# *Kirramyces viscidus* sp. nov., a new eucalypt pathogen from tropical Australia closely related to the serious leaf pathogen, *Kirramyces destructans*

V. Andjic<sup>A</sup>, P. A. Barber<sup>A</sup>, A. J. Carnegie<sup>B</sup>, G. S. Pegg<sup>C</sup>, G. E. St. J. Hardy<sup>A,E</sup>, M. J. Wingfield<sup>D</sup> and T. I. Burgess<sup>A,D</sup>

<sup>A</sup>Biological Science, Murdoch University, South Street, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia.

<sup>B</sup>Forest Resources Research, NSW Department of Primary Industries, PO Box 100, Beecroft, NSW 2119, Australia.

<sup>C</sup>Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Horticulture and Forestry Science, Indooroopilly, Qld 4068, Australia.

<sup>D</sup>Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa.

<sup>E</sup>Corresponding author. Email: g-hardy@murdoch.edu.au

**Abstract.** *Kirramyces destructans* is a serious pathogen causing a leaf, bud and shoot blight disease of *Eucalyptus* plantations in the subtropics and tropics of South-East Asia. During surveillance of eucalypt taxa trials in northern Queensland, symptoms resembling those of *K. destructans* were observed on *Eucalyptus grandis* and *E. grandis*  $\times$  *E. camaldulensis*. Phylogenetic and morphological studies revealed that the *Kirramyces* sp. associated with these symptoms represents a new taxon described here as *K. viscidus* sp. nov., which is closely related to *K. destructans*. Plantation assessments revealed that while *E. grandis* from the Copperload provenance, collected in northern Queensland, recovered from disease, *E. grandis*  $\times$  *E. camaldulensis* hybrids from South America were highly susceptible to infection by *K. viscidus* and are not recommended for planting in northern Queensland. Preliminary results suggest the fungus probably originates from Australia. *K. viscidus* is closely related to *K. destructans* and causes a disease with similar symptoms, suggesting that it could seriously damage Australian eucalypt plantations, especially those planted off-site.

Additional keywords: phylogenetics.

# Introduction

The eucalypt plantation industry in Australia is relatively new, with major expansion from  $\sim 200\,000$  to 600000 ha occurring only in the last 10 years (National Forestry Inventory 2004). These plantations are predominantly of *Eucalyptus globulus*, situated in southern Australia and the major end-use is paper and pulp. A comparatively small eucalypt plantation industry for both timber and pulpwood is developing in the subtropical regions of northern New South Wales (NSW) and southern Queensland (Qld) and expanding into the tropical regions of northern Qld (Dickinson *et al.* 2004; Carnegie *et al.* 2005). The majority of these plantations are established on previous agricultural land and do not involve the clearing of native vegetation.

Eucalypt species trials have been established throughout Australia to test the suitability of different environments for growing eucalypts. Some of these trials have been planted in the east coast tropics in a region referred to as Far North Queensland (FNQ) (Dickinson *et al.* 2004; Lee 2007), where the climate is similar to parts of South-East Asia. The eucalypt species being tested in these trials include *E. grandis*, *E. camaldulensis* and hybrids between these two species, which are commonly used in tropical plantation forestry worldwide (Turnbull 2000).

Several eucalypt diseases have emerged in South-East Asia that are unknown in Australia (Wingfield *et al.* 1996*b*; Barber 2004; Burgess *et al.* 2006). These diseases threaten the biosecurity of Australia's eucalypts. Under an existing project, eucalypt taxa trials in northern Australia are currently being monitored for incursions of pathogens from Australia's northern neighbours such as Indonesia and Papua New Guinea (T. I. Burgess, pers. comm.).

*Kirramyces* J. Walker *et al.* species are anamorphs of *Mycosphaerella* Johanson. Species such as *K. zuluensis* (M.J. Wingf., Crous & T.A. Cout.) Andjic & M.J. Wingf., *K. destructans* (M.J. Wingf. & Crous), *K. eucalypti* (Cooke & Massee) J. Walker, B. Sutton & Pascoe and *K. epicoccoides* (Cooke & Massee) J. Walker, B. Sutton & Pascoe, are common and important pathogens of eucalypts (Wingfield *et al.* 1996*a*; Park *et al.* 2000; Carnegie 2007*b*). *K. zuluensis* and *K. destructans* are unknown in Australia. *K. zuluensis* causes a serious stem canker disease on *Eucalyptus* species in subtropical areas of South Africa (Wingfield *et al.* 1996*a*), Hawaii (Cortinas *et al.* 2004), Ethiopia and Uganda (Gezahgne *et al.* 2005),

Argentina and Vietnam (Gezahgne et al. 2003), China (Cortinas et al. 2006) and Uruguay (M. J. Wingfield, unpubl. data). K. destructans is an aggressive, destructive pathogen causing distortion of infected leaves and blight of young leaves, buds and shoots (Wingfield et al. 1996b). This pathogen was first discovered in Indonesia in 1996 and has subsequently been detected in Thailand, China, Vietnam and Timor (Wingfield et al. 1996b; Old et al. 2003a, 2003b; Burgess et al. 2006). K. eucalypti and K. epicoccoides are endemic to Australia and outbreaks have been noted in off-site plantations in the subtropics. For example, in northern NSW, K. eucalypti can cause severe damage to E. nitens plantations and K. epicoccoides can cause severe, repeated defoliation in E. grandis and E. grandis  $\times$  E. canaldulensis plantations (Carnegie 2007b). K. eucalypti has also been introduced into New Zealand where it has resulted in the complete defoliation of juvenile leaves of E. nitens in New Zealand (Dick 1982). K. epicoccoides is known from many countries (Sankaran et al. 1995; Park et al. 2000) and generally causes disease on older leaves, although leaf blights have been observed in the tropics (B. Dell, pers. comm.).

During monitoring of eucalypt species trials in FNQ in July 2005, we observed leaves exhibiting symptoms similar to those observed for *K. destructans*. Samples were collected across several sites and preliminary examination revealed a fungus with a conidial morphology similar to that of *K. destructans*. This study describes the fungus as a new *Kirramyces* species from northern Qld and discusses its relationship to *K. destructions*. The origin of these species and the necessity for conducting surveillance and risk assessment are also considered.

# Materials and methods

# Collection

*Eucalyptus* leaves with symptoms resembling those of *K. destructans* were collected from a eucalypt species trial site situated 3 km north-west of Mareeba, near Cairns in FNQ. The trial was established in August 2004 to evaluate hardwood species grown using effluent irrigation in the dry tropics. Species planted in the trial included *Corymbia* spp., *E. pellita*, *E. grandis* and hybrids of *E. grandis*  $\times$  *E. camaldulensis* from South America. Collected leaves were wrapped in paper tissues, placed in paper bags, packed in tight-fitting plastic bags from which the air had been displaced to form a vacuum and stored in a fridge before the isolation of fungi.

