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#### Abstract

Numerous species in the Cryphonectriaceae have been recorded on the Myrtales and many of these are economically important pathogens of Eucalyptus. Some species have also recently been shown to be endophytes of native Myrtaceae and to have undergone host jumps to infect Eucalyptus species established as exotics in plantations. Recent surveys in the GuangDong and HaiNan Provinces of South China reveal the presence of a species of Cryphonectriaceae associated with cankers on trees of Lagerstroemia speciosa (Lythraceae, Myrtales). Fungal structures were observed on the surface of dead bark covering cankers and on branch stubs. Multigene phylogenetic analyses were conducted based on DNA sequence comparisons of the partial $L S U$ gene, ITS region of the nuclear ribosomal RNA gene and two regions of the $\beta$-tubulin $(B T)$ gene. The results revealed the presence of a previously undescribed genus and species in the Cryphonectriaceae. The fungus is described here as Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae gen. et sp. nov. Inoculation tests showed that it is an aggressive pathogen on L. speciosa and that it can also infect Eucalyptus.
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## Introduction

The Cryphonectriaceae (Diaporthales) was described by Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) and includes numerous important tree pathogens of global significance. The best known of these is Cryphonectria parasitica, the causal agent of the notorious chestnut blight that has devastated Castanea spp. (Fagaceae, Fagales) in Europe and North America (Anagnostakis, 1987). Several species of Chrysoporthe (previously Cryphonectria) are economically important pathogens of Eucalyptus (Myrtaceae, Myrtales) species where these trees are grown as non-natives in plantations in Africa (Wingfield et al., 1989), South America (Hodges et al., 1976) and southeastern Asia (Old et al., 2003).
Host plants of the Cryphonectriaceae include more than 100 tree species in over 14 families (Gryzenhout et al., 2009). In recent years, species infecting Eucalyptus trees and other hosts in the Myrtales have received attention because of the economic importance of these trees globally (Gryzenhout et al., 2009). It has been shown that species of Chrysoporthe have undergone host shifts (Slippers et al., 2005; Wingfield et al., 2015) to infect multiple tree/plant species within the Myrtales (Rodas et al., 2005; Heath et al., 2006; van der Merwe et al., 2013). Chrysoporthe austroafricana has been shown to be a native African fungus (Heath et al., 2006;

[^0]Vermeulen et al., 2013a), occurring in the absence of disease on native African Myrtaceae (Mausse-Sitoe et al., 2016). However, it has expanded its host range to infect non-native Eucalyptus species (Myrtaceae) and Tibouchina species (Melastomataceae) in southern African countries, causing disease and death of these trees (Myburg et al., 2002a; Heath et al., 2006). Chrysoporthe cubensis is native on plants in the Melastomataceae in South American countries but has spread to cause diseases on Eucalyptus trees (Rodas et al., 2005; van der Merwe et al., 2013).
Twenty-two genera have been identified and described in the Cryphonectriaceae (Cheewangkoon et al., 2009; Gryzenhout et al., 2009, 2010; Begoude et al., 2010; Vermeulen et al., 2011, 2013b; Crous et al., 2012a,b, 2015; Chen et al., 2013a,b, 2016; Crane \& Burgess, 2013; Beier et al., 2015). Four of these have been reported from China including Celoporthe, Chrysoporthe, Corticimorbus and Cryphonectria, which occur on cankers of trees such as Castanea mollissima, Quercus sp. (Fagales, Fagaceae), as well as Eucalyptus species, Rhodomyrtus tomentosa and Syzygium cumini (Myrtales, Myrtaceae) in China (Fairchild, 1913; Myburg et al., 2004b; Chen et al., 2010, 2011, 2013a,b, 2016). Inoculation tests have also shown that species isolated from Myrtaceae are pathogenic to Eucalyptus (Chen et al., 2010, 2011, 2016) and they threaten industries based on these trees (Burgess \& Wingfield, 2017).

At least two species in the Cryphonectriaceae have been reported from the Lythraceae (Myrtales). These are
C. cubensis from Lagerstroemia indica in Cuba (Gryzenhout et al., 2006b) and Latruncellus aurorae from Galpinia transvaalica in Swaziland (Vermeulen et al., 2011). Lagerstroemia is a genus of approximately 80 species of deciduous and evergreen trees and shrubs native to the Indian subcontinent, southeastern Asia, northern Australia, and parts of Oceania (Cabrera, 2004). These trees have beautifully coloured flowers and are planted as ornamentals. The two most popular ornamental species are Lagerstroemia speciosa and L. indica, which are grown as non-natives globally (Pounders et al., 2007). In southern China, L. speciosa is commonly grown as an ornamental in parks and gardens, or as a shade tree along the roadsides in FuJian, GuangDong, GuangXi, HaiNan and YunNan Provinces (Zhong et al., 2005).

During the course of tree disease surveys in South China, cankers were observed on the stems of L. speciosa. The aims of this study were to identify the fungus isolated from the cankers and to test its pathogenicity on L. speciosa. Its potential to impact other trees in the Myrtales was investigated by conducting inoculation studies on a commercially planted Eucalyptus clone that forms the backbone of forestry plantations in the region.

## Materials and methods

## Sample collection and isolations

Lagerstroemia speciosa trees planted as ornamentals in gardens and parks in the GuangDong and HaiNan Provinces of South China were observed with cankers, dead trunks and branches (Fig. 1a,b,d,e). Orange to black fruiting structures characteristic of the Cryphonectriaceae were common on the surface of the dead and dying tissues (Fig. 1c,f). Surveys were conducted in November 2015 and March to April 2016. Dead bark and branch sections were collected in brown paper bags and transported to the laboratory for fungal isolations and further study.

Fruiting structures were cut open horizontally using a sterile scalpel blade under a dissecting microscope and the spore masses were transferred onto $2 \%$ malt extract agar (MEA) ( 20 g malt extract, 20 g agar per litre water) and incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single germ tubes developing from the spores were transferred to $2 \%$ MEA to obtain pure cultures. Cultures were deposited in the culture collection of the China Eucalypt Research Centre (CERC), Chinese Academy of Forestry (CAF), ZhanJiang, China, and representative cultures are maintained in the Culture Collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa (Table 1). Isolates linked to type specimens have been deposited in the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, Netherlands (Table 1). Original bark and branch specimens bearing fruiting structures connected to representative isolates were deposited in the Collection of Central South Forestry Fungi of China (CSFF), GuangDong Province, China.

