ORIGINAL PAPER



Euwallacea perbrevis (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae), a confirmed pest on *Acacia crassicarpa* in Riau, Indonesia, and a new fungal symbiont; *Fusarium rekanum* sp. nov.

Kira M. T. Lynn · Michael J. Wingfield · Alvaro Durán · Seonju Marincowitz · Leonardo S. S. Oliveira · Z. Wilhelm de Beer · Irene Barnes

Received: 27 October 2019/Accepted: 4 February 2020 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

Abstract Non-native *Acacia* plantations in Indonesia were first reported to be infested by a native ambrosia beetle species, identified as *Euwallacea fornicatus* in 1993. Recently the level of infestation in these plantations by ambrosia beetles has steadily increased. The recent redefinition of the taxonomic parameters of the *Euwallacea fornicatus* species complex has resulted in the identity of the ambrosia beetle species in these plantations becoming unclear. This is also true for their obligate fungal associates. Therefore, the aim of this study was to identify the ambrosia beetle species, as well as its corresponding fungal associate/s, infesting *Acacia crassicarpa* plantations in Riau, Indonesia. Morphological identification and phylogenetic analysis of the mitochondrial

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-020-01392-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

K. M. T. Lynn · M. J. Wingfield · S. Marincowitz · Z. W. de Beer · I. Barnes (⊠)
Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology (BGM), Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Private Bag X20, Pretoria 0028, South Africa
e-mail: irene.barnes@fabi.up.ac.za

A. Durán · L. S. S. Oliveira Plant Health Program, Research and Development, Asia Pacific Resources International Holdings Ltd. (APRIL), Pangkalan Kerinci 28300, Riau, Indonesia cytochrome oxidase c subunit I (COI) gene, revealed that the beetles are *E. perbrevis*, previously a synonym of *E. fornicatus* and commonly referred to as the Tea Shot Hole Borer A (TSHBa). Multi-locus phylogenetic analyses of the fungal associate of *E. perbrevis* revealed a *Fusarium* sp. that is among members of the Ambrosia *Fusarium* Clade (AFC), but that is genetically distinct from other previously identified *Fusarium* symbionts of *Euwallacea* species. This novel fungal species is described here as *Fusarium rekanum* sp. nov.

Keywords AFC complex · Ambrosia beetle · *Euwallacea perbrevis · Fusarium ambrosium ·* New taxon · *Neocosmospora ·* PHSB

Introduction

Ambrosia beetles are wood-boring mycetophagous insects belonging to the subfamilies Scolytinae and Platypodinae in the larger Curculionidae (Jordal et al. 2011; Hulcr et al. 2015). They are defined by a shared ecological strategy known as fungus farming, with the beetles having obligate nutritional symbioses with fungi (Farrell et al. 2001; Kirkendall et al. 2015). In most ambrosia beetle species, the insects store and transport their fungal associates to and from their natal galleries, in specialised structures known as mycangia

(Batra 1963). Once released from the mycangia, the fungi penetrate the xylem tissues of typically dying or occasionally living plant hosts, and grow in the galleries to provide nutrition for the beetles and their offspring (Batra 1963; Mueller et al. 2005).

Ambrosia beetles perform a key role in the ecosystems of their tropical and sub-tropical native ranges by introducing a diverse consortium of fungi into the woody tissues they infest, influencing their rate of decay (Skelton et al. 2019). Many ambrosia beetles and their associated fungi are ecologically constrained to dying trees and usually remain harmless even after establishment in non-native regions. Therefore, they are generally economically inconsequential (Hulcr and Dunn 2011; Ranger et al. 2015; Hulcr et al. 2017). However, several ambrosia beetle species are emerging as prominent pests on trees, particularly in regions where they have been accidently introduced (Hughes et al. 2017; Stouthamer et al. 2017; Gomez et al. 2018).

Ambrosia beetles in the genus *Euwallacea* Hopkins 1915 within the tribe Xyleborini, are particularly successful invaders (Cognato et al. 2015; Stouthamer et al. 2017). This is in part due to their haplodiploid mating system, wide host range and their primary association with *Fusarium* Link species, which can act as both nutritional symbionts and weak phytopathogens (Kasson et al. 2013; O'Donnell et al. 2015). The fusaria associated with *Euwallacea* form a monophyletic group within Clade 3 of the *F. solani* species complex (FSSC), known as the Ambrosia *Fusarium* Clade (AFC, Kasson et al. 2013). Recently, however, Sandoval-Denis et al. (2019) proposed that species in the FSSC, including the AFC species, be placed in the genus *Neocosmospora*.