# Fungal isolates

Leaves were examined under a dissecting microscope, and conidia observed oozing from single pycnidia, were collected at the tip of a sterile needle. The conidia were transferred to malt extract (20 g/L) agar (MEA) containing streptomycin at  $150 \mu \text{g/mL}$  (Sigma-Aldrich, Sydney, Australia) in a single spot and allowed to hydrate for 2–4 h. Under a dissecting microscope, conidia were then streaked across the surface of the medium using a sterile needle and single conidia were picked off the agar and transferred to new MEA plates. Cultures were grown at  $20^{\circ}$ C in the dark for 2 weeks and then transferred to fresh MEA plates. Cultures were maintained on 2% MEA in tubes at  $20^{\circ}$ C. Morphological characteristics of the isolates from

this study were compared with those of other closely related species (Table 1). All isolates are maintained in the culture collection of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa or at Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia (MUCC). Ex-type cultures have been deposited in the collection of the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, the Netherlands. The holotype has been lodged in the herbarium of the Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane, Qld, Australia (BRIP). Isotype and paratype material is available from the Murdoch University herbarium (MURU).

# DNA extraction and PCR amplification

The isolates were grown on 2% MEA at 20°C for 4 weeks and the mycelium was harvested and placed in a 1.5-mL sterile Eppendorf tube. Harvested mycelium was frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground to a fine powder and genomic DNA was extracted as described previously (Andjic et al. 2007a). The region spanning the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and part of 5.8 S region of the rDNA was amplified using the primers ITS-3 (5'GTA TCG ATG AAG AAC GCA GC 3') and ITS-4 (5'TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC 3') White et al. (1990). Part of the  $\beta$ -tubulin ( $\beta$ t) gene region was amplified with the primers ßt2a (5'GGT AAC CAA ATC GGT GCT GCT TTC 3') and \u03b8t2b (5'ACC CTC AGT GTA GTG ACC CTT GGC 3') Glass and Donaldson (1995), part of translation elongation factor  $1\alpha$  (EF- $1\alpha$ ) gene with the primers EF1–728 (5'CAT CGA GAA GTT CGA GAA GG 3') and EF1-986R (5' TAC TTG AAG GAA CCC TTA CC 3') Carbone and Kohn (1999). The PCR reaction mixture, PCR conditions, the cleanup of products and sequencing were as described previously (Andjic *et al.* 2007*a*).

# Phylogenetic analysis

In order to compare *Kirramyces* isolates used in this study with other closely related species, additional sequences were obtained from GenBank (Table 1). Sequence data were assembled using Sequence Navigator version 1.01 (Perkin Elmer) and aligned in Clustal X (Thompson *et al.* 1997). Manual adjustments were made visually by inserting gaps where necessary. All sequences derived in this study were deposited in GenBank and accession numbers are shown in Table 1.

Analyses were performed on individual datasets in phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (PAUP) version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003). The most parsimonious trees were obtained using heuristic searches with random stepwise addition in 100 replicates, with the tree bisection-reconnection branch-swapping option on and the steepest-descent option, off. Maxtrees were unlimited, branches of zero length were collapsed and all multiple, equally parsimonious trees were saved. Estimated levels of homoplasy and phylogenetic signal (retention and consistency indices) were determined (Hillis and Huelsenbeck 1992). Branch and branch node support was determined using 1000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein 1985). Trees were rooted to *Neofusicoccum ribis*, which was treated as the outgroup taxon.

Bayesian analysis was conducted on the same aligned and combined dataset as the one used in the distance analysis. First, MrModelltest v. 3.5 (Nylander 2004) was used to determine the

