Teratosphaeria (Mycosphaerella) nubilosa, the causal agent of Mycosphaerella leaf disease (MLD), recently introduced into Uruguay

Guillermo Pérez · Gavin C. Hunter · Bernard Slippers · Carlos Pérez · Brenda D. Wingfield · Michael J. Wingfield

Received: 29 May 2008 / Accepted: 12 March 2009 / Published online: 2 April 2009 © KNPV 2009

Abstract Mycosphaerella leaf disease on *Eucalyptus* is well known in Uruguay but none of the more serious *Mycosphaerella* spp. and *Teratosphaeria* spp. causing this disease have yet been found. In the autumn of 2007, more severe defoliation than has been known in the past and associated with symptoms resembling *Mycosphaerella* infections was observed on *Eucalyptus globulus*. Isolations and identifications based on morphology and DNA sequence comparisons showed that the causal agent of the defoliation is the well known and serious pathogen *Teratosphaeria*

G. Pérez (⊠) · G. C. Hunter · M. J. Wingfield
Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology,
Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI),
University of Pretoria,
Pretoria 0002, South Africa
e-mail: guillermo.perez@fabi.up.ac.za

G. C. HunterCBS, Fungal Biodiversity Centre,Uppsalalaan 8,3584 CT Utrecht, Netherlands

B. Slippers · B. D. Wingfield
Department of Genetics,
Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI),
University of Pretoria,
Pretoria 0002, South Africa

C. Pérez
Departamento de Protección Vegetal,
Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de la República,
Ruta 3 Km 363,
Paysandú CP60000, Uruguay

nubilosa (=Mycosphaerella nubilosa). This is the first record of the pathogen in South America. Using ten microsatellite loci previously developed for *T. nubilosa*, only one multilocus haplotype was found from 46 *T. nubilosa* collected isolates. Interestingly, this haplotype was the same as one previously found in Portugal and Spain. The results suggest that *T. nubilosa* has recently been introduced into Uruguay and that it most likely originated from the Iberian Peninsula where *E. globulus* is widely planted.

Keywords *Eucalyptus globulus* · Forest pathology · Genetic population · Introduced pathogen · Microsatellite markers · *Mycosphaerella nubilosa*

Abbreviations

MLD Mycosphaerella leaf disease

Introduction

Commercial forestry in Uruguay using exotic species of *Pinus* and *Eucalyptus* has expanded rapidly during the course of the last two decades. *Eucalyptus* plantations now cover approximately 457,000 ha with >50% of this area being planted to *Eucalyptus globulus* (Petraglia and Dell'Acqua 2006). Other species commonly planted include *E. grandis* and *E. dunnii*. As in other areas of the world, the principal end-use of these plantations is in the pulp and paper industry, which is growing rapidly in Uruguay.

More than 100 species of *Mycosphaerella* have been identified from Eucalyptus, where they primarily cause leaf spots (Burgess et al. 2007; Crous 1998; Crous et al. 2007). Collectively, these leaf diseases are referred to as Mycosphaerella leaf disease (MLD) (Crous 1998). However, Crous et al. (2007) have shown recently that the genus Mycosphaerella is polyphyletic and therefore several species occurring on Eucalyptus have been transferred to the genus Teratosphaeria. Despite the changes in the species names, the common name for the associated disease has not changed. Thus, MLD on Eucalyptus is currently caused by both Mycosphaerella spp. and Teratosphaeria spp. (Crous et al. 2007; Hunter et al. 2009). Mycosphaerella leaf disease reduces the photosynthetic capacity of leaves causing premature defoliation, shoot die-back and decreased growth resulting in significant growth losses (Lundquist and Purnell 1987; Milgate et al. 2005; Mohammed et al. 2003; Park and Keane 1982a).

Despite the fact that many species of Teratosphaeria and Mycosphaerella infect Eucalyptus, few studies have considered the pathogenicity of these fungi. Certain species such as Teratosphaeria nubilosa (= Mycosphaerella nubilosa) and Teratosphaeria cryptica (= Mycosphaerella cryptica) act as primary pathogens infecting living leaf tissue and acquiring nutrients from them in a hemibiotrophic fashion (Hunter et al. 2009; Park and Keane 1982b). These two species are considered as the most important causing MLD worldwide (Carnegie and Ades 2002; Hunter et al. 2009; Park and Keane 1982a). In contrast, many Teratosphaeria and Mycosphaerella species on Eucalyptus are apparently mildly pathogenic or secondary colonisers of necrotic tissue, following infection by more pathogenic species, insect damage or from natural leaf senescence (Crous 1998; Crous et al. 2006).

Alfenas et al. (2004) reported four species of *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* in Brazil, namely *M. marksii, M. parkii, T. suberosa* and *T. suttonii*. However, there are no reports of MLD resulting in severe losses either in Brazil (Alfenas et al. 2004) or in the rest of South America. Severe defoliation of *E. globulus* was observed in Chile during 2000 and while *M. grandis, M. lateralis, M. parkii, M. walkeri, T. africana* and *T. molleriana* were associated with lesions, the primary cause of the defoliation was

abiotic stress (Ahumada 2003). In Uruguay, several species of *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* have been reported, including *M. marksii, M. walkeri, M. vespa* and *T. suberosa* (Balmelli et al. 2004), but none are known as aggressive pathogens.

A recent population study of T. nubilosa suggests that the natural range of this species is eastern Australia (Hunter et al. 2008; 2009), coinciding with the natural distribution of E. globulus and E. nitens (Tibbits et al. 1997), two of the most susceptible species to T. nubilosa (Carnegie et al. 1998). The introduction of Eucalyptus spp. into many Southern Hemisphere countries during the course of the last century has allowed T. nubilosa to expand its geographical distribution considerably (Hunter et al. 2009). The first report of T. nubilosa (as Mycosphaerella molleriana) outside Australia was in 1950 in South Africa, although it is believed that it was present in that country as early as the 1930s (Lundquist and Purnell 1987). By 1982, T. nubilosa was known to be broadly distributed in New Zealand (Mohammed et al. 2003). The introduction of T. nubilosa into Western Australia most likely occurred between 1994 and 1999 (Maxwell et al. 2001). In 1995, it was reported in Kenya, Tanzania and Zambia (as Mycosphaerella juvenis) (Crous 1998) and in 2000 in Ethiopia (Hunter et al. 2008). Teratosphaeria nubilosa apparently arrived in Europe around 2001, where it was associated with E. globulus in four regions of Spain (Crous et al. 2004) and more recently in Portugal (Hunter et al. 2008). Given its widespread distribution as an alien invasive pathogen, it is interesting that T. nubilosa has not previously been reported from any South American country.