The AFC comprises of two strongly supported subclades. Clade A includes species that produce curved fusiform septate sporodochial conidia, typical of *Fusarium*. In contrast, most of the species in Clade B produce clavate sporodochial conidia (Kasson et al. 2013). To date, only seven of the 18 species in the AFC have been formally described and include *F. ambrosium* Agnihothr. & Nirenberg (AF-1) (Gadd and Loos 1947), *F. euwallaceae* S. Freeman, Z. Mendel, T. Aoki & O'Donnell (AF-2) (Freeman et al. 2013), *F. oligoseptatum* T. Aoki, M. T. Kasson, S. Freeman, D. M. Geiser & K. O'Donnell (AF-4) (Aoki et al. 2018), *F. kuroshium* F. Na, J.D. Carrillo & Eskalen (AF-12) (Na et al. 2018), *F. floridanum* T. Aoki,

Kasson, S. Freeman, Geiser & O'Donnell (AF-3), F. tuaranense T. Aoki, Kasson, S. Freeman, Geiser & O'Donnell (AF-5) and F. obliquiseptatum T. Aoki, Kasson, S. Freeman, Geiser & O'Donnell (AF-7) (Aoki et al. 2019). Three of these are associated with species in the redefined E. fornicatus species complex (Gomez et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019). The four pests in this redefined complex include E. perbrevis Schedl 1951 (Tea Shot Hole Borer Clade a) associated with F. ambrosium (AF-1), E. fornicatior Eggers 1923 (Tea Shot Hole Borer Clade b) associated with a still unknown symbiont, E. fornicatus Schedl 1951 (Polyphagous Shot Hole Borer) associated with F. euwallaceae (AF-2), and E. kuroshio Gomez & Hulcr 2018 (Kuroshio Shot Hole Borer) associated with F. kuroshium (AF-12) (Gomez et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019).

Species in the *E. fornicatus* complex, along with their AFC associates, are responsible for economic losses to forestry and agricultural industries in various parts of the world (Eskalen et al. 2013; O'Donnell et al. 2016; Na et al. 2018). However, due to previous ambiguous morphological species boundaries, all four species were treated as *E. fornicatus*, despite differences in fungal symbionts, geographic range, and host preference (Gadd and Loos 1947; Freeman et al. 2013; Gomez et al. 2018; Na et al. 2018). This ambiguous level of identification and the revision of the *E. fornicatus* complex, has resulted in confusion regarding the economic importance of these four species.

In Indonesia, *E. fornicatus* has been reported as a pest on *Acacia* spp. where large areas of these exotic trees are planted (Nuhamara, 1993 in Stouthamer et al. 2017). In recent years, there has been a gradual increase in ambrosia beetle infestations within these *Acacia* plantations. This has resulted in significant economic losses to the forestry industry in the region due to tree mortality, a decrease in yield and wood property, and the potential of these insects to vector new aggressive plant pathogens (Roy et al. 2018; Boland and Woodward 2019).

The taxonomic revision of the *E. fornicatus* complex has led to a situation where the identity of the most common ambrosia beetle infesting *Acacia* spp. in Riau, Indonesia is not known. Likewise, the identity of its fungal symbiont/s has not been determined. The aim of this study was therefore to, (1) identify the ambrosia beetles infesting *A. crassicarpa* plantations in Riau, Indonesia, (2) identify the fungal associate/s of the most common beetle species, and (3) determine whether these fungi are pathogens of *A. crassicarpa*.

Materials and methods

Collections of beetle specimens and brood galleries

Plantations of *A. crassicarpa* in Riau, Indonesia, showing heavy ambrosia beetle infestation were identified, and four geographically separated compartments were chosen as collection sites. Based on the infestation scale of Eskalen et al. (2013), three moderately infested living trees [10–30 entry holes] were selected from each site, felled at 50 cm above ground level, and cut into 50 cm logs. These logs were transported to the laboratory where they were dissected, and beetles, along with their galleries, were extracted for morphological and molecular identification.