## DNA extraction, PCR and sequence reactions

Isolates collected from L. speciosa trees were identified based on DNA sequence comparisons (Table 1). Isolates were grown on $2 \%$ MEA at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 week and actively growing mycelium for each isolate was scraped from the surface of the medium
using sterile scalpel blades and transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes for DNA extraction. DNA was extracted using 'method 5' described by van Burik et al. (1988). The concentration of resulting DNA was checked using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

Three gene regions including the conserved nuclear large subunit (LSU) ribosomal RNA gene, the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions including the 5.8 S gene of the ribosomal RNA operon, and the $\beta$-tubulin gene 1 (BT1) and $\beta$-tubulin gene 2 (BT2) were amplified as described by Chen et al. (2011, 2016). Nucleotide sequences were edited using MEGA v. 4 software (Tamura et al., 2007). All sequences obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

## Phylogenetic analysis

To identify the isolates, sequences of the $L S U$ gene as well as a combination of the sequences of 5.8 S rRNA and the exon regions of the BT1 and BT2 genes (including partial exon 4, exon 5, partial exon 6 and partial exon 7) of all the described genera in the Cryphonectriaceae were compared to sequences generated in the current study (Table 1). The datasets of $L S U$, 5.8 S rRNA and exons of $B T 1$ and $B T 2$ gene sequences were not combined for analysis, because sequences of some Cryphonectriaceae isolates were not available for these datasets.

Prior to conducting the phylogenetic analysis for the combined 5.8 S rRNA and the exon regions of the $B T$ gene, a partition homogeneity test was performed in Paup v. 4.0 b 10 (Swofford, 2003) to determine whether conflict existed between the two datasets (Huelsenbeck et al., 1996). For phylogenetic analyses of the LSU sequences, Phaeoacremonium aleophilum, Togninia fraxinopennsylvanica and Togninia minima were used as outgroup taxa. For analyses of the 5.8 S rRNA and the exon regions of the BT gene, Diaporthe ambigua was used as the outgroup taxon. Sequences for the datasets were aligned using the iterative refinement method (FFT-NS-i settings) of the online platform of MafFt v. 5.667 (Katoh et al., 2002). The alignments were further edited manually in MEGA v. 4.

Two different phylogenetic analyses were conducted for each of the $L S U$, the combined 5.8 S rRNA and $B T$ exons datasets. Maximum parsimony (MP) analyses were performed with PaUP v. 4.0 b 10 and maximum likelihood (ML) tests were conducted with PhyML v. 3.0 (Guindon \& Gascuel, 2003). The phylogenetic analyses were conducted as described in Chen et al. (2016). The phylogenetic trees were viewed using MEGA v. 4.

## Morphology

Only asexual fruiting structures were observed on the bark of L. speciosa stems and branches. The structures were excised from the bark under a dissecting microscope and heated in water for 2 min to rehydrate the cells. The structures were embedded in tissue freezing medium (Leica Biosystems Nussloch GmbH ) and sectioned ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick) using a cryostat HM550 microtome (Microm International GmbH ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to observe stromata and stromatic tissue. Additionally, conidiophores, conidiogenous cells and conidia were measured after crushing fruiting structures on microscope slides in sterile water. Fifty measurements were made for each morphological feature for the holotype specimen, 25 measurements per character were made for the remaining specimens used in the descriptions. The structures were examined and recorded using an Axio Imager A1 microscope and an AxioCam MRc digital camera with AxioVision v. 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss Ltd). Characteristics of


Figure 1 Symptoms of infection by Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae on Lagerstroemia speciosa trees. (a, b) Dead trunk, (d, e) dead branch; (c, f) orange fruiting structures of C. lagerstroemiae. (a)-(c) from a park in HaiNan Province, and (d)-(f) from a park in GuangDong Province.
specimens were compared with those published for genera and species in the Cryphonectriaceae (Table 1). Results are presented as (minimum-) (mean - standard deviation) - (mean + standard deviation) (-maximum).

To study culture characteristics, four representative isolates (CERC8780/CMW49281, CERC8805/CMW49286, CERC8807/ CMW49287 and CERC8810/CMW49289) from four different L. speciosa trees were used. Growth in culture, at seven different temperatures, was determined as described by Chen et al. (2016). The entire experiment was repeated once. Averages of measurements were calculated for each temperature using excel 2003 (Microsoft). For the descriptions of fruiting bodies and cultures, colour designations were obtained using the colour charts of Rayner (1970).

## Pathogenicity tests

Six isolates (CERC8780/CMW49281, CERC8782/CMW49282, CERC8784/CMW49283, CERC8805/CMW49286, CERC8807/ CMW49287 and CERC8810/CMW49289) were selected for
inoculation studies. Inoculations were conducted on L. speciosa trees growing in a park in the ZhanJiang region, GuangDong Province. Isolates were inoculated into the branches (1-2-yearold, approximately 0.8 cm diameter) of healthy L. speciosa trees. The inoculations were conducted using the methods described in Chen et al. (2013a). Fourteen branches on each of five L. speciosa trees ( 70 branches in total) were selected for inoculations. Two branches on each of five trees were inoculated with each of the six selected isolates or sterile MEA that served as controls. The five inoculated trees were randomly distributed at one site in the park.

To test whether the fungus from L. speciosa is pathogenic to Eucalyptus, the six isolates were inoculated onto the stems of saplings of a Eucalyptus urophylla $\times$ Eucalyptus grandis clone (CEPT-10). Clone CEPT-10 was chosen because it is widely used in commercial plantations in South China. The saplings were approximately 2 m tall and their main stems were approximately 10 mm in diameter. The Eucalyptus saplings were inoculated using the method described in Chen et al. (2010). Ten saplings were inoculated for each of the six isolates, and 10
Table 1 Isolates used for phylogenetic studies and pathogenicity tests in this study