During the autumn of 2007, severe defoliation resulting from symptoms resembling those of MLD was observed on young E. globulus plantations in Uruguay. The change in the status of MLD in the country and the severity of the defoliation led to the suspicion that a new pathogen had been introduced into Uruguay. The aims of this study are to isolate and identify the causal agent of the disease. Once the pathogen had been identified, an investigation to determine the most probable origin of the pathogen was undertaken using 10 microsatellite loci. In this regard, the three possible areas of origin that were considered included Spain, because this country has acted as a source of seed and clonal material for Uruguayan forestry (Balmelli 2006); South Africa, the country where E. globulus was first introduced as a

non-native for forestry in 1853 (Potts et al. 2004) and Australia, which is known to represent the native range of *T. nubilosa* and most *Eucalyptus* grown in Uruguay (Balmelli 2006; Hunter et al. 2008).

Materials and methods

Sample collection and lesion description

Diseased juvenile, intermediate and adult *Eucalyptus* leaves showing symptoms of MLD were collected during December 2007. Juvenile leaves were obtained from young trees, coppice growth and epicormic shoots on older trees. Plantations surveyed included *E. globulus* subsp. *globulus*, *E. globulus* subsp. *maidenii* and *E. dunnii* of different ages. Sampling was conducted in six provinces of Uruguay, namely Colonia, Lavalleja, Maldonado, Paysandú, Río Negro and Rocha. *Eucalyptus* leaves were air-dried and transported to a laboratory for detailed description of the lesions and isolations.

Fungal isolations

Lesions on the affected leaves were typical of those caused by a Mycosphaerella sp. or Teratosphaeria sp. with pseudothecia abundant on the abaxial surfaces. Fungal strains were isolated using the method described by Crous (1998). Lesions were excised from the leaves, hydrated for 2 h and attached to the undersides of Petri dish lids, with pseudothecia facing downwards over 2% Malt Extract Agar (MEA). After 24 h, germinating ascospores were observed on the surface of the agar, photographed using phase contrast microscopy and classified according to the germination patterns described by Crous (1998). Single germinating ascospores were transferred to fresh 2% MEA plates to obtain pure cultures, initially incubated at 15°C for 2 weeks and then at 20°C for a further 30 days. All cultures obtained in this study were deposited in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Mycelium was scraped from the surface of actively growing cultures, freeze-dried and ground to a fine powder using sterile metal beads on a Mixer Mill type MM 301 Retsch[®] tissue lyser (Retsch, Germany) for 3 min at a frequency of 30 cycles s⁻¹ DNA was isolated following the protocol described by Cortinas et al. (2006).

The internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) and the 5.8S gene of the rDNA operon were amplified using the primer pairs ITS-1 and ITS-4 (White et al. 1990). A portion of the beta tubulin-2 gene region was amplified using the primers Bt-2a and Bt-2b (Glass and Donaldson 1995). PCR reactions were conducted with the same reaction mixture as those utilised by Cortinas et al. (2006) with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30s at 94°C, 30 s at 57°C, 1 min at 72°C and a final elongation step of 7 min at 72°C.

For DNA sequencing reactions, PCR products were purified using Sephadex G-50 (Sigma Aldrich) in Centri-Sep spin columns (Princeton Separations) following the manufacturer's instructions. Purified amplicons were sequenced using the ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator Cycle sequencing reaction kit v 3.1 (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturer's protocols. Both forward and reverse primers were utilised in all cases to sequence both strands.

Phylogenetic analyses

DNA sequences obtained in this study were analysed and edited in MEGA v 3.1 (Kumar et al. 2004). Analysed sequences were compared to representative *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* sequences deposited in GenBank, including *T. nubilosa* sequences reported from different countries (Table 1). All sequences obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

DNA sequence alignments were conducted in MAFFT v 6. employing the high-accuracy option of local alignment mode L-INS-I (Katoh et al. 2005) and manually aligned by inserting gaps when necessary in MEGA v 3.1 (Kumar et al. 2004). MODELTEST v 3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998) was utilised to select the best nucleotide substitution model to fit the data. Using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), the Transitional Model (TIM+G) with a gamma distribution of the rate variation was then selected for a Bayesian analysis. Gaps were treated as missing data. Four Monte-Carlo Markov chains were run twice in MrBayes 3.1.1 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) for