	Culture no. <sup>A</sup>	Teleomorph	Anamorph	Host	Location	Collector	GenBan Internal transcribed spacer	ık accession nu β-tubulin	mber <sup>B</sup> Elongation factor 1α
$ Micc 64 \\ Mic$	MUCC 452, CBS 121156 MUCC 453, CBS 121157	1 1	Kirramyces viscidus K. viscidus	Eucalyptus grandis E. grandis	Mareeba, Australia Mareeba, Australia	T. I. Burgess T. I. Burgess	EF031471 EF031472	EF031483 EF031484	EF031495 EF031496
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MUCC 454	I	K. viscidus	E. grandis	Mareeba, Australia	T. I. Burgess	EF031473	EF031485	EF031497
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MUCC 456. CBS 121155	1 1	K. viscidus K. viscidus	E. grandis F. orandis	Mareeba, Australia Mareeba, Australia	1. I. Burgess T. I. Burgess	EF031474 EF031475	EF031480 EF031487	EF031498 EF031499
$ \begin{array}{lcccc} Motoc 460 & = & K vicins & E grandis K. Exandulatins & Marech, Astartila T. I. Burges & F57343 & F5$	MUCC 468	I	K. viscidus	$E.$ grandis $\times E.$ camaldulensis	Mareeba, Australia	T. I. Burgess	EF527436	EF527430	EF527433
$ \begin{array}{lccccc} \matheta contract contract control and contract contro and contract contract control and contract con$	MUCC 469	I	K. viscidus	$E.$ grandis $\times E.$ camaldulensis	Mareeba, Australia	T. I. Burgess	EF527438	EF527432	EF527435
CMW 12353-K derretansE grandisE grandisSumatri, IndonesiaP. A BarberD0637367D0637375D0637375CMW 17913-K derretansE grandisE grandisSumatri, IndonesiaP. A BarberD0637365D0637375D0637375CMW 17913-K derretansE grandisE grandisSumatri, IndonesiaP. A BarberD0637565D063265D0637375CMW 17913-K derretansE condiduensisVienumT. I. IburgesEP011465EP011475CMW 13903K derretansE condiduensisVienumT. I. IburgesEP011475EP011475CMW 13903K derretansE condiduensisVienumT. I. IburgesEP011475EP011475CMW 1303K derretansE condiduensisT. I. IburgesEP011475EP011475CMW 1303CMW 1303CMW 1304CMW 1303CMW 1304CMW 1305CMW 1303	MUCC 467	I	K. viscidus	E. grandis $\times$ E. camaldulensis	Mareeba, Australia	T. I. Burgess	EF527437	EF527431	EF527434
CMW 1918-K detractansE grandisSumatr., IndonesiaP. A. BaherD063266D0632763D0632753 <thd0632753< th="">D0632753D0632753&lt;</thd0632753<>	CMW 22553	Ι	K. destructans	E. grandis	Sumatra, Indonesia	P. A. Barber	DQ632667	DQ632625	DQ632732
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CMW 17918	I	K. destructans	E. grandis	Sumatra, Indonesia	P. A. Barber	DQ632666	DQ632624	DQ632731
$ \begin{array}{rccccc} {\rm Cown 1990} & = & {\rm K} \ destructors \\ {\rm K} \ under cons \\ {\rm K} \ under con$	CMW 19832	Ι	K. destructans	E. grandis	Sumatra, Indonesia	P. A. Barber	DQ632665	DQ632623	DQ632730
$ \begin{array}{rcrcr} {\rm CuW} 1900 & - & {\rm K}\ destructons \ Europhythic \ Cumup 1080 \\ - & {\rm K}\ destructons \ Europhythic \ Cumup 1080 \\ - & {\rm K}\ destructons \ Europhythic \ Cumup 1080 \\ - & {\rm K}\ destructons \ Europhythic \ Cumup 11. {\rm Luggess}\ Er03146 \ Er03146 \ Er031476 \ Er031476 \ Er031476 \ Er031476 \ Er031476 \ Er031496 \ Er03146 \ Er031496 \ Er03140 \ Er03140 \ Er04100 \ Er0400 \ $	CMW 17919	1	K. destructans	E. urophylla	Guangzhou, China	T. I. Burgess	DQ632701	DQ632622	DQ632729
$ \begin{array}{lclcrcc} {\rm CMW} 15090 & - & {\rm K} \ destructans \ E \ conditidensis \ Vietuam \ T. I. Burgess \ Er031467 \ Er031473 \ Er031473 \ Er031473 \ Er031473 \ Er031473 \ Er031493 \ Er03149 \ Er03140 $	CMW 19909	1	K. destructans	E. urophylla	Guangzhou, China	T. I. Burgess	EF031464	EF031476	EF031488
$ \begin{array}{lclcrcc} {\rm CMW 1500} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm C}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1502} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1337} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1337} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1337} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1317} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1012} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1012} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1912} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1912} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1912} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1912} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1912} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidionsis \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ condidiensis \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm L}\ {\rm CHO}\ {\rm CO} 3266 & {\rm DO} 3263 & {\rm DO} 3273 \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm L}\ {\rm CHO}\ {\rm CHO}\ {\rm CO} 3266 & {\rm DO} 3266 & {\rm DO} 3263 & {\rm DO} 3273 \\ {\rm CMW 1087} & - & {\rm K}\ destructons & {\rm E}\ grands \times {\rm E}\ condidiensis \\ {\rm M}\ {\rm M$	CMW 15089	1	K. destructans	E. camaldulensis	Vietnam	T. I. Burgess	EF031465	EF031477	EF031489
$ \begin{array}{lclcrcl} \mbox{CMW 1502} & - & \mbox{K a destructans} & \mbox{L consultants} & \mbox{L lb myses} & \mbox{E rol 1467} & \mbox{E rol 1467} & \mbox{E rol 1468} & \mbox{E rol 1668} & \m$	CMW 15090	I	K. destructans	E. camaldulensis	Vietnam	T. I. Burgess	EF031466	EF031478	EF031490
$ \begin{array}{lclcrcr} {\rm CMW \ 16123} & - & {\rm K} \ destructans} \ E \ comaldulensis \ Thailand \ M. J. Wingfield \ E7031498 \ E703149 \ E701498 \ E70149 \ E70148 \ E70149 \ E70148 \ E701$	CMW 15092	Ι	K. destructans	$E.\ camaldulensis$	Vietnam	T. I. Burgess	EF031467	EF031479	EF031491
$ \begin{array}{lclcrcc} {\rm CMW} 1337 & - & {\rm K} \ destructans \ E. camdidulexis \ Thailand \ M. J. Wingfield \ EF031440 \ EF031450 \ EF031440 \ EF031440 \ EF031440 \ EF031440 \ EF031450 \ EF032160 \ D0632755 \ D0632565 \ D0632565 \ D0632756 \ D0632756 \ D0632756 \ D0632751 \ D0632752 \ D0632751$	CMW 16123	1	K. destructans	E. camaldulensis	Thailand	M. J. Wingfield	EF031468	EF031480	EF031492
$ \begin{array}{rcccc} CWW 16120 & = & K \ evclypti \\ CWW 17915 & = & K \ evclypti \\ E \ evclypt \\ E \ evclypt \\ E \ evclypti \\ E \ evclypt \\ E \ evclyp$	CMW 13337	I	K. destructans	E. camaldulensis	Thailand	M. J. Wingfield	EF031469	EF031481	EF031493
$ \begin{array}{lclcrcc} {\rm CMW} 17915 & - & {\rm K}\ eucabpti & {\rm E}\ niters \\ {\rm CMW}\ 11687 & - \\ {\rm CMW}\ 11687 & - \\ {\rm K}\ eucabpti & {\rm E}\ niters \\ {\rm K}\ eucabpti & {\rm E}\ niters \\ {\rm K}\ eucabpti & {\rm E}\ niters \\ {\rm M}\ niter \\ {\rm K}\ epicoccoides & {\rm E}\ globulus \\ {\rm K}\ epicoccoides & {\rm E}\ globulus \\ {\rm K}\ epicoccoides & {\rm E}\ nophyla \\ {\rm K}\ nothing \\ {\rm K}\ nothing \\ {\rm K}\ nothing \\ {\rm K}\ nothing \\ {\rm K}\ epicoccoides & {\rm E}\ nophyla \\ {\rm K}\ nothing \\ {\rm K}\ nothing \\ {\rm K}\ nophalox \\ {\rm K}\ nophal$	CMW 16120	I	K. destructans	E. camaldulensis	Thailand	M. J. Wingfield	EF031470	EF031482	EF031494
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	CMW 17915	1	K. eucalypti	E. nitens	Victoria, Australia	P. A. Barber	DQ632664	DQ632626	DQ632727
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	CMW 17917	I	K. eucalypti	E. grandis $\times$ E. tereticornis	New South Wales,	A. J. Carnegie	DQ632711	DQ632630	DQ632725
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					Australia				
CMW 11687-K. eucalyptiE. nitensNew ZealandM. Dick $DQ24001$ $DS890168$ $DQ235115$ MUCC 538M. suttoniiK. epicoccoidesE. globulusWestern AustraliaS. Jackson $DQ632702$ $DQ632713$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632713$ $DQ632671$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632716$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ632714$ $DQ622014$ $DQ622$	CMW 17916	I	K. eucalypti	E. grandis $\times$ E. camaldulensis	Queensland, Australia	A. J. Carnegie	DQ632659	DQ632628	DQ632722
	CMW 11687	I	K. eucalypti	E. nitens	New Zealand	M. Dick	DQ240001	DS890168	DQ235115
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	MUCC 538	M. suttonii	K. epicoccoides	E. globulus	Western Australia	S. Jackson	DQ632702	DQ632619	DQ632716