| Identity | Isolate no. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Host | Location | Collector | GenBank accession no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LSU | ITS | BT1 | BT2 |  |
| Amphilogia gyrosa | CMW10469 | Elaeocarpus dentatus | New Zealand | G. J. Samuels | AY194107 | AF452111 | AF525707 | AF525714 | Gryzenhout et al. (2005a, 2006a) |
|  | CMW10470 | E. dentatus | New Zealand | G. J. Samuels | AY194108 | AF452112 | AF525708 | AF525715 | Gryzenhout et al. (2005a, 2006a) |
| Aurantioporthe corni | ATCC66834 | Cornus alternifolia | USA | $N / A^{\text {c }}$ | AF277133 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Zhang \& Blackwell (2001) |
|  | CMW10526 | C. alternifolia | USA | S. Redlin | AF408343 | DQ120762 | DQ120769 | DQ120770 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | MES1001 | N/A | USA | W. Cullina | N/A | KF495039 | KF495069 | N/A | Beier et al. (2015) |
|  | CTS1001 | N/A | USA | K. Kitka | N/A | KF495033 | KF495063 | N/A | Beier et al. (2015) |
| Aurantiosacculus acutatus | CBS132181 | Eucalyptus viminalis | Australia | B. A. Summerell \& P. Summerell | Q685520 | JQ685514 | N/A | N/A | Crous et al. (2012a) |
| Aurantiosacculus eucalyptorum | CBS130826 | Eucalyptus globulus | Australia | C. Mohammed \& M. Glen | JQ685521 | JQ685515 | N/A | N/A | Crous et al. (2012a) |
| Aurapex penicillata | CMW10030 | Miconia theaezans | Colombia | C. A. Rodas | AY194103 | AY214311 | AY214239 | AY214275 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006c, 2009) |
|  | CMW11295 | M. theaezans | Colombia | C. A. Rodas | AY194089 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Gryzenhout et al. (2009) |
|  | CMW10035 | M. theaezans | Colombia | C. A. Rodas | N/A | AY214313 | AY214241 | AY214277 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006c, 2009) |
| Aurifilum marmelostoma | CMW28285 | Terminalia mantaly | Cameroon | D. Begoude \& J. Roux | HQ171215 | FJ882855 | FJ900585 | FJ900590 | Begoude et al. (2010); Vermeulen et al. (2011) |
|  | CMW28288 | Terminalia ivorensis | Cameroon | D. Begoude \& J. Roux | HQ171216 | FJ882856 | FJ900586 | FJ900591 | Begoude et al. (2010); Vermeulen et al. (2011) |
| Celoporthe dispersa | CMW9976 | Syzygium cordatum | South Africa | M. Gryzenhout | HQ730853 | DQ267130 | DQ267136 | DQ267142 | Nakabonge et al. (2006); Chen et al. (2011) |
|  | CMW9978 | S. cordatum | South Africa | M. Gryzenhout | HQ730854 | AY214316 | DQ267135 | DQ267141 | Nakabonge et al. (2006); Chen et al. (2011) |
| Celoporthe eucalypti | CMW26900 | Eucalyptus clone EC48 | China | X. D. Zhou \& S. F. Chen | HQ730862 | HQ730836 | HQ730816 | HQ730826 | Chen et al. (2011) |
|  | CMW26908 | Eucalyptus clone EC48 | China | X. D. Zhou \& S. F. Chen | HQ730863 | HQ730837 | HQ730817 | HQ730827 | Chen et al. (2011) |
| Celoporthe fontana | CMW29375 | Syzygium guineense | Zambia | M. Vermeulen \& J . Roux | N/A | GU726940 | GU726952 | GU726952 | Vermeulen et al. (2013b) |
|  | CMW29376 | S. guineense | Zambia | M. Vermeulen \& J Roux | N/A | GU726941 | GU726953 | GU726953 | Vermeulen et al. (2013b) |

Table 1 (continued)

| Identity | Isolate no. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Host | Location | Collector | GenBank accession no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LSU | ITS | BT1 | BT2 |  |
| Celoporthe guangdongensis | CMW12750 | Eucalyptus sp. | China | T. I. Burgess | HQ730856 | HQ730830 | HQ730810 | HQ730820 | Chen et al. (2011) |
| Celoporthe indonesiensis | CMW10781 | Syzygium aromaticum | Indonesia | M.. Wingfield | HQ730855 | AY084009 | AY084033 | AY084021 | Myburg et al. (2003); <br> Chen et al. (2011) |
| Celoporthe syzygii | CMW34023 | Syzygium cumini | China | S. F. Chen | HQ730857 | HQ730831 | HQ730811 | HQ730821 | Chen et al. (2011) |
|  | CMW24912 | S. cumini | China | M. J. Wingfield \& X. <br> D. Zhou | HQ730859 | HQ730833 | HQ730813 | HQ730823 | Chen et al. (2011) |
| Celoporthe woodiana | CMW13936 | Tibouchina granulosa | South Africa | M. Gryzenhout | N/A | DQ267131 | DQ267137 | DQ267143 | Vermeulen et al. (2013b) |
|  | CMW13937 | T. granulosa | South Africa | M. Gryzenhout | N/A | DQ267132 | DQ267138 | DQ267144 | Vermeulen et al. (2013b) |
| Chrysocrypta corymbiae | CBS132528 | Corymbia sp. | Australia | P. W. Crous \& B. A. Summerell | JX069851 | JX069867 | N/A | N/A | Crous et al. (2012b) |
| Chrysofolia colombiana | CBS139909 | Eucalyptus urophylla $\times$ Eucalyptus grandis | Colombia | M. J. Wingfield | KR476771 | KR476738 | N/A | N/A | Crous et al. (2015) |
| Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae | $\begin{gathered} \text { CERC8780d }= \\ \text { CMW49281 } \end{gathered}$ | Lagerstroemia speciosa | China | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | KY929320 | KY929330 | KY929350 | KY929340 | This study |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CERC8782 } \\ \text { CMW49282 }= \end{gathered}$ | L. speciosa | China | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | KY929321 | KY929331 | KY929351 | KY929341 | This study |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CERC8784 }= \\ \text { CMW49283 } \end{gathered}$ | L. speciosa | China | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | KY929322 | KY929332 | KY929352 | KY929342 | This study |
|  | CERC8786 = CMW49284 | L. speciosa | China | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | KY929323 | KY929333 | KY929353 | KY929343 | This study |
|  | CERC8804 = CMW49285 | L. speciosa | China | S. F. Chen | KY929324 | KY929334 | KY929354 | KY929344 | This study |
|  | $\text { CERC8805 }{ }^{d}=$ CMW49286 | L. speciosa | China | S. F. Chen | KY929325 | KY929335 | KY929355 | KY929345 | This study |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CERC8807 } \\ & \text { CMW49287 }= \end{aligned}$ | L. speciosa | China | S. F. Chen | KY929326 | KY929336 | KY929356 | KY929346 | This study |
|  | CERC8809 = CMW49288 | L. speciosa | China | S. F. Chen | KY929327 | KY929337 | KY929357 | KY929347 | This study |
|  | $\text { CERC8810 }=$ CMW49289 | L. speciosa | China | S. F. Chen | KY929328 | KY929338 | KY929358 | KY929348 | This study |
|  | CERC8812 = CMW49290 | L. speciosa | China | S. F. Chen | KY929329 | KY929339 | KY929359 | KY929349 | This study |