Indicator Cogn Cogn Cogn Cogn Control Contro Contro Contro <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						
Monoplate antiopylatic CMV 14180; CBS 11039 Exclopture globulus Australian AY72550 Av72550 M vogain CWV 1158; CBS 11724 E globulus Australian DQ30550 M vogain CWV 1158; CBS 11724 E globulus Australian DQ30265 $Train volganica CBS 11609 E submes Australian DQ30265 Train volganica CBS 11600 CBS 11600 E submes Australian DQ30265 Train volganica CBS 11600 CBS 11600 E submes Australian DQ30265 T mubiose CBN 1428 E submes Australian DQ92355 DQ93266 T mubiose CNW 1538 E submes New Zealand DQ923553 DQ93266 T mubiose CNW 12548 E submes New Zealand DQ923553 DQ93266 T mubiose CNW 12548 E submes New Zealand DQ923553 DQ93266 T mubiose CNW 12548 E submes New Zealand DQ923553 DQ93266 T mubiose $	rungai Species	Isolate number	ION	Ungin	Genbank number ITS	Beta tubulm-2
M. voge CMW 1155; CBS 117924 E globulo Australia DQ267590 M. voga Sill All Africa XY13457 Sill All Africa XY13457 Molosicocam parva Sill All Africa XY13457 DQ903051 Envirologicaria cryptica CBS 111679 E nitros Australia DQ903051 Envirologicaria cryptica CBS 111679 E nitros Australia DQ903051 Envirologicar CMW 12548 E globulos South Africa AY73572 DQ903067 Envirologicar CMW 12548 E globulos South Africa AY7344909 D0933067 Envirologicar CMW 12548 E globulos South Africa D0933563 D0933067 Envirologicar CMW 12548 E globulos South Africa D0933563 D0933563 Envirologicar CMW 2371 E globulos South Africa D0933563 D19931579791 Envirologicar CMW 2371 E globulos South Africa D0933563 D19931579791 Envirologicar CMW 23201 CMW 23201 E globu	Mycosphaerella ambiphylla	CMW 14180; CBS 110499	Eucalyptus globulus	Australia	AY725530	
Monthlead STEUL 4438 Tatis variger South Africa N7343467 Reversylneral cryptica Matter DQ3D951 Riversylneral DQ3D951 Reversylneral cryptica CBS 11605 E adoptiva sp. Austerlina EQ30055 T mublicae CBS 11605 E globular Austerlina R134090 DQ3D51 T mublicae CAW 9005; CBS 114005 E globular Austerlina AYT25572 DQ992065 T mublicae CAW 14928 E globular New Zealhad DQ32548 DQ923565 T mublicae CAW 12518 E globular New Zealhad DQ923565 DQ992067 T mublicae CAW 21613 E globular New Zealhad DQ923565 DQ923565 T mublicae CAW 21613 E globular Arsterlina DQ923565 DQ923665 T mublicae CAW 22310 E globular Arsterlina DQ923565 DQ923665 T mublicae CAW 23210 E globular Arsterlina DQ923565 TO923565 T mublicae CAW 23300 CAW 2	M. vespa	CMW 11558; CBS 117924	E. globulus	Australia	DQ267590	
Taranapharia cryptica MCC S61 Evaluption DQ30251 Turbibas (exceptive) GB3 11073 π mission DQ30251 Turbibas (exceptive) GB3 11073 π mission Mastralia DQ30257 Turbibas (exceptive) GB3 11073 π mission Avrstatia Avrstatia Turbibas (exceptive) GB3 11073 π mission New Zealand DQ923570 DQ93067 Turbibas CMW 1928 π globulus New Zealand DQ923565 DQ93067 Turbibas CMW 21518 π globulus New Zealand DQ923565 DQ93067 Turbibas CMW 21518 π fibribas DQ923565 DQ93067 Turbibas CMW 2311 π miblibas DQ923565 DQ93365 Turbibas CMW 23016 π miblibas DQ923565	Neofusicoccum parvum	STEU 4438	Vitis vinifera	South Africa	AY343467	
T cryptica GBS 111679 E news Australia E (1301082) D0092068 T mublicos CNW 19035 E globulus Nastralia AT72577 D0992068 T mublicos CNW 19035 E globulus Now Zahl D0923545 D0992067 T mublicos CNW 19798 E globulus Now Zahl D0923556 D0992067 T mublicos CNW 12548 E globulus Spain D0923556 D0992067 T mublicos CNW 12548 E globulus South Africa D0923556 D0992067 T mublicos CNW 23910 E globulus Nastralia D0923556 D0992067 T mublicos CNW 23910 E globulus Australia D0923556 D0923555 T mublicos CNW 23910 E globulus Australia D0923556 D0923565 T mublicos CNW 23910 E globulus Australia D0923555 D0923565 T mublicos CNW 23910 E globulus Australia D0923556 D0923565 T mublicos<	Teratosphaeria cryptica	MUCC 561	Eucalyptus sp.	Australia	DQ302951	
Implication CBS 116005 E. globulus Australia Ar725572 DQ902068 Imblicat CMW 9003; CBS 114708 E niews South Africa Ar49099 DQ923548 DQ902067 Imblicat CMW 1928 E globulus New Zealand DQ923548 DQ992067 Imblicat CMW 1928 E globulus South Africa DQ923565 DQ992067 Imblicat CMW 18796 E globulus South Africa DQ923565 DQ992067 Imblicat CMW 18796 E globulus South Africa DQ923565 DQ992067 Imblicat CMW 23010 E globulus Australia DQ923565 DQ933555 Imblicat CMW 23916 E dobulus Australia DQ923561 E globulus Imblicat CMW 23910 E dobulus Australia DQ923561 E globulus Imblicat CMW 23910 E dobulus Australia DQ933561 E globulus Imblicat CMW 23010 E dobulus Australia DQ923561 E globulus <td< td=""><td>T. cryptica</td><td>CBS 111679</td><td>E. nitens</td><td>Australia</td><td>EU301082</td><td></td></td<>	T. cryptica	CBS 111679	E. nitens	Australia	EU301082	
T Indubiase CMW 9003; CBS 114708 E niens South Africa AT44909 T indibiase CWW 12928 E globulus New Zealand D0923576 D0923576 T indibiase CWW 12928 E globulus New Zealand D0923576 D0923576 T indibiase CWW 21518 E niterus South Africa D0923556 D0923576 T indibiase CWW 21518 E globulus New Zealand D0923556 D0923556 T indibiase CWW 23271 E globulus Nastralia D0923556 D0923556 T indibiase CWW 23291 E niterus Nastralia D0923556 E 199186 E17918 T indibiase CWW 28591 E niterus Nastralia D09235561 E179180 E179180 T indibiase CNW 28592 CNW 30206 Mastralia D09235561 E179180 E179180 T indibiase CNW 28592 CNW 28592 Mastralia D0923561 E17918180 E179181 T	T. nubilosa (ex-epitype)	CBS 116005	E. globulus	Australia	AY725572	DQ992068
TTIndultosConvertigadE. globulusNew ZealandDQ923548TmubilosaCMW 1548E. globulusSpinDQ923553DQ993667TmubilosaCMW 18196E. globulusSouth AfricaDQ923553D9932665TmubilosaCMW 2103E. globulusTanzaniaDQ923553D9932665TmubilosaCMW 22371E. globulusAustraliaDQ923553D9932655TmubilosaCMW 23910E. globulusAustraliaDQ923553D0923553TmubilosaCMW 23910E. globulusAustraliaDQ923553D0923553TmubilosaCMW 23910E. globulusAustraliaDQ923553D0923553TmubilosaCMW 23910E. globulusParamiaD0923553E179180TmubilosaCMW 23920E. globulusPasandia-UnguayF1791791F1791791TmubilosaCMW 23020E. globulusPayandia-UnguayF1791791F1791791TmubilosaCMW 2839CMW 30210E. globulusPayandia-UnguayF1791791F1791802F179180TmubilosaCMW 2839CMW 30211E. globulusPayandia-UnguayF1791791F1791802F1791802F1791802TmubilosaCMW 2839CMW 30212CMW 30213E. globulusPayandia-UnguayF1791795F1791802F1791802F1791802TmubilosaCMW 28302CMW 30213E. globulusE. globulu	T. nubilosa	CMW 9003; CBS 114708	E nitens	South Africa	AF449099	
T T Dialise CMW 12548 E globulus Spain DQ923570 DQ92067 T Tubilosa CMW 18796 E globulus Portugal DQ92355 DQ92365 T Tubilosa CMW 21518 E globulus Souh Africa DQ92355 DQ92365 T Tubilosa CMW 21610 E globulus Nastralia DQ92355 DQ92355 T Tubilosa CMW 23910 E dumi Australia DQ923553 PO923561 T Tubilosa CMW 1148 E globulus Australia DQ923561 PT91788 PT917919 T Tubilosa CMW 23016 E globulus subsp. maidenii Paysandis-Uruguay PT91788 PT917919 PT917919 PT917919 PT919188 PT91918 P	T. nubilosa	CMW14928	E. globulus	New Zealand	DQ923548	
TTmoliosaCMW 18796EEPortugalDQ923565TmubiosaCMW 21518EmustSouth AfricaDQ923555TanzaniaDQ923555TmubiosaCMW 21310EglobulusAustraliaDQ923553DQ923555TmubiosaCMW 23910EglobulusAustraliaDQ923551TmubiosaCMW 23910EdumiAustraliaDQ923555TmubiosaCMW 1148EglobulusAustraliaDQ923551TmubiosaCMW 1148EglobulusEglobulusTmubiosaCMW 2859CMW 30206EglobulusPaysandi-UnguayF1791795F179180TmubiosaCMW 30201Eglobulus subsp. maideniiPaysandi-UnguayF1791796F1791793F179180TmubiosaCMW 3021Eglobulus subsp. globulusPaysandi-UnguayF1791796F1791793F1791818F1791818TmubiosaCMW 30213CMW 30212Eglobulus subsp. globulusPaysandi-UnguayF1791796F1791795F1791818F1791818F1791818TmubiosaCMW 30213CMW 30212Eglobulus subsp. globulusF1791796F1791795F1791803F1791803F1791801TmubiosaCMW 30213Eglobulus subsp. globulusColonia-UnguayF1791796F1791803F1791803F1791801F1791801F1791818F1791818F1791818F179181	T. nubilosa	CMW 12548	E globulus	Spain	DQ923570	DQ992067
T Induisoa CMW 21518 E nitens South Africa DQ23576 T Inibiosa CMW 2103 E globulus Tanzania DQ23556 T Inibiosa CMW 2103 E globulus Australia DQ23558 T Inibiosa CMW 2103 E globulus Australia DQ23558 T Inibiosa CMW 2100 E dumit Australia DQ23558 T Inibiosa CMW 21919 E globulus Australia DQ23558 T Inibiosa CMW 2189 E globulus Australia DQ23561 E TJ91789 E1791789 T Inibiosa CMW 30206 CMW E. globulus subsp. maideni Paysandi-Unguay E1791788 E1791789 E1791789 T Inibiosa CMW 30208 CMW E. globulus subsp. globulus Paysandi-Unguay E1791791 E1791186 E1791189 T Inibiosa CMW 30210 E. globulus subsp. globulus Paysandi-Unguay E1791794 E1791180 E1791818 E179191816 E17911816 E17911	T. nubilosa	CMW 18796	E globulus	Portugal	DQ923565	