Fungal isolations

Fungal isolates were either obtained by direct isolation from the mandibular mycangia of mature female beetles (Lynch et al. 2016), or by culturing from the surfaces of the beetle galleries, using methods described by Eskalen et al. (2013). Isolations were performed on Petri dishes containing either potato dextrose agar (PDA; PDA 20 g/L, BD DifcoTM) or *Fusarium* selective medium (FSM, Leslie and Summerell 2006) amended with 100 µg streptomycin sulphate (Sigma, Steinheim, Germany) to limit the growth of contaminating bacteria and fungi.

For the mycangial extractions, beetles were surface disinfected by submerging individual specimens into Eppendorf tubes containing 70% (v/v) ethanol. Tubes were agitated with a vortex mixer for 10 s before the beetle specimens were removed, rinsed with sterilized distilled water, and air-dried. The beetle heads were separated from the thoracic segments, macerated or crushed, and were either smeared directly onto the surface of agar or suspended in 200 μ L of sterile water in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes, shaken for 10 s, and individually pipetted (50 μ L) onto the agar and spread using sterile glass rods. The Petri dishes were incubated at 25 °C for 3–5 days to allow for fungal growth. The remaining thoracic and abdominal segments of the beetles were individually stored in 200 μ L of 70% (v/v) ethanol for further DNA extractions and identification.

Fungi growing in the beetle galleries were obtained using three different methods as follows: (1) sterile needles were scraped along the gallery surfaces and washed in 200 μ L of sterile water. Fifty μ L of this suspension was pipetted onto growth medium (FSM and PDA) and spread using sterile glass rods (Kajimura and Hijii 1992); (2) mycelial strands growing inside the beetle galleries, observed using a dissection microscope, were lifted using sterile needles and plated onto FSM and PDA; (3) small pieces of discoloured wood were cut from the edges of the galleries, surface disinfested with 70% (v/v) ethanol and placed on FSM and PDA growth media.

Primary isolations were incubated at 25 °C for 3-5 days to allow for fungal growth. Fungal colonies were sub-cultured onto 2% malt extract agar (MEA: 20 g/L malt extract, 20 g/L agar, Biolab, Midrand, South Africa), amended with 100 µg streptomycin. Five days post sub-culturing, single hyphal tip cultures were made onto MEA, to obtain pure colonies for further identification.

All cultures obtained in this study were deposited in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). Representative isolates of the novel species were deposited in the living collection (PPRI) of the South African National Collection of Fungi (NCF), Roodeplaat, South Africa. Dried specimens of sporulating cultures were deposited in the herbarium collection (PREM) of the South African National Collection of Fungi, Roodeplaat, South Africa.

DNA extraction and PCR

Beetle specimens

Specimens were initially characterised based on morphology and grouped utilising similarities in body form and declivital sculpturing. A subset of 30 individual specimens was selected for DNA extraction, including a representative from each morphological group. DNA was extracted from the beetle wings and legs using the prepGEMTM Universal DNA extraction kit [Zygem, Biocom Africa (Pty) Ltd.], following the manufacturers protocol, except that the

 $\label{eq:table1} Table \ 1 \ \ Isolates \ and \ sequence \ data \ used \ in \ the \ phylogenetic \ analysis \ of \ this \ study$