Table 1 (continued)

| Identity | Isolate no. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Host | Location | Collector | GenBank accession no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LSU | ITS | BT1 | BT2 |  |
| Chrysoporthe austroafricana | CMW62 | E. grandis | South Africa | M. J. Wingfield | AY194097 | AF292041 | AF273063 | AF273458 | Myburg et al. (2002b); Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW9327 | T. granulosa | South Africa | J. Roux | N/A | AF273473 | AF273060 | AF273455 | Myburg et al. (2002a) |
|  | CMW2113 | E. grandis | South Africa | M. J. Wingfield | N/A | AF046892 | AF273067 | AF273462 | Myburg et al. (1999, 2002b) |
| Chrysoporthe cubensis | CBS101281 | E. urophylla | Cameroon | I. A. S. Gibson | AF408338 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Castlebury et al. (2002) |
|  | CMW10453 | Eucalyptus saligna | Democratic Republic of the Congo | N/A | AF408339 | AY063476 | AY063478 | AY063480 | Castlebury et al. (2002); Gryzenhout et al. (2004) |
|  | CMW10669 | Eucalyptus sp. | Republic of the Congo | J. Roux | N/A | AF535122 | AF535124 | AF535126 | Gryzenhout et al. (2004) |
|  | CMW10639 | E. grandis | Colombia | C. A. Rodas | N/A | AY263421 | AY263419 | AY263420 | Gryzenhout et al. (2004) |
| Chrysoporthe deuterocubensis | CMW11290 | Eucalyptus sp. | Indonesia | M. J. Wingfield | N/A | AY214304 | AY214232 | AY214268 | Gryzenhout et al. (2004) |
|  | CMW8758 | Eucalyptus sp. | Venezuela | M. J. Wingfield | AY194098 | AF046898 | AF273068 | AF273463 | Myburg et al. (2002b); Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW8651 | S. aromaticum | Indonesia | M. J. Wingfield | N/A | AY084002 | AY084026 | AY084014 | Myburg et al. (2003) |
| Chrysoporthe doradensis | CMW11287 | E. grandis | Ecuador | M. J. Wingfield | N/A | AY214289 | AY214217 | AY214253 | Gryzenhout et al. (2005b) |
|  | CMW11286 | E. grandis | Ecuador | M. J. Wingfield | N/A | AY214290 | AY214218 | AY214254 | Gryzenhout et al. (2005b) |
| Chrysoporthe hodgesiana | CMW10625 | M. theaezans | Colombia | C. A. Rodas | N/A | AY956970 | AY956979 | AY956980 | Rodas et al. (2005) |
| Chrysoporthe inopina | CMW12727 | Tibouchina lepidota | Colombia | R. Arbelaez | N/A | DQ368777 | DQ368806 | DQ368807 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006b) |
|  | CMW12729 | T. lepidota | Colombia | R. Arbelaez | N/A | DQ368778 | DQ368808 | DQ368809 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006b) |
| Corticimorbus | CERC3629 | Rhodomyrtus tomentosa | China | S. F. Chen \& G. Q. Li | KT167179 | KT167169 | KT167189 | KT167189 | Chen et al. (2016) |
| sinomyrti | CERC3631 | R. tomentosa | China | S. F. Chen \& G. Q. Li | KT167180 | KT167170 | KT167190 | KT167190 | Chen et al. (2016) |
| Cryphonectria decipiens | CMW10436 | Quercus suber | Portugal | B. d'Oliviera | JQ862750 | AF452117 | AF525703 | AF525710 | Myburg et al. (2004a); Chen et al. (2013a) |
|  | CMW10484 | Castanea sativa | Italy | A. Biraghi | N/A | AF368327 | AF368349 | AF368349 | Venter et al. (2002); Myburg et al. (2004a) |

Table 1 (continued)

| Identity | Isolate no. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Host | Location | Collector | GenBank accession no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LSU | ITS | BT1 | BT2 |  |
| Cryphonectria japonica | CMW10527 | Quercus mongolica | Russia | L. Vasilyeva | AF408341 | DQ120761 | DQ120767 | DQ120768 | Castlebury et al. (2002); Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW10528 | Q. mongolica | Russia | L. Vasilyeva | AF408340 | DQ120760 | DQ120765 | DQ120766 | Castlebury et al. (2002); Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW13742 | Quercus grosseserrata | Japan | T. Kobayashi | N/A | AY697936 | AY697961 | AY697962 | Myburg et al. (2004b) |
|  | CMW13747 | Quercus serrata | Japan | T. Kobayashi | N/A | AY697937 | AY697963 | AY697964 | Myburg et al. (2004b) |
| Cryphonectria macrospora | CMW10463 | Castanea cuspidata | Japan | T. Kobayashi | N/A | AF368331 | AF368351 | AF368350 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW10914 | C. cuspidata | Japan | T. Kobayashi | JQ862749 | AY697942 | AY697973 | AY697974 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006a); Chen et al. (2013a) |
| Cryphonectria parasitica | N/A | Castanea sp. | N/A | N/A | AF277132 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Zhang \& Blackwell (2001) |
|  | CMW7048 | Quercus virginiana | USA | R. Stipes | AY194100 | AF368330 | AF273076 | AF273470 | Venter et al. (2002); Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW13749 | Castanea mollisima | Japan | N/A | N/A | AY697927 | AY697943 | AY697944 | Myburg et al. (2004b) |
| Cryphonectria radicalis | CMW10455 | Q. suber | Italy | A. Biraghi | AY194101 | AF452113 | AF525705 | AF525712 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW10477 | Q. suber | Italy | A. Biraghi | AY194102 | AF368328 | AF368347 | AF368347 | Venter et al. (2002); Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW13754 | Fagus japonica | Japan | T. Kobayashi | N/A | AY697932 | AY697953 | AY697954 | Myburg et al. (2004b) |
| Cryptometrion aestuescens | CMW18790 | E. grandis | Indonesia | M. J. Wingfield | HQ171211 | GQ369458 | GQ369455 | GQ369455 | Gryzenhout et al. (2010); Vermeulen et al. (2011) |
|  | CMW18793 | E. grandis | Indonesia | M. J. Wingfield | HQ171212 | GQ369459 | GQ369456 | GQ369456 | Gryzenhout et al. (2010); Vermeulen et al. (2011) |
| Diversimorbus metrosiderotis Endothia gyrosa | CMW37321 | Metrosideros angustifolia | South Africa | J. Roux | JQ862827 | JQ862870 | JQ862911 | JQ862952 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
|  | CMW37322 | M. angustifolia | South Africa | J. Roux | JQ862828 | JQ862871 | JQ862912 | JQ862953 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
|  | N/A | Quercus sp. | USA | N/A | AF362555 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Gryzenhout et al. (2009) |
|  | CMW2091 | Quercus palustris | USA | R. J. Stipes | AY194114 | AF368325 | AF368337 | AF368336 | Venter et al. (2002); Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |
|  | CMW10442 | Q. palustris | USA | R. J. Stipes | AY194115 | AF368326 | AF368339 | AF368338 | Venter et al. (2002); Gryzenhout et al. (2006a) |