CMW 22484M. suttoniiK. epicoccoidesE. urophyllaChinaT. I. BurgessDG632705DG632616DG632718SA12M. suttoniiK. epicoccoidesE. urophyllaChinaT. I. BurgessDG632677DG632614DG632713SA12M. suttoniiK. epicoccoidesE. fragrataSouth AfricaM. N. CortinasDG632677DG632614DG632713CSN 11360M. suttoniiK. epicoccoidesE. globulusSouth AfricaM. N. CortinasDG632677DG632614DG632713CMW 11560M. mibilosaK. toledanaE. globulusE. globulusSouth AfricaM. N. CortinasDG658232DQ633519CMW 3279M. crypticaK. nubilosaE. globulusAustraliaA. MilgateDQ658232DQ535119CBS 117262, CMW 7449-K. zuluensisE. globulusSouth AfricaL. Van ZylDQ240018DQ240176CMW 3279M. onllerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusNestern AustraliaA. MingateDQ24018DQ240169CMW 4940M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusPortugalN. MaxwellAY 150675DQ240116DQ240169CMW 11588M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusPortugalA. MigateDQ240116DQ240169CMW 11588M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusPortugalA. MigateDQ24018DQ240169DQ240169CMW 11588M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusPortugalA. MigateDQ239	MUCC 425	M. suttonii	K. epicoccoides	E. grandis	New South Wales,	T. I. Burgess	DQ632655	DQ632613	DQ632713
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					Australia				
SA12 $M.$ suttonii $K.$ epicoccoides $E.$ fragrataSouth Africa $M.$ N. Cortinas $DQ632657$ $DQ632657$ $DQ6322614$ $DQ632718$ CBS 113313, CMW 14457 $M.$ toledana $K.$ toledana $E.$ globulus $E.$ globulusSpain $P.$ W. Crous $A775581$ $DQ632253$ $DQ632312$ CMW 11560 $M.$ mubilosa $E.$ globulus $E.$ globulus $E.$ globulus $DQ638232$ $DQ658232$ $DQ658232$ $DQ638212$ CMW 3279 $M.$ cryptica $K.$ mubilosa $E.$ globulus $A.$ Milgate $DQ658232$ $DQ658234$ $DQ235119$ CMW 3279 $M.$ cryptica $K.$ mubilosa $E.$ globulus $A.$ Milgate $DQ658232$ $DQ658234$ $DQ23718$ CBS 117262, CMW 7449 $ K.$ zuluensis $E.$ globulus $A.$ Milgate $DQ658232$ $DQ658234$ $DQ240152$ CBS 113399, CMW 13704 $M.$ molleriana $K.$ suluensis $E.$ grandis $S.$ outh Africa $L.$ Van Zyl $DQ240018$ $DQ540162$ CMW 4940 $M.$ molleriana $K.$ molleriana $E.$ globulus $Vestern Australia$ $A.$ Maxwell $AT150675$ $DQ240116$ $DQ240161$ CMW 11588 $M.$ molleriana $K.$ molleriana $E.$ globulus $Vestern Australia$ $A.$ Milgate $DQ239069$ $DQ240116$ $DQ240165$ CMW 11588 $M.$ molleriana $K.$ molleriana $E.$ globulus $DetugalM. MilgateDQ239069DQ240115DQ240165CMW 11588M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusDetugal$	CMW 22484	M. suttonii	K. epicoccoides	E. urophylla	China	T. I. Burgess	DQ632705	DQ632616	DQ632714
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SA12	M. suttonii	K. epicoccoides	E. fragrata	South Africa	M. N. Cortinas	DQ632657	DQ632614	DQ632718
CMW 11560 M. nubilosa E. globulus Tasmania, Australia A. Milgate DQ58232 DQ588236 DQ240176   CMW 11560 M. aubilosa K. nubilosu E. globulus A. J. Carnegie AY309623 DQ588234 DQ235119   CMW 3279 M. cryptica K. nubilosum E. globulus Australia A. J. Carnegie AY309623 DQ588234 DQ235119   CBS 117262, CMW 7449 - K. zuluensis E. grandis South Africa L. Van Zyl DQ240021 DQ240122 DQ240152 DQ240151 DQ240163 <td< td=""><td>CBS 113313, CMW 14457</td><td>M. toledana</td><td>K. toledana</td><td>E. globulus</td><td>Spain</td><td>P. W. Crous</td><td>AY 725581</td><td>DQ658235</td><td>DQ235120</td></td<>	CBS 113313, CMW 14457	M. toledana	K. toledana	E. globulus	Spain	P. W. Crous	AY 725581	DQ658235	DQ235120
CMW 3279 M. cryptica K. nubilosum E. globulus Australia A. J. Carnegie AY 309623 DQ58234 DQ235119   CBS 117262, CMW 7449 - K. zuluensis E. grandis South Africa L. Van Zyl DQ240021 DQ240102 DQ240155   CBS 117262, CMW 7449 - K. zuluensis E. grandis South Africa L. Van Zyl DQ240011 DQ240122 DQ240152   CBS 113399, CMW 13328 - K. zuluensis E. grandis South Africa L. Van Zyl DQ240018 DQ240112 DQ240162   CBS 110499, CMW 13704 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Western Australia A. Maxwell DQ240165 DQ240169 DQ240169   CMW 4940 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Portugal M. J. Wingfield DQ239969 DQ240115 DQ240165   CMW 1588 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Tasmaia, Australia A. Milgate DQ239969 DQ240117 DQ240167 DQ240167 DQ240167 DQ240167 DQ240168 DQ140168	CMW 11560	M. nubilosa		E. globulus	Tasmania, Australia	A. Milgate	DQ658232	DQ658236	DQ240176
CBS 117262, CMW 7449 - K. zuluensis E. grandis South Africa L. Van Zyl DQ240021 DQ240102 DQ240155   CBS 113399, CMW 13328 - K. zuluensis E. grandis South Africa L. Van Zyl DQ240018 DQ240162 DQ240172   CBS 113399, CMW 13328 - K. zuluensis E. grandis South Africa L. Van Zyl DQ24018 DQ58233 DQ240169   CBS 110499, CMW 13704 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Western Australia A. Maxwell AY150675 DQ240116 DQ240169   CMW 4940 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Portugal M. J. Wingfield DQ239969 DQ240115 DQ240165   CMW 11588 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Tasmania, Australia A. Milgate DQ239969 DQ240114 DQ240167   CMW 11588 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Tasmania, Australia A. Milgate DQ239968 DQ240114 DQ240167   CMW 11588 M. molleriana K. molleriana K	CMW 3279	M. cryptica	K. nubilosum	E. globulus	Australia	A. J. Carnegie	AY 309623	DQ658234	DQ235119
CBS 113399, CMW 13328 - K. zuluensis E. grandis South Africa L. Van Zyl DQ240018 DQ58233 DQ240172   CBS 113399, CMW 13309, CMW 13704 M. molleriana K. zuluensis E. globulus Western Australia L. Van Zyl DQ24018 DQ58233 DQ240169   CBS 110499, CMW 13704 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Western Australia A. Maxwell AY150675 DQ240116 DQ240169   CMW 4940 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Portugal M. J. Wingfield DQ239969 DQ240115 DQ240165   CMW 11588 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Tasmania, Australia A. Milgate DQ239968 DQ240114 DQ240167   CMW 11588 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus Tasmania, Australia A. Milgate DQ239968 DQ2401617 DQ240167   CMW 11588 M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus New York, USA B. Slippers AY236936 AY230570 AY2365878	CBS 117262, CMW 7449	I	K. zuluensis	E. grandis	South Africa	L. Van Zyl	DQ240021	DQ240102	DQ240155
CBS 110499, CMW 13704M. mollerianaE. globulusE. globulusWestern AustraliaA. MaxwellAY 150675DQ240116DQ240168CMW 4940M. mollerianaK. mollerianaEucalyptus sp.PortugalM. J. WingfieldDQ239969DQ240115DQ240168CMW 4940M. mollerianaK. mollerianaEucalyptus sp.PortugalM. J. WingfieldDQ239968DQ240115DQ240167CMW 11588M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusTasmania, AustraliaA. MilgateDQ239968DQ240167DQ240167CMW 1158M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusTasmania, AustraliaA. MilgateDQ239968DQ240167CMW 7773Neofusicoccum ribis-Ribes sp.New York, USAB. SlippersAY 236936AY 808170AY 236878	CBS 113399, CMW 13328	I	K. zuluensis	E. grandis	South Africa	L. Van Zyl	DQ240018	DQ658233	DQ240172
CMW 4940M. mollerianaK. mollerianaEucalyptus sp.PortugalM. J. WingfieldDQ23969DQ240115DQ240168CMW 11588M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusTasmania, AustraliaA. MilgateDQ23968DQ240114DQ240167CMW 11588M. mollerianaK. mollerianaE. globulusTasmania, AustraliaA. MilgateDQ23968DQ240114DQ240167CMW 7773Neofusicoccum ribis-Ribes sp.New York, USAB. SlippersAY 236936AY 808170AY 236878	CBS 110499, CMW 13704	M. molleriana	K. molleriana	E. globulus	Western Australia	A. Maxwell	AY 150675	DQ240116	DQ240169
CMW 11588 <i>M. molleriana K. molleriana E. globulus</i> Tasmania, Australia A. Milgate DQ23968 DQ240114 DQ240167 CMW 7773 <i>Neofusicoccum ribis</i> – <i>Ribes</i> sp. New York, USA B. Slippers AY 236936 AY 808170 AY 236878	CMW 4940	M. molleriana	K. molleriana	Eucalyptus sp.	Portugal	M. J. Wingfield	DQ239969	DQ240115	DQ240168
CMW 7773 Neofusicoccum ribis – Ribes sp. New York, USA B. Slippers AY 236936 AY 808170 AY 236878	CMW 11588	M. molleriana	K. molleriana	E. globulus	Tasmania, Australia	A. Milgate	DQ239968	DQ240114	DQ240167
	CMW 7773	Neofusicoccum ribis	1	Ribes sp.	New York, USA	B. Slippers	AY 236936	AY 808170	AY236878