Isolate	Species	Host	Location	GenBank numbers ^a		
				ITS	TEF1-a	RPB2 ^b
CMW52862 ^{c,d,e,f}	Fusarium sp.	Euwallacea perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249094	MN249151	MN249137, MN249108
CMW53702 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249095	MN249152	MN249138, MN249109
CMW53701 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249096	MN249153	MN249139, MN249110
CMW53691 ^{e,f}	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249097	MN249154	MN249140, MN249111
CMW53690 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249098	MN249155	MN249141, MN249112
CMW53689 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249099	MN249156	MN249142, MN249113
CMW53688 ^{e,f}	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249100	MN249157	MN249143, MN249114
CMW51756 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249101	MN249158	MN249144, MN249115
CMW51757 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249102	MN249159	MN249145, MN249116
CMW51760 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249103	MN249160	MN249146, MN249117
CMW51764 ^{d,e}	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249104	MN249161	MN249147, MN249118
CMW51765 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249105	MN249162	MN249148, MN249119
CMW51767 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249106	MN249163	MN249149, MN249120
CMW51769 ^e	Fusarium sp.	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	PKU, Indonesia	MN249107	MN249164	MN249150, MN249121
UCR3641 ^c	F. kuroshium [AF-12]	Platanus racemosa	El Cajon, CA	KX262196	KX262216	KX262256
UCR3644	F. kuroshium [AF-12]	P. racemosa	El Cajon, CA	KX262197	KX262217	KX262257
UCR3651	F. kuroshium [AF-12]	Euwallacea sp.	Fallbrook, CA	KX262198	KX262218	KX262258
UCR3653	F. kuroshium [AF-12]	Persea americana	Bonsall, CA	KX262200	KX262220	KX262260
UCR3654	F. kuroshium [AF-12]	P. americana	Bonsall, CA	KX262201	KX262221	KX262261
UCR3652	F. kuroshium [AF-12]	Unknown	Unknown	KX262199	KX262219	KX262259
UCR4672	Fusarium sp. [AF-14]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262206	KX262226	KX262266
UCR4673	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262207	KX262227	KX262267
UCR4674	Fusarium sp. [AF-13]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262208	KX262228	KX262268
UCR4675	Fusarium sp. [AF-13]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262209	KX262229	KX262269
UCR4676	Fusarium sp. [AF-17]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262210	KX262230	KX262270
UCR4677	Fusarium sp. [AF-18]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262211	KX262231	KX262271
UCR4678	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262212	KX262232	KX262272

Table 1 continued

Isolate Species Host		Host	Location	GenBank numbers ^a		
				ITS	TEF1-α	RPB2 ^b
UCR4679	Fusarium sp. [AF-15]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262213	KX262233	KX262273
UCR4680	Fusarium sp. [AF-17]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262214	KX262234	KX262274
UCR4681	Fusarium sp. [AF-14]	Euwallacea sp.	Taichung, Taiwan	KX262215	KX262235	KX262275
NRRL20438	F. ambrosium [AF-1]	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	India	AF178397	AF178332	JX171584
NRRL22231	F. tuaranense [AF-5]	Hevea brasiliensis	Malaysia	KC691570	KC691542	KC691631, KC691660
NRRL22346	F. ambrosium [AF-1]	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	India	EU329669	FJ240350	EU329503
NRRL22468	F. neocosmosporiellum	Arachis hypogaea	Guinea	DQ094318	AF178349	EU329512
NRRL22643	Fusarium sp. [AF-9]	Xyleborus ferrugineus	Costa Rica	KC691583	DQ247628	KC691644, KC691673
NRRL32434	F. lichenicola	Homo sapiens	Germany	DQ094444	DQ246977	EF470161
NRRL46518	F. tuaranense [AF-5]	H. brasiliensis	Malaysia	KC691571	KC691543	KC691632, KC691661
NRRL54722	F. euwallaceae [AF-2]	Euwallacea sp.	Israel	JQ038014	JQ038007	JQ038028
NRRL54723	F. euwallaceae [AF-2]	Euwallacea sp.	Israel	JQ038015	JQ038008	JQ038029
NRRL54724	F. euwallaceae [AF-2]	Euwallacea sp.	Israel	JQ038016	JQ038009	JQ038030
NRRL54725	F. euwallaceae [AF-2]	Euwallacea sp.	Israel	JQ038017	JQ038010	JQ038031
NRRL54726	F. euwallaceae [AF-2]	Euwallacea sp.	Israel	JQ038018	JQ038011	JQ038032
NRRL54727	F. euwallaceae [AF-2]	Euwallacea sp.	Israel	JQ038019	JQ038012	JQ038033
NRRL62578	F. oligoseptatum [AF-4]	E. validus	PA (U.S.A.)	KC691565	KC691537	KC691626, KC691655
NRRL62579 ^c	F. oligoseptatum [AF-4]	E. validus	PA (U.S.A.)	KC691566	KC691538	KC691627, KC691656
NRRL62584	Fusarium sp. [AF-8]	Euwallacea sp.	FL (U.S.A.)	KC691582	KC691554	KC691643, KC691672
NRRL62585	Fusarium sp. [AF-8]	Euwallacea sp.	FL (U.S.A.)	KC691577	KC691554	KC691638, KC691667
NRRL62590	Fusarium sp. [AF-6]	Euwallacea sp.	FL (U.S.A.)	KC691574	KC691546	KC691635, KC691664
NRRL62591	Fusarium sp. [AF-6]	Euwallacea sp.	FL (U.S.A.)	KC691573	KC691545	KC691634, KC691663
NRRL62606	F. floridanum [AF-3]	Euwallacea sp.	FL (U.S.A.)	KC691561	KC691533	KC691622, KC691651
NRRL62610	F. obliquiseptatum [AF-7]	Euwallacea sp.	Australia	KC691575	KC691547	KC691636, KC691665
NRRL62611	F. obliquiseptatum [AF-7]	Euwallacea sp.	Australia	KC691576	KC691548	KC691637, KC691666