Table 1 (continued)

| Identity | Isolate no. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Host | Location | Collector | GenBank accession no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LSU | ITS | BT1 | BT2 |  |
| Foliocryphia eucalypti | CBS124779 | Eucalyptus coccifera | Australia | C. Mohammed | GQ303307 | GQ303276 | N/A | N/A | Cheewangkoon et al. (2009) |
| Holocryphia capensis | CMW37887 | M. angustifolia | South Africa | J. Roux, S. F. Chen \& F. Roets | JQ862811 | JQ862854 | JQ862895 | JQ862936 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
|  | CMW37329 | M. angustifolia | South Africa | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | JQ862816 | JQ862859 | JQ862900 | JQ862941 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
| Holocryphia eucalypti | CMW7033 | E. grandis | South Africa | M. Venter | JQ862794 | JQ862837 | JQ862878 | JQ862919 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
|  | CMW7035 | E. saligna | South Africa | M. Venter | JQ862795 | JQ862838 | JQ862879 | JQ862920 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
| Holocryphia gleniana | CMW37334 | M. angustifolia | South Africa | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | JQ862791 | JQ862834 | JQ862875 | JQ862916 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
|  | CMW37335 | M. angustifolia | South Africa | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | JQ862792 | JQ862835 | JQ862876 | JQ862917 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
| Holocryphia mzansi | CMW37337 | M. angustifolia | South Africa | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | JQ862798 | JQ862841 | JQ862882 | JQ862923 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
|  | CMW37338 | M. angustifolia | South Africa | J. Roux \& S. F. Chen | JQ862799 | JQ862842 | JQ862883 | JQ862924 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
| Holocryphia sp. | CMW6246 | T. granulosa | Australia | M. J. Wingfield | JQ862802 | JQ862845 | JQ862886 | JQ862927 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
| Holocryphia sp. Immersiporthe knoxdaviesiana | CMW10015 | Eucalyptus fastigata | New Zealand | R. J. van Boven | JQ862806 | JQ862849 | JQ862890 | JQ862931 | Chen et al. (2013b) |
|  | CMW37314 | Rapanea melanophloeos | South Africa | M. J. Wingfield \& J. Roux | JQ862755 | JQ862765 | JQ862785 | JQ862775 | Chen et al. (2013a) |
|  | CMW37315 | R. melanophloeos | South Africa | M. J. Wingfield \& J. Roux | JQ862756 | JQ862766 | JQ862786 | JQ862776 | Chen et al. (2013a) |
| Latruncellus aurorae | CMW28274 | Galpinia transvaalica | Swaziland | J. Roux | HQ171213 | GU726946 | GU726958 | GU726958 | Vermeulen et al. (2011) |
|  | CMW28276 | G. transvaalica | Swaziland | J. Roux | HQ730872 | GU726947 | GU726959 | GU726959 | Chen et al. (2011); <br> Vermeulen et al. (2011) |
|  | CMW28275 | G. transvaalica | Swaziland | J. Roux | HQ171214 | HQ171209 | HQ171207 | HQ171207 | Vermeulen et al. (2011) |
| Luteocirrhus shearii | CBS130775 | Banksia baxteri | Australia | C. Crane | KC197018 | KC197024 | KC197015 | KC197009 | Crane \& Burgess (2013) |
|  | CBS130776 | B. baxteri | Australia | C. Crane | KC197019 | KC197021 | KC197012 | KC197006 | Crane \& Burgess (2013) |
| Micrithia havanensis | CMW11299 | Myrica faya | Madeira | N/A | AY194087 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Gryzenhout et al. (2009) |
|  | CMW11300 | M. faya | Madeira | N/A | AY194088 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Gryzenhout et al. (2009) |
|  | CMW11301 | M. faya | Azores | C. S. Hodges \& D. E. Gardner | N/A | AY214323 | AY214251 | AY214287 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006d) |
|  | CMW14550 | E. saligna | Mexico | C. S. Hodges | N/A | DQ368735 | DQ368741 | DQ368742 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006d) |

Table 1 (continued)

| Identity | Isolate no. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Host | Location | Collector | GenBank accession no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LSU | ITS | BT1 | BT2 |  |
| Rostraureum tropicale | CMW9972 | T. ivorensis | Ecuador | M. J. Wingfield | AY194092 | AY167436 | AY167426 | AY167431 | Gryzenhout et al. (2005c, 2006a) |
|  | CMW10796 | T. ivorensis | Ecuador | M. J. Wingfield | N/A | AY167438 | AY167428 | AY167433 | Gryzenhout et al. (2005c) |
|  | CMW9971 | T. ivorensis | Ecuador | M. J. Wingfield | N/A | AY167435 | AY167425 | AY167430 | Gryzenhout et al. (2005c) |
| Ursicollum fallax | CMW18119 | Coccoloba uvifera | USA | C. S. Hodges | EF392860 | DQ368755 | DQ368758 | DQ368759 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006d, 2009) |
|  | CMW18115 | C. uvifera | USA | C. S. Hodges | N/A | DQ368756 | DQ368760 | DQ368761 | Gryzenhout et al. (2006d) |


 Chinese Academy of Forestry (CAF), ZhanJiang, GuangDong, China. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ GenBank numbers in boldface were sequenced in this study.
${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$, not available.
${ }^{d}$ Isolates used in pathogenicity tests.
saplings were inoculated with sterile MEA plugs to serve as negative controls. The inoculated plants were arranged in a randomized design in the same shade house.

After 4 weeks, the inoculated plants were evaluated by measuring the lengths of the lesions in the cambium. Reisolations were made from the inoculated branches/saplings by cutting small pieces of discoloured tissue from the lesion edges and transferring them onto $2 \%$ MEA at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reisolations were made from four randomly selected branches/saplings per isolate and all branches/saplings that served as negative controls.