Table 1. Kirramyces species and isolates considered in the phylogenetic study

Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa, MUCC = Murdoch University culture collection, Australia. <sup>B</sup>Sequences in bold were obtained during this study.

best nucleotide substitution model. Phylogenetic analyses were performed with MrBayes v. 3.1 (Ronquist and Heuelsenbeck 2003) applying a general time reversible substitution model with gamma and proportion of invariable site parameters to accommodate variable rates across sites. Two independent runs of Markov Chain Monte Carlo using 4 chains were run over 1 000 000 generations. Trees were saved every 1000 generations, resulting in 10 001 trees. Burn-in was set at 50 001 generations (i.e. 51 trees), well after the likelihood values converged to the stationary, leaving 9950 trees from which the consensus trees and posterior probabilities were calculated.

#### Morphological characterisation

In order to determine the taxonomic position of the Kirramyces species considered in this study, plugs (2-mm diameter) were cut from actively growing cultures and placed at the centres of Petri dishes (55 mm) containing one of three different nutrient media. Three replicates of each isolate (five isolates in total) were grown on 2% MEA, oatmeal agar (OMA) and sterilised eucalypt leaves placed on the surface of tap water agar (TWA) at 20 and 28°C in the dark. After 30 days, cultures were assessed for growth and photographed. Squash mounts of fruiting structures were prepared on slides in lacto-glycerol (1:1:1 volume of lactic acid, glycerol and water) and observed at ×1000 magnification with an Olympus BH2 light microscope. The growth of cultures was determined by taking two measurements of colony diameter perpendicular to each other. Measurements of relevant taxonomic features used to distinguish between currently described Kirramyces spp. were made. Thus, each isolate was assessed for conidial size, shape, pigmentation and number of septa. Wherever possible, 30 measurements (×1000 magnification) of all taxonomically relevant structures were recorded for each species and the extremes are presented in parentheses. Colony colour was described using notations in the Munsell Soil Colour Charts (Gretag Macbeth, New Windsor, NY, revised 2000). Measurements of conidial size were obtained using the image analysis software Olysia BioReport 3.2 software imaging system. Data analyses were performed using descriptive statistics in Microsoft Excel.

## Results

#### Distribution, impact and symptoms of Kirramyces sp.

The disease was first detected in August 2005 causing leaf blight to E. grandis and E. grandis × E. camaldulensis hybrids at a single site in Mareeba, north Qld. Infection was limited to the lower canopy and no defoliation was observed. The site at Mareeba was revisited in August 2006 and defoliation levels of 95% and greater were noted on the E. grandis  $\times$  E. camaldulensis hybrids (Fig. 1). New shoots were infected with both *K. epicoccoides* and the *Kirramyces* sp. considered in this study. K. epicoccoides was also detected on the leaves of E. grandis and E. pellita. While the hybrids were heavily infected with the Kirramyces sp., the damage on E. grandis of the Copperload provenance was limited to the lower canopy with less then 15% of foliage affected. Several other taxa trials in the region, which included E. grandis and E. grandis × E. camaldulensis hybrids, were surveyed but the Kirramyces sp. found at Mareeba was not detected.



**Fig. 1.** Defoliated 15-month-old *Eucalyptus grandis*  $\times$  *E. camaldulensis* at Mareeba, Atherton Tableland, Queensland, Australia. A year earlier the trees had full foliage and early symptoms of infection with *Kirramyces viscidus*.

Symptoms on leaves of Ε. grandis and E. grandis  $\times$  E. camaldulensis were very similar to those caused by K. destructans (Fig. 2a, d). Necrotic spots appeared on both sides of the leaves and were circular to irregular, 3-20 mm in diameter, single to confluent, medium brown to light brown with red brown borders on the adaxial surface, and a light brown colour on the abaxial surface. In some cases, young leaves were severely distorted. Conidia accumulated on the lower surface of the lesions giving rise to crusty black masses (Fig. 2c, f).

# DNA sequence comparisons

The ITS2 dataset consisted of 203 characters, of which 51 were parsimony-informative and were used in the analysis. These data contained significant phylogenetic signal [P < 0.01; phylogenetic signal (gl) = -0.88]. Heuristic searches of unweighted characters in PAUP resulted in a single most parsimonious tree of 106 steps [consistency index (CI) = 0.65, retention index (RI) = 0.88]. Bayesian analysis resulted in a tree with the same topology and clades as those revealed in the parsimony tree [Fig. 3*a*, TreeBASE (http://www.treebase.org/treebase/) = SN3318]. The EF-1 $\alpha$  dataset consisted of 337 characters, of which 156 were parsimony informative and were used in the analysis.