Table 1 continued

Isolate	Species	Host	Location	GenBank numbers ^a		
				ITS	TEF1-a	RPB2 ^b
NRRL62629	F. floridanum [AF-3]	E. interjectus	FL (U.S.A.)	KC691564	KC691536	KC691625, KC691654
NRRL62941	Fusarium sp. [AF-10]	Unknown	Singapore	KM406633	KM406626	KM406647
NRRL62942	F. ambrosium [AF-1]	Camellia sinensis	Sri Lanka	KM406631	KM406624	KM406645
NRRL62944	Fusarium sp. [AF-11]	C. sinensis	Sri Lanka	KM406634	KM406627	KM406648
NRRL66088	Fusarium sp. [AF-9]	Delonix regia	FL (U.S.A.)	KM406632	KM406632	KM406646
TW1	Fusarium sp. [AF-18]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432860	MK435437	MK435521
TW2	Fusarium sp. [AF-14]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432862	MK435439	MK435523
TW4	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432866	MK435443	MK435527
TW15	Fusarium sp. [AF-15]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432861	MK435438	MK435522
TW25	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432863	MK435440	MK435524
TW34	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432864	MK435441	MK435525
TW37	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432865	MK435442	MK435526
TW40	Fusarium sp. [AF-17]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432867	MK435444	MK435528
TW43	F. kuroshium [AF-12]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432868	MK435445	MK435529
TW44	Fusarium sp. [AF-18]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432869	MK435446	MK435530
TW45	Fusarium sp. [AF-15]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432870	MK435447	MK435531
TW55	Fusarium sp. [AF-18]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432871	MK435448	MK435532
TW56	Fusarium sp. [AF-14]	P. americana	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432872	MK435449	MK435533
UCR5499	Fusarium sp. [AF-14]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432873	MK435450	MK435534
UCR5508	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432874	MK435451	MK435535
UCR5509	Fusarium sp. [AF-14]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432875	MK435452	MK435536
UCR5513	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432876	MK435453	MK435537
UCR5545	Fusarium sp. [AF-17]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432877	MK435454	MK435538
UCR5546	Fusarium sp. [AF-14]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432878	MK435455	MK435539
UCR5557	Fusarium sp. [AF-18]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432879	MK435456	MK435540
UCR5584	Fusarium sp. [AF-13]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432880	MK435457	MK435541

Table 1 continued

Isolate	Species	Host	Location	GenBank numbers ^a		
				ITS	TEF1-α	RPB2 ^b
UCR6394	Fusarium sp. [AF-15]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432881	MK435458	MK435542
UCR6395	Fusarium sp. [AF-15]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432882	MK435459	MK435543
UCR6403	Fusarium sp. [AF-13]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432883	MK435460	MK435544
UCR6405	Fusarium sp. [AF-16]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432884	MK435461	MK435545
UCR6408	F. kuroshium [AF-12]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432885	MK435462	MK435546
UCR6409	Fusarium sp. [AF-13]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432886	MK435463	MK435547
UCR6411	Fusarium sp. [AF-18]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432887	MK435464	MK435548
UCR6414	Fusarium sp. [AF-18]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432888	MK435465	MK435549
UCR6417	Fusarium sp. [AF-18]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432889	MK435466	MK435550
UCR6432	Fusarium sp. [AF-13]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432890	MK435467	MK435551
UCR6436	Fusarium sp. [AF-14]	Euwallacea sp.	Danei District, Taiwan	MK432891	MK435468	MK435552

PKU Pekanbaru, CA California, PA Pennsylvania, FL Florida

^aITS = internal transcribed spacer region; TEF1- α = translation elongation factor 1- α ; RPB2 = DNA-directed RNA polymerase II subunit