Lesion length results were analysed in excel. Single-factor analysis of variance (aNOVA) was used to define the effects of isolates/negative control on lesion length, and to test the significance among means of lesion lengths. $F$-values with $P<0.05$ were considered significantly different. The standard errors of means of lesion lengths for each isolate and control were calculated.

## Results

## Sample collection and isolations

Seven L. speciosa trees with fruiting bodies typical of fungi in the Cryphonectriaceae (Fig. 1) were identified. These included a single tree in a park in the HaiNan Province and six trees in a park in the Zhanjiang region of GuangDong Province. Seventeen isolates were obtained from these trees: four from the tree in HaiNan and the remainder from the trees in GuangDong. Only asexual fruiting structures (conidiomata) with orange stromatic tissue were observed on the surfaces of L. speciosa stems and branches (Fig. 1b,c,e,f). The isolates on MEA were white in colour when young and became yellow to orange with age (Fig. 2g-i), with the typical morphological characteristics of fungi in the Cryphonectriaceae. All cultures displayed the same morphology.

## Phylogenetic analysis

Ten representative isolates, four from the tree in HaiNan Province and six from the six trees in GuangDong Province, were selected for DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis (Table 1). The aligned $L S U$ sequence dataset consisted of 83 taxa and 626 characters (TreeBASE no. 20882; http://www.treebase.org). Statistical values of both ML and MP analyses are provided in Table 2. Other than Cryphonectria, each genus in the Cryphonectriaceae formed a unique phylogenetic clade in both the MP and ML analyses (Fig. 3), although the inferred phylogenetic relationships among genera differed between ML and MP analyses. Isolates collected from L. speciosa grouped together in a distinct clade in the Cryphonectriaceae, separate from all other genera and supported by high bootstrap values ( $\mathrm{ML}=98 \%, \mathrm{MP}=100 \%$ ). This supported the view that they represent a novel genus in the family (Fig. 3).
The partition homogeneity test for the 5.8 S rRNA gene and exons of the BT1 and BT2 gene region datasets indicated that the two datasets were congruent


Figure 2 Asexual fruiting structures of Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae. (a) Conidiomata on the bark, orange and globose; (b) orange and convex conidiomata; (c) longitudinal section through conidioma showing orange and unilocular stroma; (d) textura globulosa stromatic tissue of the conidioma; (e) non-septate, cylindrical conidiophores and cylindrical conidigenous cells; (f) fusoid to oval, aseptate conidia; cultures grown on malt extract agar at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after 7 days ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ ) and 90 days (i), showing the culture colour and development of conidiomata. Scale bars: $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; $c, d=50 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}=10 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{g}-\mathrm{i}=10 \mathrm{~mm}$.
( $P=0.906$ ) and they were consequently combined for further analyses. The alignment of the combined dataset consisted of 85 taxa and 760 characters (TreeBASE no. 20882; Table 2). With the exception of Cryphonectria, all the genera in the Cryphonectriaceae formed independent phylogenetic clades with high bootstrap values ( $>80 \%$ ) in both MP and ML analyses (Fig. 4). The topology of the MP and ML analyses was similar, although the position of genera relative to each other
were slightly different in the two analyses. Based on the phylogenetic analyses of the combined sequences of the 5.8S rRNA and BT exons, the isolates from L. speciosa in China formed a strongly defined phylogenetic clade, distinct from other Cryphonectriaceae and supported by high bootstrap values ( $\mathrm{MP}=100 \%, \quad \mathrm{ML}=100 \%$; Fig. 3). All analyses showed that the isolates from L. speciosa in southern China represented a single novel genus and species of Cryphonectriaceae.

Table 2 Statistics resulting from phylogenetic analyses

|  | Dataset |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Analysis | LSU | ex rRNA with <br> exons of BT1 \& BT2 |
| No. of taxa | 83 | 85 |
| No. of characters (bp) |  | 760 |
| Maximum parsimony |  |  |
| Parsimony informative characters | 123 | 126 |
| Tree length | 340 | 317 |
| Consistency index | 0.532 | 0.577 |
| Retention index | 0.815 | 0.881 |
| Homoplasy index | 0.468 | 0.423 |
| Maximum likelihood |  |  |
| Rate matrix | 1.6671 | 0.3765 |
|  | 6.5433 | 1.8248 |
|  | 3.7482 | 0.2614 |

${ }^{\text {a }} 100$ trees.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Best fit substitution model GTR+I+G, using six substitution rate categories with gamma rates.

## Morphology

The characteristics of the asexual structures on L. speciosa were typical of fungi in the Cryphonectriaceae. These included orange stromatic tissue. Stromatic tissue and mycelium stained purple in $3 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ (Castlebury et al., 2002; Gryzenhout et al., 2006a, 2009). The fungus from L. speciosa had superficial to slightly immersed conidiomata, conidiomatal tissues of textura globulosa, orange conidiomata that were convex to globose in shape, non-ostiolate, without necks and no paraphyses. These characters distinguished the fungus from other genera with orange conidiomata, including Amphilogia (Gryzenhout et al., 2005a), Aurantioporthe (Beier et al., 2015), Aurantiosacculus (Crous et al., 2012a), Aurifilum (Begoude et al., 2010), Cryphonectria (Gryzenhout et al., 2009), Cryptometrion (Gryzenhout et al., 2010), Endothia (Gryzenhout et al., 2006a), Filiocryphia (Cheewangkoon et al., 2009), Holocryphia (Gryzenhout et al., 2006d), Immersiporthe (Chen et al., 2013a), Latruncellus (Vermeulen et al., 2011), Microthia (Gryzenhout et al., 2006d), Rostraureum (Gryzenhout et al., 2005c) and Ursicollum (Gryzenhout et al., 2006d) in the Cryphonectriaceae.

## Taxonomy

Based on the phylogenetic analyses of $L S U$ and combination of 5.8 S rRNA and BT1 and BT2 exon gene regions, as well as morphological characteristics, the fungus from cankers on L. speciosa clearly represents a species in the Cryphonectriaceae. It is also different to any previously described genus and species in this family. A novel genus and species is consequently described to accommodate the fungus.