T Inhibisa CMW 21603 E globulus Tanzania DQ923553 T inhibisa CMW 22311 E globulus Australia DQ923553 T inhibisa CMW 22311 E globulus Australia DQ923553 T inhibisa CMW 22910 E dumi Australia DQ923558 T inhibisa CMW 1148 E globulus Australia DQ923558 T inhibisa CMW 23016 EN E slobulus subsp. maidenti Paysandia-Uruguay $E7791395$ $E7791305$ T inhibisa 30209 CMW 30211 E globulus subsp. maidenti Paysandia-Uruguay $E7791795$ $E7791305$ $E7791$	T. nubilosa	CMW 21518	E nitens	South Africa	DQ923576	
TInbloseCMW 22371E globulusE globulusAustraliaDQ923573T $nibiose$ CMW 23910E. dumiiAustraliaDQ923561DQ923561T $nibiose$ CMW 23910E. dumiiAustraliaDQ923561Paysandia-UnguayF1791791T $nubiose$ CMW 11148E. globulusE. globulusPaysandia-UnguayF1791791F1791791F1791791T $nubiose$ CMW 11148E. globulus subsp. maideniiPaysandia-UnguayF1791791F1791791F179188F179188T $nubiose$ CMW 30210E. globulus subsp. maideniiPaysandia-UnguayF1791791F1791792F179181F179181T $nubiose$ CMW 30210E. globulus subsp. globulusPaysandia-UnguayF1791795F179180F179181F179181F179181T $nubiose$ CMW 30211CMW 30214E. globulus subsp. globulusLavalleja-UnguayF1791795F179180F179181F179	T. nubilosa	CMW 21603	E globulus	Tanzania	DQ923563	
T Imblosa CMW 23910 E. dumi Australia DQ92358 T mblosa CMW 23916 E. dumi Mustralia DQ923561 T mblosa CMW 1148 E. globulus Enters Australia DQ923561 T mblosa CMW 1148 E. globulus Enters Australia DQ923561 T mblosa CMW 1148 E. globulus Britopia AY24409 F1791792 F1791790 F1791808 F179180 T mblosa 0200 CMW 30212 CMW E. globulus subsp. globulus Paysandu-Uruguay F1791794 F1791795 F1791808 F179180 F1791806 F1791806 F17918181 F1791806	T. nubilosa	CMW 22371	E globulus	Australia	DQ923573	
T mblosa CMW 23916 E niters Australia DQ923561 T mblosa CMW 1148 E. globulus Ethiopia AY24409 F1791789 F1791790 F1791808 F179180 T mblosa CMW 23593 CMW 30206 CMW E. globulus subsp. maidenii Paysandú-Uruguay F1791788 F1791790 F1791808 F179180 T mbilosa CMW 23593 CMW 30210 E. globulus subsp. maidenii Paysandú-Uruguay F1791791 F1791790 F1791808 F179180 T mbilosa CMW 30211 CMW 30212 CMW E. globulus subsp. globulus Paysandú-Uruguay F1791794 F1791795 F1791808 F179181 T mbilosa 30213 CMW 30214 E. globulus subsp. globulus Lavalleja-Uruguay F1791795 F1791903 F1791808 F179181 T mbilosa 30216 CMW 30214 E. globulus subsp. globulus Lavalleja-Uruguay F1791795 F1791803 F17918184 F179181 T mbilosa OW 30216 CMW 30214 E. globulus subsp. globulus Lavalleja-Uruguay F1791795 F1791803 F1791804 F1791803 T mbilosa OW 2850 CMW 30219 E. globulus subsp. globulus	T. nubilosa	CMW 23910	E. dunnii	Australia	DQ923558	
T. mbilosa CMW 11148 E. globulus E. globulus E. globulus E. globulus Paysandi-Uruguay F1791788 F1791799 F1791793 F1791808 F179180 F179180 F179188 F1791793 F179180 F179180 F179180 F179181 F1791793 F179183 F1791793 F179183 F1791793 F179183 F179183 <td>T. nubilosa</td> <td>CMW 23916</td> <td>E nitens</td> <td>Australia</td> <td>DQ923561</td> <td></td>	T. nubilosa	CMW 23916	E nitens	Australia	DQ923561	
T. mubilosa CMW 28593 CMW 30206 CMW E. globulus subsp. maidenii Paysandu-Uruguay F1791788 F1791789 F1791793 F1791808 F179180 30207 CMW 30208 CMW 30207 CMW 30208 CMW 5. globulus subsp. maidenii Paysandu-Uruguay F1791791 F1791793 F179180 F179180 F179180 F179180 F179180 F179180 F179180 F179180 F179180 F179181	T. nubilosa	CMW 11148	E. globulus	Ethiopia	AY244409	
T. nubilosa CMW 30211 CMW 30212 CMW E. globulus subsp. globulus Paysandú-Uruguay F1791794 F1791795 F1791816 F17918 T. nubilosa 30213 CMW 30214 E. globulus subsp. globulus Lavalleja-Uruguay F1791796 F1791797 F1791816 F17918 T. nubilosa CMW 28594 CMW 30215 CMW E. globulus subsp. globulus Lavalleja-Uruguay F1791798 F1791800 F1791818 T. nubilosa CMW 28595 CMW 30217 CMW 30218 E. globulus subsp. globulus Colonia-Uruguay F1791806 F1791803 F1791820 F1791803 T. nubilosa CMW 28595 CMW 30220 E. globulus subsp. globulus Colonia-Uruguay F1791806 F1791803 F1791822 F1791803 T. nubilosa CMW 28596 CMW 30220 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay F1791806 F1791803 F1791826 F179182 T. nubilosa CMW 28596 CMW 30220 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay F1791806 F1791805 F1791826 F179182 T. nubilosa CMW 28596 CMW 30221 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay F1791806 F1791805 F1791826 F179182 T. nubilosa CMW 28596 CMW 30221 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay F1791806 F1791807 <td>T. nubilosa</td> <td>CMW 28593 CMW 30206 CMW 30207 CMW 30208 CMW 30209 CMW 30210</td> <td>E. globulus subsp. maidenii</td> <td>Paysandú-Uruguay</td> <td>EJ791788 EJ791789 EJ791790 EJ791791 EJ791792 EJ791793</td> <td>EJ791808 FJ791809 FJ791810 FJ791811 FJ791812 FJ791813</td>	T. nubilosa	CMW 28593 CMW 30206 CMW 30207 CMW 30208 CMW 30209 CMW 30210	E. globulus subsp. maidenii	Paysandú-Uruguay	EJ791788 EJ791789 EJ791790 EJ791791 EJ791792 EJ791793	EJ791808 FJ791809 FJ791810 FJ791811 FJ791812 FJ791813
T. nubilosa CMW 28594 CMW 30215 CMW E. globulus subsp. globulus Lavalleja-Uruguay FJ791798 FJ791799 FJ791800 FJ791818 30216 CMW 30217 CMW 30219 30216 CMW 30217 CMW 30218 E. globulus subsp. globulus FJ791801 FJ791802 FJ791803 FJ791802 FJ791803 FJ791802 FJ791803 FJ791820 FJ79181 7. nubilosa CMW 28595 CMW 30210 E. globulus subsp. globulus Colonia-Uruguay FJ791804 FJ791805 FJ791820 FJ79182 7. nubilosa CMW 28595 CMW 30220 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay FJ791806 FJ791805 FJ791820 FJ79182 7. nubilosa CMW 28596 CMW 30221 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay FJ791806 FJ791805 FJ791820 FJ79182 7. nubilosa CMW 4949; CBS 111164 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay FJ791806 FJ791807 FJ791826 FJ79182 7. nubleriana CMW 4949; CBS 111164 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay FJ791806 FJ791807 FJ791826 FJ79182 7. nubleriana CMW 4949; CBS 111164 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay FJ791806 FJ791820 FJ791826 FJ79182 7. nubleriana CMW 4949; CBS 111313 Eucalyptus sp.	T. nubilosa	CMW 30211 CMW 30212 CMW 30213 CMW 30213 CMW 30214	E. globulus subsp. globulus	Paysandú-Uruguay	FJ791794 FJ791795 FJ791796 FJ791797	FJ791814 FJ791815 FJ791816 FJ791817
T. nubilosa CMW 28595 CMW 30220 E. globulus subsp. globulus Colonia-Uruguay FJ791804 FJ791805 FJ791805 FJ791805 T. nubilosa CMW 28596 CMW 30221 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay FJ791806 FJ791805 FJ791826 FJ79182 T. nubilosa CMW 4949; CBS 111164 E. globulus Rocha-Uruguay FJ791806 FJ791807 FJ791826 FJ79182 T. nolleriana CMW 4949; CBS 111164 E. globulus Portugal AF309620 T. suttonii CMW 5348 Eucalyptus sp. Indonesia AF309621 T. toledana CMW14457; CBS 113313 Eucalyptus sp. Spain AY725580	T. nubilosa	CMW 28594 CMW 30215 CMW 30216 CMW 30217 CMW 30218 CMW 30219	E. globulus subsp. globulus	Lavalleja-Uruguay	EJ791798 EJ791799 EJ791800 EJ791801 EJ791802 EJ791803	EJ791818 FJ791819 FJ791820 FJ791821 FJ791822 FJ791823
T. nubilosa CMW 28596 CMW 30221 E. globulus subsp. globulus Rocha-Uruguay FJ791806 FJ791807 FJ791826 FJ79182 T. nolleriana CMW 4949; CBS 111164 E. globulus Portugal AF309620 FJ791826 FJ79182 T. nolleriana CMW 4949; CBS 111164 E. globulus Portugal AF309620 FJ791826 FJ79182 T. suttonii CMW 5348 E. cadyptus sp. Indonesia AF309620 FJ791826 FJ79182 T. suttonii CMW 4377; CBS 113313 Eucalyptus sp. Indonesia AF309621	T. nubilosa	CMW 28595 CMW 30220	E. globulus subsp. globulus	Colonia-Uruguay	FJ791804 FJ791805	FJ791824 FJ791825
T. molleriana CMW 4949; CBS 111164 E. globulus Portugal AF309620 T. suttonii CMW 5348 Eucalyptus sp. Indonesia AF309621 T. suttonia CMW 1457; CBS 113313 Eucalyptus sp. Spain AY725580	T. nubilosa	CMW 28596 CMW 30221	E. globulus subsp. globulus	Rocha-Uruguay	FJ791806 FJ791807	FJ791826 FJ791827
T. suttonii CMW 5348 Eucalyptus sp. Indonesia AF309621 T. toledana CMW14457; CBS 113313 Eucalyptus sp. Spain AY725580	T. molleriana	CMW 4949; CBS 111164	E. globulus	Portugal	AF309620	
T. toledana CMW14457; CBS 113313 Eucalyptus sp. Spain AY725580	T. suttonii	CMW 5348	Eucalyptus sp.	Indonesia	AF309621	
	T. toledana	CMW14457; CBS 113313	Eucalyptus sp.	Spain	AY725580	