^bTwo accession numbers correspond to unjoined RPB2-1 and RPB2-2 sequences

^cType-species

^dIsolates used in the pathogenicity test

^eIndonesian isolates collected and sequenced in this study

^fIsolates used in morphological descriptions and growth studies

final product was not diluted. The extracted DNA was subjected to PCR amplification of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase c subunit I gene (COI), using the primer pair LCO1490 and HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). Each PCR reaction mixture consisted of 0.2 μ L FastStartTM Taq DNA Polymerase (Roche), 10.8 μ L sterile DNase-free water, 1 μ L of 10 mM both forward and reverse primer, 3 μ L of 25 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 μ L 10 × PCR Buffer and 4 μ L of DNA template, for a 25 μ L total reaction mixture. PCR amplification was carried out on a Bio-Rad iCycler thermocycler (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA), programmed for an initial denaturing step of 95 °C for 7 min; followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s and 72 °C

for 1 min; ending with a final extension of 7 min at 72 °C. Amplification was confirmed by staining 2 μ L PCR product with 2 μ L GelRedTM Nucleic Acid Gel stain (Biotium, Hayward, CA, USA), and separating them on a 2% agarose gel, followed by visualization under UV light.

Fungal isolates

Three-day-old cultures of all isolates resembling *Fusarium* spp., were used for DNA extraction using Prepman[®] Ultra Sample Preparation Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. PCR amplification was

performed for: the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region and the domains D1 and D2, at the 5'end of the nuclear large subunit (ITS 1-5.8S-ITS2 + LSU rDNA), using the primers ITS4/ITS5 (White et al. 1990) and NL1/NL4 (Kurtzman and Robnett 1997), respectively; the translation elongation factor $1-\alpha$ (TEF1- α) using the primers EF1/EF2 (O'Donnell et al. 1998) and the RNA polymerase subunit II (RPB2), using the primer pairs 5F2/7CR (RPB2-1) and 7CF/11AR (RPB2-2) (O'Donnell et al. 2007). PCR amplifications were performed in 13 µL reactions containing 2.5 μ L 5 \times MyTaq buffer (Bioline, London, UK), 0.25 µL MyTaq DNA polymerases (Bioline), 1 µL DNA template, 0.5 µL of each primer (10 mM), and 8.25 µL of sterile deionized water. PCR was performed for each primer pair using published cycling parameters (White et al. 1990; O'Donnell and Cigelnik 1997; Jacobs et al. 2004; O'Donnell et al. 2007). Amplification of products was confirmed with gel electrophoresis as stated above. PCR amplification of the RNA polymerase subunit I (RPB1), using the primer pairs F5/R8 (RPB1-1) and F7/G2R (RPB1-2) (O'Donnell et al. 2010), was unsuccessful across all isolates.

DNA sequencing

PCR products were purified using 6% Sephadex G-50 columns following the manufacturer's protocol (Sigma, Aldrich Germany). Products were sequenced in both directions in 12 µL reactions, using the same primers used for PCR amplification. The reaction mixture contained 1 µL BigDye® Terminator v. 3.1 ready reaction mixture (Perkin-Elmer, Warrington, UK), 1 µL sequencing buffer, 1 µL of either the forward or reverse primer (10 mM) for each gene region and 1.5 µL cleaned PCR product. The thermal cycling conditions included 25 cycles of 10 s at 96 °C, 5 s at 55 °C and 4 min at 60 °C. Sequencing products were cleaned using 6% Sephadex G-50 columns and dried in an Eppendorf 5301 vacuum concentrator at 60 °C for 5 min. Sequencing was performed at the sequencing facility of the University of Pretoria, on an ABI PRISMTM 3500x1 Auto-sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The forward and reverse sequencing reads were assembled into contigs using CLC Bio Main Workbench v. 6 (CLC Bio, www. clcbio.com), and from which consensus sequences were extracted and exported for phylogenetic analysis.