Chrysomorbus S. F. Chen gen. nov. MycoBank MB 821021
Etymology: Greek, chrysous, golden, referring to the orange stromatic tissue, and morbus, disease, describing that the fungus occurs on dead and dying bark and stems/branches of living trees, and occurs on cankers.
Type species: Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae S. F. Chen \& Q. L. Liu
Conidiomata orange, convex to globose, superficial to semi-immersed, without necks, uni- to multilocular structures, with locules often convoluted, non-ostiolate; stromatic tissue textura globulosa. Conidiophores aseptate, cylindrical, occasionally with separating septa and branching, hyaline. Conidiogenous cells cylindrical or flask-shaped with attenuated apices. Paraphyses absent. Conidia hyaline, fusoid to oval, aseptate.

Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae S. F. Chen \& Q. L. Liu, sp. nov. (Fig. 2)

MycoBank MB 821022
Etymology: Refers to the host genus Lagerstroemia from which the fungus was isolated.

Conidiomata on bark, yellow when young, orange when mature, convex to globose, superficial to semi-immersed, without necks, uni- to multilocular structures, with locules often convoluted, non-ostiolate; stromatic tissue textura globulosa; conidiomatal bases $74-506 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ high above bark surface (av. 50 conidiomata $224 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), 138-652 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diameter (av. 50 conidiomata $368 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); locules $82-212 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter (av. 50 locules $146 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Conidiophores non-septate, cylindrical, (4.8-) 5.3-14.7(-16.5) $\quad \mu \mathrm{m}$ long (av. $50 \quad$ conidiophores $10.0 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, occasionally with separating septa and branching, hyaline. Conidiogenous cells (0.6-)1.0-1.4(-1.8) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide (av. 50 conidiogenous cells $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), cylindrical or flask-shaped with attenuated apices. Paraphyses absent. Conidia hyaline, fusoid to oval, aseptate, (2.6-)3.1-$3.7(-4.9) \times(1.0-) 1.3-1.5(-1.8) \mu \mathrm{m}$ (av. 100 conidia $3.4 \times 1.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), exuded as orange droplets.

Culture characteristics: On MEA mycelium fluffy with uneven margin, colony white when young, occasionally with orange patches, turning orange after 14 days. Colony reverse white yellow, orange to sienna. Optimal growth temperature $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, no growth at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In 7 days, colony diameters at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ intervals from 10 to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reached averages of $8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), 18.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ $\left(15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), 47.5 \mathrm{~mm}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), 75.5 \mathrm{~mm}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), 29.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ $\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $6 \mathrm{~mm}\left(35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Conidiomata produced on MEA after 60 days, mature after 90 days producing conidial spore masses.
Substrate: Bark of Lagerstroemia speciosa.
Distribution: GuangDong and HaiNan Provinces, China.
Specimens examined: China, GuangDong Province, ZhanJiang region $\left(21^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 44.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 110^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 23.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$, L. speciosa, 14 February 2016, ShuaiFei Chen, HOLOTYPE CSFF2016 (branches with mature conidiomata), ex-type culture CERC8810 $=$ CMW49289 = CBS 142594; China, HaiNan Province, HaiKou region ( $20^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 54.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 2.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), L. speciosa, 2 November


Figure 3 Phylogenetic tree based on maximum likelihood（ML）analysis of LSU DNA sequences for genera in the Diaporthales．Bootstrap values $>70 \%$ for ML／MP（maximum parsimony）analyses are presented at branches．Bootstrap values lower than $70 \%$ are marked with＊，and absent values are marked with－．Isolates from Lagerstroemia speciosa are in boldface and highlighted． Celoporthe woodiana CMW13936 Celoporthe fontana CMW29376 Celoporthe fontana CMW29375
80/100 Celoporthe dispersa CMW9976 Celoporthe dispersa CMW9978
92/100 Celoporthe eucalypti CMW26900
84/- Celoporthe eucalypti CMW26908 Celoporthe syzygii CMW24912 Celoporthe syzygiI CMW24912
Celoporthe syzygii CMW34023 - Celoporthe indonesiensis CMW10781

- Celoporthe guangdongensis CMW12750 100/100-Aurifilum marmelostoma CMW28285 Aurifilum marmelostoma CMW28288
100/100Latruncellus aurorae CMW28275 Latruncellus aurorae CMW28274 100/97 Ursicollum fallax CMW18119 Ursicollum fallax CMW18115 100/100 Aurapex penicillata CMW10030 Aurapex penicillata CMW10035 99/100 Microthia havanensis CMW14550 */100 100/100 Microthia havanensis CMW11301

100/100 Immersiporthe knoxdaviesiana CMW37314 Immersiporthe knoxdaviesiana CMW37315
100/100 Corticimorbus sinomyrti 3629
Corticimorbus sinomyrti 3631
100/100 Cryphonectria parasitica CMW13749
Cryphonectria parasitica CMonectria parasitica CMW7048
Luteocirrhus shearii CBS130776
Luteocirrhus shearii CBS130775
100,100 Cryptometrion aestuescens CMW18790
Cryptometrion aestuescens CMW18793
100/100 1 Diversimorbus metrosiderotis CMW37321
Diversimorbus metrosiderotis CMW37322
72/100 Holocryphia mzansi CMW37337
Holocryphia mzansi CMW37338
*100 Holocryphia sp. CMW6246
Holocryphia sp. CMW10015
94<100 Holocryphia gleniana CMW37335
Holocryphia eucalypti CMW7033
Holocryphia eucalypti CMW7035
Holocryphia capensis CMW37887
Holocryphia capensis CMW37329
100/100 Amphilogia gyrosa CMW10470
Amphilogia gyrosa CMW10469
00/100 Rostraureum tropicale CMW10796
Rostraureum tropicale CMW9971
100/100


Figure 4 Phylogenetic tree based on maximum likelihood (ML) analysis of a combined DNA sequence dataset of regions of the 5.8 S rRNA gene, and partial exon 4 , exon 5 , partial exon 6 and partial exon 7 of the $B T 1$ and $B T 2$ genes. Bootstrap values $>70 \%$ for ML/MP (maximum parsimony) analyses are presented at branches. Bootstrap values $<70 \%$ are marked with *, and absent analyses values are marked with - . Isolates from Lagerstroemia speciosa are in boldface and highlighted.


Figure 5 Lesions resulting from inoculation of Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae onto Lagerstroemia speciosa branches (a, b) and Eucalyptus saplings (c, d), and wound response on the negative controls. ( $a, ~ c$ ) Negative controls showing absence of lesion development; (b) lesion associated with isolate CERC8805; (d) lesion associated with isolate CERC8782. Arrows indicate the terminal ends of wound response. Scale bars: 10 mm .

