of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) in the Swiss Rhone valley. *Ecological Entomology*, 24, 103–110.
- Yemshanov, D., McKenney, D.W., de Groot, P., Haugen, D., Sidders, D. & Joss, B. (2009) A bioeconomic approach to assess the impact of an alien invasive insect on timber supply and harvesting: a case study with *Sirex noctilio* in eastern Canada. *Canadian Journal* of Forest Research, **39**, 154–168.
- Yanega, D. (1996) Field Guide to Northeastern Longhorned Beetles (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Illinois.

Accepted 17 October 2011 First published online 28 November 2011