**Fig. 2.** A comparison between the foliar disease symptoms and cultural morphology of three *Kirramyces* spp. Symptoms of (a, d) *K. destructans* on leaves of *Eucalyptus urophylla* hybrids from Guangdong Province, China, (b, e) *K. eucalypti* on leaves of *E. grandis*, from Queensland, Australia and (c, f) *K. viscidus* sp. nov. on leaves of *E. grandis* from Mareeba, Queensland. Upper photo, adaxial surface; lower photo, abaxial surface. Bar = 10 mm. Cultures on malt extract agar of (g) *K. destructans* isolate CMW 17918 (CMW = Tree Pathology Co-operative Program, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa), (h) *K. eucalypti* isolate MUCC 384 (MUCC = Murdoch University culture collection, Perth, Western Australia) and (i) *K. viscidus* isolate CBS 121156 (CBS = Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, Netherlands). Bar = 5 mm. Conidia of (j) *K. destructans* specimen PREM (PREM = South African National Mycological Herbarium) 59261, (k) *K. eucalypti* specimen MURU 425 (MURU = Murdoch University herbarium) and (l) *K. viscidus* specimen BRIP 49804 (BRIP = herbarium of the Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia) and (m) *K. viscidus* isolate CBS 121156. Bar = 15  $\mu$ m.





These data contained significant phylogenetic signal (P < 0.01; gl = -0.93). Heuristic searches of unweighted characters in PAUP resulted in a single most parsimonious trees of 106 steps (CI = 0.72, RI = 0.89). Bayesian analysis resulted in a tree with the same topology and clades as the parsimony tree (Fig. 3*b*, TreeBASE = SN3318). The  $\beta$ t dataset consisted of 384 characters, of which 90 were parsimony informative and were used in the analysis. These data contained significant phylogenetic signal (P < 0.01; gl = -0.80). Heuristic searches of unweighted characters in PAUP resulted in a single most parsimonious tree of 106 steps (CI = 0.72, RI = 0.88). Bayesian analysis resulted in a tree with the same topology and clades as the parsimony tree (Fig. 3*c*, TreeBASE = SN3318).

In all three analyses, the *Kirramyces* sp. from FNQ was closely related to, but phylogenetically distinct from *K. destructans* (Fig. 3). Isolates of both *K. destructans* and the *Kirramyces* sp. were monomorphic with no sequence variation observed for all isolates tested. Across the three gene regions sequenced, there were 12 fixed polymorphisms distinguishing *K. destructans* from the *Kirramyces* sp. (Table 2).

#### Morphological characterisation

At first appearance, the conidia of the *Kirramyces* sp. were very similar to those of *K. destructans*. The first difference noted between the *Kirramyces* sp. and *K. destructans* was the extremely hydrophobic and sticky spores and these required 2–4 h of rehydration before the conidial masses could be teased apart. This is in contrast to *K. destructans* and *K. eucalypti*, where conidia are easily separated after rehydration for 1–5 min. The conidia of the *Kirramyces* sp. were very similar to those of

Conidia of the new *Kirramyces* sp. were subhyaline to pale brown, 0–3-septate and longer (54  $\mu$ m on average, range 47–60  $\mu$ m) than those of *K. eucalypti* (mean 43  $\mu$ m, range 35–50  $\mu$ m, which are also 0–3-septate) (Heather 1961; Walker *et al.* 1992; Andjic *et al.* 2007*b*). The conidia of the *Kirramyces* sp. were also longer than the conidia of specimens of *K. destructans* collected from China and Indonesia (mean 47  $\mu$ m, range 38–55 $\mu$ m, 1–3-septate) that were given by Andjic *et al.* (2007*b*) but slightly shorter (50–65  $\mu$ m, 1–3-septate) than conidia of specimen of *K. destructans* collected from Indonesia that were given by Wingfield *et al.* (1996*b*).

Pycnidia on leaf material collected at Mareeba were overmature and it was not possible to obtain measurements of conidiogenous cells from these specimens. New collections at the same location were made, but all trees were more then 95% defoliated and the remaining leaves were covered with *K. epicoccoides* mixed with over-mature pycnidia of the *Kirramyces* sp. Thus, the only description and measurements obtained from leaf specimens were those for the conidia. Consequently, pycnidia produced in culture were used to characterise the morphology of conidiogenous cells.

After 30 days of growth at  $28^{\circ}$ C in the dark on MEA, colonies of the *Kirramyces* sp. were 20–30 mm in diameter, the upper surface was white to pinkish white with sectors of light greenish grey and they were covered with pycnidia, the lower surface was pinkish grey to reddish brown with irregular margins (Fig. 2*i*). These characteristics are typical of both *K. destructans* and *K. eucalypti* (Crous 1998) (Fig. 2*g*–*h*). On OMA, colonies were

Table 2. Polymorphic nucleotides from sequence data of internal transcribed spacer (ITS), EF-1α and β-tubulin gene regions showing the variation between isolates of *Kirramyces destructans* and *Kirramyces* sp. nov.

Culture no. <sup>A</sup>		ITS		EF-1α				β-tubulin				
	155	194	226	211	228	295	296	131	134	222	224	270
				K	. destru	ctans						
CMW17919	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW19909	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW17918	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW22553	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW19832	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW15089	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW15090	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW15092	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW16123	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW13337	G	С	С	С	С	_	_	А	G	С	G	С
CMW16210	G	С	С	С	С	-	_	А	G	С	G	С
				Kirr	amyces	sp. nov.						
MUCC452	Т	Т	Т	Т	A	Т	G	G	А	Т	А	Т
MUCC453	Т	Т	Т	Т	А	Т	G	G	А	Т	А	Т
MUCC454	Т	Т	Т	Т	А	Т	G	G	А	Т	А	Т
MUCC455	Т	Т	Т	Т	А	Т	G	G	А	Т	А	Т
MUCC456	Т	Т	Т	Т	А	Т	G	G	А	Т	А	Т
MUCC468	Т	Т	Т	Т	А	Т	G	G	А	Т	А	Т
MUCC469	Т	Т	Т	Т	А	Т	G	G	А	Т	А	Т
MUCC470	Т	Т	Т	Т	А	Т	G	G	А	Т	А	Т

<sup>A</sup>Designation of isolates and culture collections: CMW = Tree Pathology Co-operative Program, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa; MUCC = Murdoch University culture collection, Australia.

20–29 mm in diameter, pinkish white on the upper surface, and pink to dark olive grey with a regular margin on the reverse side. On TWA, colonies grew 18–28 mm in diameter, white to pink on the upper surface with sectors of reddish brown, and olive grey below with a regular margin. Some colonies produced pycnidia on their surfaces. Growth was slower at 20°C on all three media, though the colour and shape on each was similar. Conidia from culture were shorter (33–45  $\mu$ m) than conidia measured from leaf material (47–60  $\mu$ m). This is also true for *K. eucalypti* where conidia produced in culture were shorter (24–32  $\mu$ m) than conidia produced on leaf material (35–50  $\mu$ m) (Andjic *et al.* 2007*b*). Of the five isolates used in this study, only one produced pycnidia and conidiogenous cells in culture, on MEA at 28°C.

#### Taxonomy

Phylogenetic inference, and to a lesser extent morphological characteristics, have provided robust evidence that the *Kirramyces* sp. causing a serious leaf disease on hybrids of *E. grandis*  $\times$  *E. camaldulensis* at Mareeba represents a unique taxon. The fungus is described here as a new species:

*Kirramyces viscidus* Andjic, P.A. Barber, T.I. Burgess sp. nov. (Fig. 4)

# Mycobank no. MB 510859.

*Teleomorph: Mycosphaerella* sp. (based on phylogenetic inferences, but not seen).