2015, Jolanda Roux \& ShuaiFei Chen, PARATYPE CSFF2017 (trunk with mature conidiomata), living culture CERC8780 $=$ CMW49281 $=$ CBS142592; China, GuangDong Province, ZhanJiang region ( $21^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 44.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $110^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 23.2^{\prime \prime}$ E), L. speciosa, 14 February 2016, ShuaiFei Chen, CSFF2018 (branches with mature conidiomata), living culture CERC8805 = CMW49286 = CBS142593.

Notes. The morphology of C. lagerstroemiae is most similar to species of Amphilogia, Aurantiosacculus and Aurifilum. Conidiomata of the four genera are orange, not pulvinate, and without necks. The sigmoid conidia distinguish Aurantiosacculus from Amphilogia (having cylindrical to allantoid conidia), Aurifilum (having oblong conidia) and Chrysomorbus (having fusoid to oval conidia). The convex to globose conidiomata and lack of ostioles distinguish Chrysomorbus from Amphilogia (having conical conidiomata, ostiole absent) and Aurifilum (having convex conidiomata, and ostiole present with black opening). Celoporthe eucalypti, Celoporthe guangdongensis, Celoporthe syzygii, Chrysoporthe deuterocubensis and Corticimorbus sinomyrti have previously been isolated on Myrtales in China, but the conidiomata of these species are black, which is different to C. lagerstroemiae in which the conidiomata are orange.

## Pathogenicity tests

Four weeks after inoculation, all six C. lagerstroemiae isolates produced lesions on L. speciosa branches, while
none were produced by the negative controls (Fig. 5a,b). The mean comparison tests indicated that average lesion length caused by the six C. lagerstroemiae isolates were all significantly longer $(P<0.05)$ than the wounds caused by the controls (Fig. 6a). Isolates CERC8805, CERC8807 and CERC8810 were more aggressive than the other isolates (Fig. 6a). Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae was successfully reisolated from the lesions, but not from the controls.

The six isolates also produced lesions on Eucalyptus clone CEPT-10 within 4 weeks; no lesions developed in the control inoculations (Fig. 5c,d). The lesions caused by the six isolates were all significantly longer than the wounds caused by the controls (Fig. 6b). Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae was successfully reisolated from the lesions on inoculated Eucalyptus seedlings but not from the controls.

## Discussion

In this study, a previously unknown genus and species of fungus in the Cryphonectriaceae was discovered on L. speciosa trees in two parks in the GuangDong and HaiNan Provinces of South China. Justification for describing the fungus as a new taxon was based on the results of phylogenetic analyses of sequence data for multiple gene regions as well as morphological characteristics. The newly described fungus typifies the new genus Chrysomorbus and has been provided with the name C. lagerstroemiae. Inoculation tests showed that

Figure 6 Histogram showing the average lesion lengths resulting from inoculation of Lagerstroemia speciosa branches (a) or saplings of Eucalyptus clone CEPT-10 (b) with six isolates of Chrysomorbus lagerstroemiae and a control. Vertical bars represent standard error of means. Different letters above the error bars indicate treatments that were significantly different ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).

C. lagerstroemiae is pathogenic to L. speciosa as well as to a Eucalyptus clone commonly planted in China.

This is the first report of a species of Cryphonectriaceae on Lythraceae in China. Unlike species of Cryphonectriaceae that have been widely reported from Myrtaceae trees (Gryzenhout et al., 2009), only two species of Cryphonectriaceae have previously been recorded on the Lythraceae. These include C. cubensis collected on the non-native tree L. indica in Cuba (Gryzenhout et al., 2006b) and L. aurorae from native G. transvaalica trees in Swaziland (Vermeulen et al., 2011). The results of the present study suggest that the Cryphonectriaceae have wider host and geographical ranges on Lythraceae than those currently known for these trees, both in China and in other regions of the world.

Isolates of C. lagerstroemiae were obtained from stems of dead trees, as well as from cankered stems and cracked bark on living trees. The inoculation tests
undertaken in this study showed that the fungus can produce lesions on branches of L. speciosa, and thus confirm that it was most likely responsible for the cankers of trees in the areas sampled. This might also suggest that C. lagerstroemiae poses a threat to L. speciosa in areas where the tree is planted as a non-native ornamental and possibly also where the tree is native.
The origin of C. lagerstroemiae is unknown. It is possible that it occurs on Lagerstroemia species where these trees are native and that it has been introduced into China. Alternatively, it might be a fungus native to China that has undergone a host shift (Slippers et al., 2005) to infect L. speciosa. This would be similar to situations where other members of the Cryphonectriaceae on native Myrtales have adapted to infect Eucalyptus (Myrtaceae) in various parts of the world (Heath et al., 2006; Vermeulen et al., 2011, 2013a; van der Merwe et al., 2013). In this regard, these fungi appear to be
common as natives on the Myrtales and to have the capacity to easily adapt to infect hosts in this plant order (Burgess \& Wingfield, 2017).

Preliminary inoculation tests showed that C. lagerstroemiae is able to infect the stems of a Eucalyptus clone. This is similar to the situation in Africa and South America where C. austroafricana and C. cubensis, from native Syzygium species or Tibouchina species respectively, have adapted to become a serious pathogen of plantation-grown Eucalyptus (Rodas et al., 2005; Heath et al., 2006; Gryzenhout et al., 2009). Regardless as to whether C. lagerstroemiae is native to China or not, it clearly poses a threat to Eucalyptus propagated as nonnatives in that country or elsewhere in the world. More importantly, it could easily be introduced into new areas such as Australia where Myrtaceae are native and where very serious damage to the natural environment could result. This would be similar to the situation that has arisen with the myrtle rust pathogen Puccinia psidii in Australia (Pegg et al., 2014; Burgess \& Wingfield, 2017) and it should be actively avoided.

Other than Cryphonectria nitschkei and C. parasitica that occur on the Fagaceae, species of Cryphonectriaceae occurring in China have all been isolated from Myrtaceae (Myrtales) trees. These trees include the native R. tomentosa and the non-native S. cumini, Eucalyptus species, and in this study L. speciosa, which are all found in South China. There are large numbers of native Myrtales in China including at least 19 genera (Chen, 1984). Consequently, surveys of these trees in China are likely to reveal additional members of the Cryphonectriaceae in this region of the world. The aggressive nature of many of these fungi as tree pathogens suggest that such surveys would be justified as part of a global strategy (Wingfield et al., 2015) to reduce the impact of tree diseases globally.
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