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

STEU: Culture collection of Stellenbosch University, South Africa MUCC: Culture collection of Murdoch University, Australia

112

two million generations starting from random trees; trees were sampled every 100th generation. The first 3000 trees were discarded (burn-in). The remaining 17,000 trees were utilised to obtain a consensus Bayesian tree (50% majority-rule), rooted using *Neofusicoccum parvum* (= *Botryosphaeria parva*) (AF343467) as the outgroup taxon.

For parsimony analysis, heuristic searches were conducted in PAUP v 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) using Tree Bisection Reconnection (TBR) as the branchswapping algorithm and the following parameters: MulTrees were on, starting trees were obtained via stepwise addition of 100 random replicates and remaining trees were added in single sequence fashion, MaxTrees was set at 100. Parsimony uninformative characters were excluded from the analysis. Finally, 1000 bootstrap replicates were conducted to determine confidence levels of branching points in the phylogenetic tree.

Genescan and population diversity

A total of 46 isolates collected from leaves on different trees from four provinces of Uruguay was selected to consider the diversity of the population (Table 2). Ten microsatellite loci were amplified using the fluorescently-labelled primers developed by Hunter et al. (2006). PCR reactions were conducted as described above, starting with an initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, 1 min at 72°C and a final elongation step for 45 min at 72°C. An exception was made in those reactions employing the MN-8 primer pair, where the annealing temperature was set at 54°C and not at 60°C. GeneScan-500 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems) was utilised to determine the allele sizes by electrophoresis on an ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems).

Genescan and Genemapper software packages (Applied Biosystems) were used for further data analysis.