*Etymology*: Name refers to the hydrophobic and exceptionally sticky conidia of the fungus.

*Conidiomata* pycnidialia hypophylla, solitaria, atrobrunnea ad atra. *Conidia* solitaria, 0–3-septata, subhyalina et pallide brunnea, parum verruculosa, cylindracea, recta ad diverse curvata, parietibus crassis, ad basim truncata, margine interdum fimbriato, apice obtuso,  $(30.5-)47-60(-78.5) \times$  $(2-)2.5-3.5(4.0-) \mu m$ .

*Leaf spots*: circular to irregular, 3–20 mm in diameter, single to confluent, medium brown to light brown with red brown border on the top surface, light brown at the bottom. *Conidiomata*: pycnidial, hypophyllous, single, dark brown to black. Conidiophores reduced to conidiogenous cells. *Conidia*: solitary, 0–3-septate, subhyaline to pale brown, slightly verruculose, cylindrical, straight to variously curved, thickwalled, base truncate sometimes with marginal frill, apex obtuse,  $(30.5-)47-60(-78.5) \times (2-)2.5-3.5(4.0-)$  (mean =  $54 \times 3 \mu$ m).

*Cultures*: Colonies 29 mm in diameter after 1 month at 28°C in the dark on MEA, white 5Yellow-Red (YR) 8/1 to pink 5YR 8/4 on the upper surface, olive grey 5YR 7/1 on reverse. *Mycelium*: Subhyaline to pale brown, septate, branched. *Conidiomata* pycnidial, single, dark brown to black, globose to subglobose, unilocular: wall of *Textura angularis*. *Conidiogenous cells*: doliiform to subcylindrical, smooth to slightly verruculose, aseptate to 1-septate, (5.5-)8-10.5 (-12.5) × (3-)4.5–8(-9.0) (mean =  $6.4 \times 9.5$ ) µm, subhyaline to pale brown, proliferating enteroblastically, 1-2 times percurrently. *Conidia*: solitary, 0-1-septate, subhyaline to pale brown, smooth to slightly verruculose, cylindrical, straight to variously curved (29-) $35-40(-47.5) \times (2.0-$ )2.5-3.5(-4.0) (mean =  $37 \times 3.0$  µm), lateral branches present as secondary



Fig. 4. *Kirramyces viscidus* (*a*) conidiogenous cells, (*b*) mycelium in culture producing chlamydospore-like synanamorph, (*c*) conidia produced on malt extract agar and (*d*) conidia produced *in vivo*. Scale bar =  $10 \,\mu$ m.

conidia, mycelium in culture produce a synanamorph resembling chlamydospores  $(12.5 \times 11 \,\mu\text{m})$  that are dark brown and thick walled.

*Holotype*: on leaves of *E. grandis* Hill ex Maiden, Mareeba, Qld, Australia, T.I. Burgess, G.E.St.J Hardy, A.J. Carnegie, G. Pegg, August 2005, (HOLOTYPE BRIP 49804; culture ex-type CBS 121157).

*Hosts: E. grandis, E. grandis*  $\times$  *E. camaldulensis.* 

Geographic distribution: Mareeba, north Qld.

Additional specimens examined: K. viscidus on E. grandis, Mareeba, Qld, Australia, T.I. Burgess, G.E.St.J Hardy, A.J. Carnegie, G. Pegg, August 2005, (MURU427; culture ex-isotypes, CBS 121155, CBS 121156, MUCC 454, MUCC 455) and E. grandis × E. camaldulensis, (MURU431; culture ex-paratypes, MUCC 467, MUCC 468, MUCC 469).

*Notes: K. viscidus* can be distinguished from *K. destructans* and *K. eucalypti* by the production of highly hydrophobic and viscous spore masses. *In vivo, K. viscidus* produces longer conidia (47–60  $\mu$ m) than those of *K. eucalypti* (35–50  $\mu$ m). In contrast to *K. destructans*, whose conidia are 1–3-septate, the conidia of *K. viscidus* are 0–3-septate. Unlike *K. destructans*, *K. viscidus* produces a synanamorph with chlamydospore-like structures in culture. The conidia of *K. viscidus* produced *in vitro* 

were shorter 35–40, (mean =  $37 \times 2.8 \,\mu$ m) than those produced *in vivo* 47–60, (mean =  $54 \times 3 \,\mu$ m) (Fig. 2*m*).

## Discussion

Comparisons of DNA sequence data revealed that the Kirramyces sp. collected from leaves of E. grandis and the hybrid of E. grandis  $\times$  E. camaldulensis from Mareeba represents a new taxon. This fungus has been named K. viscidus, a name emphasising the stickiness of its conidia. Based on phylogenetic analyses of sequence data obtained for the ITS, EF-1 $\alpha$  and βt gene regions, K. viscidus has 12 polymorphic sites, which distinguish it from the closely related K. destructans. Based on morphological characteristics, K. viscidus can be distinguished from K. destructans by its remarkably sticky and hydrophobic conidia and the number of septa in the conidia. This report represents the first record of a new Kirramyces species very closely related to the destructive leaf blight and shoot pathogen, K. destructans. The latter fungus is considered a serious threat to the biosecurity of native eucalypts (Burgess et al. 2006) but has not been detected in Australia. The presence of a very similar but different fungus in the country will necessitate very careful comparisons when new records of similar Kirramyces spp. are made.

Sequence comparisons for all the isolates of *K. viscidus* examined in this study were identical. Conidial measurements for specimens on leaves also revealed no obvious differences between different collections. *Kirramyces* spp. from eucalypts are morphologically similar and thus difficult to distinguish from each other. Their current taxonomy consequently relies more heavily on DNA sequence comparisons than on morphology (Andjic *et al.* 2007*a*, 2007*b*).

The eucalypt taxa trial where K. viscidus was discovered was established in an ex-pasture area near remnant vegetation. In this trial, the *E. grandis*  $\times$  *camaldulensis* hybrids from South America are highly susceptible to infection by K. viscidus, while endemic Eucalyptus spp. are less susceptible. These hybrids, a range of clones initially tested in the late 1990s, have also shown high susceptibility to K. epicoccoides in NSW (Carnegie 2007a, 2007b) and to K. eucalypti in southern Qld (G. S. Pegg, pers. obs.). Therefore, they would not be suitable for planting in FNQ. This is not surprising, as these hybrids were specifically selected for planting in South America; an area free of most Australian native pests and diseases to which they may be susceptible. E. grandis, selected from seed derived from the Copperload provenance near Cairns in north Qld, was less susceptible to infection by K. viscidus, with only 15% of the foliage affected. K. viscidus was not detected on E. pellita and Corymbia spp., which was also planted in the trial. Based on these findings, K. viscidus is most probably endemic to Australia and would be unlikely to cause serious diseases on endemic *Eucalyptus* spp. The most likely source of the pathogen is the remnant vegetation close to the trial site.