Results

Sample collection and lesion description

Leaf spot and blotch symptoms were observed in all surveyed areas on *E. globulus* subsp. *globulus* and *E. globulus* subsp. *maidenii*, but they were less evident on *E. dunnii*. Similar leaf spots were observed on both juvenile and intermediate age foliage (Fig. 1). Leaf spots were present on leaves from the previous season's growth as well as on juvenile leaves from the current season. Usually the second or third fully expanded leaf on new branches was already showing symptoms, suggesting the presence of a primary pathogen.

The most common lesions observed were yellow to brown in colour, round to angular in shape, frequently coalescing to form larger blotches across the leaf surface (Fig. 1). As they aged, lesions became darker and pseudothecia became more visible, especially, but not exclusively, on the abaxial leaf surfaces (Fig. 1b, f). Lesion borders were surrounded by raised corky reddish to red-purple margins (Fig. 1f, g). These lesions were typical of MLD.

Evaluation of the severity of the disease was beyond the scope of the current study; however, remarkable differences in the impact of the disease were observed between *Eucalyptus* species. Leaves of both subspecies of *E. globulus* showed several spots per leaf and in severely affected plantations the diseased area covered up to 50% of the leaf lamina (Fig. 1a–d). On *E. dunnii*, however, typically only one to three small lesions were observed per leaf (Fig. 1e). Adult foliage also exhibited symptoms of MLD, but the character-

Table 2Origin of theUruguayan Teratosphaerianubilosa isolates used inthis study

Host	Province	Area	No. of isolates
Eucalyptus globulus subsp. maidenii	Paysandú	Paso de la Cruz	12
E. globulus subsp. globulus	Paysandú	Paso de la Cruz	11
E. globulus subsp. globulus	Lavalleja	Campanero	14
E. globulus subsp. globulus	Colonia	Colonia	2
E. globulus subsp. globulus	Rocha	La Pedrera	7
		Total	46

Eur J Plant Pathol (2009) 125:109-118

Fig 1 Leaf symptoms, lesions and germinating ascospore of Teratosphaeria nubilosa on different hosts. a Adaxial surface of juvenile foliage of E. globulus subsp. maidenii b Abaxial surface of the same leaf in a c Intermediate foliage of E. globulus subsp. maidenii d E. globulus subsp. globulus (adaxial surface) e E. dunnii (adaxial surface) f Lesion on E. globulus subsp. maidenii (abaxial surface) \mathbf{g} Lesion on E. dunnii (adaxial surface) h Germinating ascospore after 24 h



istics of the lesions were different from those found on juvenile and intermediate foliage. Lesions were usually smaller than typical for the pathogen and they did not coalesce to form blotches. These lesions were more frequent on senescing leaves, characteristic of saprophytic or slightly pathogenic *Mycosphaerella* spp. and *Teratosphaeria* spp.

Fungal isolations

Germinating ascospores from leaf spots found on both juvenile and intermediate age foliage showed the type F germination pattern described by Crous (1998). The same germination pattern was observed in ascospores obtained from *E. globulus* subsp. *globulus*, *E. globulus* subsp. *maidenii* and *E. dunnii*. Both cells of the ascospores produced germ tubes that grew parallel to the long axis of the spore. Spores became distorted and slightly constricted at the medium septum and did not darken during germination (Fig. 1h). Conversely, ascospores isolated from adult leaves showed a different germination pattern to those from young and intermediate age foliage.

Phylogenetic analyses

PCR amplifications of the ITS region yielded amplicons of approximately 600 bp for all the isolates. Following alignment, the DNA sequence data contained a total of 580 characters, 397 of which were constant, 119 were variable and parsimonyuninformative and 64 were parsimony-informative. The consensus Bayesian phylogram showed that all isolates from Uruguay grouped together in a well supported clade (Bayesian support=0.99) (Fig. 2). The ex-epitype isolate of *T. nubilosa* was also included in this clade, along with *T. nubilosa* isolates from different countries and hosts. Similarly, parsimony analysis resulted in a phylogenetic tree where all isolates from Uruguay and *T. nubilosa* grouped in a clade showing 100% bootstrap support (data not shown).

PCR amplifications of the beta tubulin-2 locus yielded amplicons of approximately 400 bp for all the isolates. The beta tubulin-2 sequences for all 20 Uruguayan isolates were identical (data not shown but see Table 1 for GenBank accession numbers). In addition, beta tubulin-2 sequences of Uruguayan isolates showed 100% similarity to the sequence for

Fig 2 Bayesian consensus tree obtained from the ITS sequence data. Bayesian support values are shown on the branching points. Uruguayan isolates are shown in bold and the number of isolates per province is provided in brackets. The outgroup branch has been shortened



the ex-epitype culture of this species and to the sequence of a previously identified *T. nubilosa* isolate from Spain (data not shown but see Table 1 for GenBank accession numbers).

Genescan and population diversity

All ten microsatellite loci used to describe the genetic diversity of the isolates obtained in this study were monomorphic, showing only one allele per locus. In this way, allele sizes 267, 183, 309, 162, 380, 319, 216, 137, 224 and 100 bp were obtained from the loci MN-1, MN-2, MN-3, MN-4, MN-7, MN-8, MN-9,

MN-10, MN-11 and MN-14 s respectively. This reflects the presence of only one multilocus haplotype in Uruguay. Interestingly, this multilocus haplotype was identical to the unique haplotype found by Hunter et al. (2009) in isolates of *T. nubilosa* from Portugal and Spain and referred to as the Iberian populations. Furthermore, all 10 microsatellite primer pairs developed by Hunter et al. (2006) were species-specific for *T. nubilosa* and amplified the expected DNA fragments in all 46 Uruguayan isolates. These results add substantial confidence to the DNA sequence-based identification of the pathogen in Uruguay as *T. nubilosa*.

Discussion

The nature and severity of the outbreak of MLD, as well as the leaf symptoms observed in the Uruguayan Eucalyptus plantations, are consistent with previous descriptions of T. nubilosa elsewhere (Crous 1998; Hunter et al. 2009; Park and Keane 1982a). The presence of leaf spots on leaves from the current season's growth is characteristic of Teratosphaeria species that are primary pathogens. Moreover, the germination pattern of ascospores from the isolated fungus coincides with the descriptions of the germination pattern of T. nubilosa (Crous 1998; Hunter et al. 2009). The Uruguayan isolates were grouped in a clade with 0.99 of Bayesian support and 100% of bootstrap support in the phylogenetic analyses, which included the ex-epitype culture of this species and T. nubilosa isolates collected from seven countries on three continents. In addition, the beta tubulin-2 sequences of the Uruguayan isolates showed 100% similarity to the sequence for the ex-epitype culture of this species and to the sequence of a previously identified T. nubilosa isolate from Spain (Crous et al. 2004). All 10 microsatellite primer pairs that are species-specific for T. nubilosa (Hunter et al. 2006) amplified the expected amplicon successfully and the allele sizes for the Uruguayan and Iberian isolates were identical. These data allowed us to conclusively identify the causal agent of the new and severe outbreak of MLD in Uruguay as T. nubilosa.