The close relativity of *K. viscidus* to the aggressive pathogen *K. destructans* and the similarity in disease symptoms, suggests that the pathogen could seriously damage Australian eucalypt plantations in the future. There is an urgent need to study the biology and ecology of *K. viscidus* and to determine its potential impact to the eucalypt industry. Thus, surveys to find possible hosts in both native forests and plantations need to be conducted

in tropical regions, where non-endemic eucalypt plantations are being established. Here, priority should be given to native stands of *E. grandis* to target potential resistance for breeding programs using Australian derived material.

# Acknowledgements

This work was funded in part by the Australian Research Council DP0343600, 'Population genetics of fungal pathogens that threaten the biosecurity of Australia's eucalypts'. V. Andjic received a Murdoch University Doctoral Research Scholarship to undertake this study and related studies. We also thank Alex George for editing the Latin description and Dianne White for technical assistance.

#### References

- Andjic V, Hardy GEStJ, Cortinas MN, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI (2007*a*) Multiple gene genealogies reveal important relationships between *Phaeophleospora* spp. infecting *Eucalyptus* leaves. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 268, 22–33. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00637.x
- Andjic V, Barber PA, Carnegie AJ, Hardy GEStJ, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI (2007b) Phylogenetic reassessment supports accommodation of *Phaeophleospora* and *Colletogloeopsis* from eucalypts in *Kirramyces*. *Mycological Research*, in press.
- Barber PA (2004) Forest Pathology: the threat of disease to plantation forests in Indonesia. *The Plant Pathology Journal* **3**, 97–104.
- Burgess TI, Andjic V, Hardy GEStJ, Dell B, Xu D (2006) First report of *Phaeophleospora destructans* in China. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 18, 144–146.
- Carbone I, Kohn LM (1999) A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. *Mycologia* 91, 553–556. doi: 10.2307/3761358
- Carnegie AJ (2007*a*) Forest health condition in New South Wales, Australia, 1996–2005. I. Fungi recorded from eucalypt plantations during forest health surveys. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **36**, 213–224. doi: 10.1071/AP07020
- Carnegie AJ (2007b) Forest health condition in New South Wales, Australia, 1996–2005. II. Fungal damage recorded in eucalypt plantations during forest health surveys and their management. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 36, 225–239. doi: 10.1071/AP07021
- Carnegie AJ, Stone C, Lawson SA, Matsuki M (2005) Can we grow certified eucalypt plantations in subtropical Australia? – An insect pest management perspective. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science* 35, 223–245.
- Cortinas MN, Koch N, Thain J, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2004) First record of the *Eucalyptus* stem canker pathogen, *Coniothyrium zuluense* from Hawaii. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **33**, 309–312. doi: 10.1071/AP04015
- Cortinas MN, Burgess TI, Dell B, Xu DP, Crous PW, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2006) First record of *Colletogloeopsis zuluense* comb. nov., causing a stem canker of *Eucalyptus* in China. *Mycological Research* 110, 229–236. doi: 10.1016/j.mycres.2005.08.012
- Crous PW (1998) *Mycosphaerella* spp. and their anamorphs associated with leaf spot diseases of *Eucalyptus*. *Mycologia Memoir* **21**, 1–170.
- Dick M (1982) Leaf-inhabiting fungi of eucalypts in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science 12, 525–537.
- Dickinson G, Bristow M, Kelly N (2004) Promising high value hardwood plantation tree species for the dry tropics of Queensland. In 'Prospects for high-value hardwood timber plantations in the 'dry' tropics of northern Australia. Proceedings of a workshop held in Mareeba, Queensland, 19–21 October 2004'. (Eds DI Bevage, M Bristow, DG Nikles, D Skelton) (CD-ROM) (Private Forestry North Queensland Association Inc.: Kairi)
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence intervals on phylogenetics: an approach using bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**, 783–791.

- Gezahgne ARJ, Thu PQ, Wingfield MJ (2003) Coniothyrium stem canker of Eucalyptus, new to Argentina and Vietnam. *South African Journal of Science* 99, 587–588.
- Gezahgne ACM, Wingfield MJ, Roux J (2005) Characterisation of the Coniothyrium stem canker pathogen on *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in Ethiopia. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 34, 85–90. doi: 10.1071/AP04079
- Glass NL, Donaldson GC (1995) Development of primer sets designated for use with the PCR to amplify conserved regions from filamentous Ascomycetes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 61, 1323–1330.
- Heather WA (1961) Studies on *Septoria* sp. nov. causing a leaf blotch disease of *Eucalyptus dalrympleana* Maiden. MSc Thesis, University of Sydney.
- Hillis DM, Huelsenbeck JP (1992) Signal, noise and reliability in molecular phylogenetic analysis. *The Journal of Heredity* 83, 189–195.
- Lee JD (2007) Achievements in forest tree genetic improvement in Australia and New Zealand 2: development of *Corymbia* species and hybrids for plantations in eastern Australia. *Australian Forestry* **70**, 11.
- National Forestry Inventory (2004) 'National plantation inventory update March 2004.' (Bureau of Rural Sciences: Canberra)
- Nylander JAA (2004) MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- Old KM, Pongpanich K, Thu PQ, Wingfield MJ, Yuan ZQ (2003*a*) *Phaeophleospora destructans* causing leaf blight epidemics in South-East Asia. In 'ICPP. Christchurch, New Zealand'. p. 165.
- Old KM, Wingfield MJ, Yuan ZQ (2003*b*) 'A manual of diseases of eucalypts in South-East Asia.' (Centre for International Forestry Research: Bogor, Indonesia)
- Park RF, Keane PJ, Wingfield MJ, Crous PW (2000) Fungal diseases of eucalypt foliage. In 'Diseases and pathogens of eucalypts'. (Eds PJ Keane, GA Kile, FD Podger, BN Brown) pp. 153–240 (CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne)

- Ronquist F, Heuelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 19, 1572–1574. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180
- Sankaran KC, Sutton BC, Minter DW (1995) A checklist of fungi recorded on eucalypts. *Mycological Papers* 170, 1–375.
- Swofford DL (2003) 'Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods).' Version 4. (Sinauer Associates: Sunderland, MA)
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* 24, 4876–4882.
- Turnbull JW (2000) Economic and social importance of eucalypts. In 'Diseases and pathogens of eucalypts'. (Eds PJ Keane, GA Kile, FD Podger, BN Brown) pp. 1–10. (CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne)
- Walker J, Sutton BC, Pascoe IG (1992) Phaeoseptoria eucalypti and similar fungi on Eucalyptus with description of Kirramyces genom. nov. (Coelomycetes). Mycological Research 96, 911–924.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In 'PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications'. (Eds MA Innes, DH Gelfand, JJ Sninsky, TJ White) pp. 315–322. (Academic Press: San Diego, CA)
- Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Couthinho TA (1996a) A serious new canker disease of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa caused by a new species of *Coniothyrium*. *Mycopathologia* 136, 139–145. doi: 10.1007/ BF00438919
- Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Boden D (1996b) Kirramyces destructans sp. nov., a serious leaf pathogen of Eucalyptus in Indonesia. South African Journal of Botany 62, 325–327.

Manuscript received 1 June 2007, accepted 30 June 2007