The discovery of *T. nubilosa* in this study represents the first record of this important pathogen in South America. In a recent population genetic study on *T. nubilosa*, Hunter et al. (2008) suggested that the centre of origin of the pathogen is eastern Australia. They further showed that the pathogen had been introduced into Ethiopia, Kenya, New Zealand, Portugal, South Africa, Spain, Tanzania, Western Australia and Zambia (Hunter et al. 2008; 2009). It is thus intriguing that *T. nubilosa* has taken as long as it has to reach South America.

Teratosphaeria nubilosa was associated with severe leaf disease on *E. globulus*, which is the most highly susceptible species (Carnegie and Ades 2002; Carnegie et al. 1998; Milgate et al. 2005). Leaf disease caused by *T. nubilosa* can be extremely serious as was found in South Africa where commercial forestry with *E. globulus* was abandoned during the 1930s due to the impact of this disease (Hunter et

al. 2009; Lundquist and Purnell 1987). Likewise, two attempts in 1971 and 1997 at afforestation with *E. globulus* have failed due to MLD in north-western Tasmania (Mohammed et al. 2003), and *T. nubilosa* caused almost complete defoliation on *E. globulus* subsp. *maidenii* in northern New South Wales, Australia (Carnegie 2007). *Teratosphaeria nubilosa* has been reported as a primary pathogen of twelve *Eucalyptus* spp. and hybrids, including *E. grandis*, which is widely planted in Uruguay (Crous 1998; Hunter et al. 2009; Park and Keane 1982a). The appearance of the pathogen in Uruguay is, therefore, serious and has the potential to limit forestry in this country.

The appearance of T. nubilosa in Uruguay raises substantial concerns regarding further spread of this pathogen to other countries in South America. As in Uruguay, E. globulus is extensively planted in several South American countries such as Chile, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru (Potts et al. 2004). The appearance of T. nubilosa in Uruguay increases the likelihood that it will now move to neighbouring countries. This could occur by natural spread, given that T. nubilosa produces windborne ascospores, a quality that confers high dispersion ability (Hunter et al. 2009; Park and Keane 1982b). Long-distance spread to countries further afield in South America is also likely to be enhanced by the apparent first occurrence of T. nubilosa in the region. This would most likely occur through the movement of planting material, which is commonly exchanged by companies owning land in more than one country of the region (Wingfield et al. 2008).

All ten microsatellite loci developed by Hunter et al. (2006) and used to analyse the Uruguayan population of T. nubilosa were monomorphic. This is despite the fact that these microsatellite markers have previously been shown as highly variable on a global suite of isolates of the pathogen, with a total of 68 multilocus haplotypes (Hunter et al. 2006; 2008; 2009). There seems little doubt that the pathogen in Uruguay is represented by a single clone. Teratosphaeria nubilosa is homothallic, where single individuals can undergo sexual reproduction alone (Park and Keane 1982b). An intriguing result of this study was that the single multilocus haplotype found in Uruguay, is the same haplotype found in Spain and Portugal, where the population of T. nubilosa is also represented by a single clone (Hunter et al. 2008;

2009). This result suggests strongly that the pathogen was introduced into Uruguay from one of these countries where its population has a very limited diversity, rather than from Australia, the area of origin of the fungus or an area such as South Africa where *T. nubilosa* is represented by a relatively diverse population (Hunter et al. 2009, 2008). Forestry companies in Uruguay have close associations with those particularly in the Iberian Peninsula and opportunities for an introduction into Uruguay from that area would have been great.

Commercial Eucalyptus plantations in Uruguay originated from seed and clonal material imported predominantly from Australia, Chile and Spain (Balmelli 2006). The movement of seed and asymptomatic vegetative material among countries is one of the most important vehicles for plant pathogen movement (Elmer 2001). As far as we know, there is no study showing that Mycosphaerella or Teratosphaeria species associated with MLD are seedborne; however, the widespread distribution of apparently Australian Mycosphaerella spp. on Eucalyptus strongly suggests that this is the case (Ciesla et al. 1996; Wingfield et al. 2008). Although there is no evidence to suggest that this has occurred, the introduction of clonal plant material could have also provided a means of introduction of the pathogen, particularly considering that symptoms of T. nubilosa are visible only after four weeks of incubation (Park and Keane 1982b).

Annual *Eucalyptus* disease surveys have been conducted in Uruguay during the course of the last ten years (M. J. Wingfield, unpublished data). These surveys have included special efforts to identify *Mycosphaerella* spp. associated with leaf diseases in the country and there has been no evidence to suggest that *T. nubilosa* was present. This suggests strongly that *T. nubilosa* in Uruguay represents a recent introduction of a pathogen that has spread rapidly in the country. The fact that *T. nubilosa* was first reported in the Iberian Peninsula in 2001 (Crous et al. 2004) leads us to believe that this pathogen entered Uruguay during the course of the last seven years.

The only economically viable management strategy for MLD is the development of resistant or tolerant *Eucalyptus* genotypes as has been true for various other diseases of these trees (Tibbits et al. 1997; Wingfield 2003). *Eucalyptus globulus* provenances are known to differ in their susceptibility to diseases, including MLD in Uruguay (Balmelli et al. 2004). In addition, there are excellent opportunities to plant non-susceptible *Eucalyptus* spp. and hybrids of *E. globulus* with species that have a low level of susceptibility to infection by *T. nubilosa* (Carnegie and Ades 2002; Carnegie et al. 1998; Milgate et al. 2005). Given the serious damage that this pathogen is able to cause, evaluation and development of resistant planting stock in Uruguay should be considered a priority.

Acknowledgements We thank the National Research Foundation (NRF), members of the Tree Protection Co-operative Programme (TPCP) and the Department of Science and Technology/ NRF Centre of Excellence in Tree Health Biotechnology (CTHB), South Africa, for financial support.

References

- Ahumada, R. (2003). Pathogens in commercial *Eucalyptus* plantations in Chile, with special reference to *Mycos-phaerella* and *Botryosphaeria* species. MSc thesis dissertation, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Alfenas, A. C., Zauza, E. A. V., Mafia, R. G., & Assis, T. F. (2004). Clonagem e doenças do eucalipto. Viçosa, MG, Brasil: Editora UFV.
- Balmelli, G. (2006). Comportamiento relativo de la semilla de Eucalyptus globulus producida por INIA. Serie Actividades de Difusión INIA Tacuarembo, Uruguay, 462, 29–31.
- Balmelli, G., Marroni, V., Altier, N., & Garcia, R. (2004). Potencial del mejoramiento genético para el manejo de enfermedades en *Eucalyptus globulus*. Serie Técnica INIA Tacuarembo, Uruguay, 143, 1–43.
- Burgess, T. I., Barber, P. A., Sufaati, S., Xu, D., Hardy, G. E. S. J., & Dell, B. (2007). *Mycosphaerella* spp. on *Eucalyptus* in Asia; new species, new hosts and new records. *Fungal Diversity*, 24, 135–157.
- Carnegie, A. J. (2007). Forest health condition in New South Wales, Australia, 1996–2005. I. Fungi recorded from eucalypt plantations during forest health surveys. Australasian Plant Pathology, 36, 213–224. doi:10.1071/AP07020.
- Carnegie, A. J., & Ades, P. K. (2002). The proportion of leaf spots caused by *Mycosphaerella cryptica* and *M. nubilosa* on *Eucalyptus globulus, E. nitens* and their F1 hybrids in a family trial in Tasmania, Australia. *Australasian Mycologist,* 21, 53–63.
- Carnegie, A. J., Ades, P. K., Keane, P. J., & Smith, I. W. (1998). *Mycosphaerella* diseases of juvenile foliage in a eucalypt species and provenance trial in Victoria, Australia. *Australian Forestry*, 61, 190–194.
- Ciesla, W. M., Diekmann, M., & Putter C. A. J. (1996). *Eucalyptus* spp. FAO/IPGRI technical guidelines for the safe movement of germplasm. No 17
- Cortinas, M. N., Burgess, T., Dell, B., Xu, D. P., Crous, P. W., Wingfield, B. D., et al. (2006). First record of *Colleto-gloeopsis zuluense* comb. nov., causing a stem canker of

Eucalyptus in China. *Mycological Research*, 110, 229–236. doi:10.1016/j.mycres.2005.08.012.

- Crous, P. W. (1998). Mycosphaerella spp. and their anamorphs associated with leaf spot diseases of Eucalyptus. Mycologia Memoir, 21, 1–170.
- Crous, P. W., Groenewald, J. Z., & Braun, U. (2007). Mycosphaerella is polyphyletic. Studies in Mycology, 58, 1–32.
- Crous, P. W., Groenewald, J. Z., Mansilla, J. P., Hunter, G. C., & Wingfield, M. J. (2004). Phylogenetic reassessment of *Mycosphaerella* spp. and their anamorphs occurring on *Eucalyptus. Studies in Mycology*, 50, 195–214.
- Crous, P. W., Wingfield, M. J., Mansilla, J. P., Alfenas, A. C., & Groenewald, J. Z. (2006). Phylogenetic reassessment of *Mycosphaerella* spp. and their anamorphs occurring on *Eucalyptus*. II. Studies in Mycology, 55, 99–131.
- Elmer, W. H. (2001). Seeds as vehicles for pathogen importation. *Biological Invasions*, 3, 263–271. doi:10.1023/ A:1015217308477.
- Glass, N. L., & Donaldson, G. C. (1995). Development of primer sets designed for use with PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous Ascomycetes. *Applied* and Environmental Microbiology, 61, 1323–1330.
- Hunter, G. C., Cortinas, M. N., Wingfield, B. D., Crous, P. W., & Wingfield, M. J. (2006). Development of microsatellite markers for the *Eucalyptus* leaf pathogen *Mycosphaerella nubilosa*. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, *6*, 900–903. doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01392.x.
- Hunter, G. C., van der Merwe, N. A., Burgess, T. I., Carnegie, A. J., Wingfield, B. D., Crous, P. W., et al. (2008). Global movement and population biology of *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* infecting leaves of cold-tolerant *Eucalyptus globulus* and *E. nitens. Plant Pathology*, 57, 235–242. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3059.2007.01756.x.
- Hunter, G. C., Crous, P. W., Carnegie, A. J., & Wingfield, M. J. (2009). *Teratosphaeria nubilosa*, a serious leaf disease pathogen of *Eucalyptus* spp. in native and introduced areas. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 10, 1–14. doi:10.1111/ j.1364-3703.2008.00516.x.
- Katoh, K., Kuma, K., Toh, H., & Miyata, T. (2005). MAFFT version 5: Improvement in accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33, 511–518. doi:10.1093/nar/gki198.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K., & Nei, M. (2004). MEGA3: Integrated software for Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis and sequence alignment. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 5, 150–163. doi:10.1093/bib/5.2.150.
- Lundquist, J. E., & Purnell, R. C. (1987). Effects of Mycosphaerella leaf spot on growth of *Eucalyptus nitens*. *Plant Disease*, 71, 1025–1029. doi:10.1094/PD-71-1025.
- Maxwell, A., Hardy, G. E., St, J., & Dell, B. (2001). First record of *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* in Western Australia. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 30, 65. doi:10.1071/AP00069.
- Milgate, A. W., Potts, B. M., Joyce, K., Mohammed, C., & Vaillancourt, R. E. (2005). Genetic variation in *Eucalyptus*

globulus for susceptibility to *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* and its association with tree growth. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, *34*, 11–18. doi:10.1071/AP04073.

- Mohammed, C. L., Wardlaw, T., Smith, A., Pinkard, E., Battaglia, M., Glen, M., et al. (2003). Mycosphaerella leaf diseases of temperate eucalypts around the Southern Pacific Rim. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science*, 33, 362–372.
- Park, R. F., & Keane, P. J. (1982a). Three Mycosphaerella species from leaf diseases of Eucalyptus. Transactions of the British Mycological Society, 79, 95–100.
- Park, R. F., & Keane, P. J. (1982b). Leaf disease of *Eucalyptus* associated with *Mycosphaerella* species. *Transactions of* the British Mycological Society, 79, 101–115.
- Petraglia, C., & Dell'Acqua, M. (2006). Actualización de la cartografía forestal del Uruguay con imágenes del año 2004. (Paper presented at the XIII Simpósio Brasileiro de Sensoriamento Remoto ((pp 1801–1808), Florianópolis, Brasil)
- Posada, D., & Crandall, K. A. (1998). MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 14, 817–818. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ 14.9.817.
- Potts, B. M., Vaillancourt, R. E., Jordan, G., & Dutkowski, G. W, McKinnon, J. G., Steane, D. (2004). Exploration of the *Eucalyptus globulus* gene pool. *Eucalyptus* in a Changing World. *Proceedings of IUFRO Conference, Aveiro, Brazil* 1–16
- Ronquist, F., & Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2003). MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 19*, 1572–1574. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180.
- Swofford, D.L. (2002). PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0b10. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates
- Tibbits, W. N., Boomsma, D. B., & Jarvis, S. (1997). Distribution, biology, genetics and improvement programs for *Eucalyptus globulus* and *E. nitens* around the world. *Proceedings of the 24th Biennial Southern Forest Tree Improvement Conference*, 81–95
- White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., & Taylor, J. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Snindky & T. J. White (Eds.), PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications, pp. 315– 322. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Wingfield, M. J. (2003). Increasing threat of diseases to exotic plantation forests in the Southern Hemisphere: lessons from *Cryphonectria* canker. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 32, 133–139. doi:10.1071/AP03024.
- Wingfield, M. J., Slippers, B., Hurley, B. P., Coutinho, T. A., Wingfield, B. D., & Roux, J. (2008). Eucalypt pests and diseases: Growing threats to plantation productivity. *Southern Forests*, 70, 139–144.