Phylogenetic analyses

Beetle specimens

A preliminary identity for the beetle specimens was obtained by performing a nucleotide BLAST of the COI sequences against the NCBI GenBank database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Based on the results, sequences of closely related taxa were downloaded to compile datasets for phylogenetic analyses. Additionally, sequences available from previous studies (Gomez et al. 2018; Na et al. 2018), were also incorporated into the datasets. Sequences were aligned in MEGA v. 7 (Kumar et al. 2016), using the inbuilt MUSCLE alignment software (Edgar 2004), and manually inspected and adjusted. Genealogical relationships among individual beetles were reconstructed using maximum likelihood (ML) analyses, which were performed on the online CIPRES Science Gateway v 3.3 platform (Miller et al. 2010), using the online RAxML-HPC BlackBox v. 8.2.10 tool (Stamatakis 2014). Maximum likelihood analyses were carried out using the GTRGAMMA + I substitution model with all other parameters set to default. A nonparametric analysis of the sequence data with 1000 bootstrap replicates provided statistical support for the branches of the generated ML trees. Ambrosiophilus sexdentatus (HM06405) was used to root the ML analysis as suggested by Gomez et al. (2018).

The DNA for the representative specimens sequenced in this study are stored in the Entomological database of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa. All additional specimens collected in this study are stored in 70% (v/v) ethanol at 5 °C at the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa.

Fusarium isolates

Initial BLAST searches of the resulting translation elongation factor $1-\alpha$ (TEF1- α) sequences, against the NCBI GenBank database, were performed to putatively identify fungal isolates. As with the beetles, sequences of closely related taxa were then downloaded, along with those used in previous studies (Kasson et al. 2013; O'Donnell et al. 2015; Carrillo et al. 2019) (Table 1), to construct datasets for phylogenetic analyses. DNA sequences for the ITS, TEF1- α and RPB2 gene regions (Table 1), from 14 selected isolates of a *Fusarium* sp. obtained in this study, were individually aligned with each respective gene dataset in MEGA v. 7, following the same procedure as stated above. The individual datasets were subsequently combined using FASconCAT-G (Kück and Longo 2014).

Using the concatenated dataset, a maximum likelihood (ML) multigene phylogeny was constructed. jModelTest2 (Darriba et al. 2015) was used on the combined dataset to determine the best substitution model. Maximum likelihood tree construction was performed using PhyML v. 3.1 (Guindon et al. 2010), with the following criteria: proportion of non-variable sites 0.484, gamma shape 0.311 and the number of substitution sites set to 6. The starting tree was obtained using a BioNJ approach and the branch swopping strategy was set to select the best NNI algorithm. Statistical support for the branches in the ML trees was obtained by performing 1000 bootstrap replicates. Fusarium neocosmosporiellum (NRRL 22468) and Fusarium lichenicola (NRRL 32434) were used as the outgroups based on previous studies (Kasson et al. 2013; O'Donnell et al. 2015).

Morphological characterization of Fusarium spp.

To investigate the morphological characters of the fungus, three representative isolates (CMW 52862, CMW 53688 and CMW 53691) were selected for the study. Fourteen-day-old cultures grown on PDA and synthetic low-nutrient agar (SNA: 0.2 g glucose, 0.2 g sucrose, 1 g KH₂PO₄, 1 g KNO₃, 0.5 g MgSO₄, 7 H₂O, 0.5 g KCl, 20 g Difco agar per litre; Leslie and Summerell 2006) in darkness, under continuous UV light or under an ambient daylight photoperiod, at ambient room temperature (23-25 °C), were used to evaluate the microscopic characters considered by Aoki et al. (2005) and Freeman et al. (2013). Microscope slides were prepared for each isolate with structures mounted in water and 25-50 measurements were recorded for each characteristic, using a Nikon H550L microscope (Nikon, Yokohama, Japan). Means, standard deviation, standard error, max, and min values of the microscopic characters were calculated and presented as minimum-(mean minus standard deviation)-mean-(mean plus standard deviation)-maximum.

To determine optimum growth conditions, colony growth rate was determined for each representative isolate of the *Fusarium* sp. Three replicates of each isolate were assessed at temperatures ranging from 10 to 35 °C at 5 °C intervals grown in the dark. Agar plugs (5 mm) were cut from the edges of 1-week-old cultures and placed at the centres of 90 mm Petri dishes containing PDA. Two measurements of colony diameter perpendicular to each other were made, every day for 10 days, after which averages were determined. After 14 days, the cultures grown at 25 °C in the dark were used to characterize colony colour (surface and reverse), odour and colony morphology. Colony colours (surface and reverse) were described using the colour charts of Rayner (1970).

Pathogenicity assay

To determine the pathogenicity of the Fusarium sp. on A. crassicarpa, two isolates (Treatment 1 =CMW 52862 and Treatment 2 = CMW 51764) were selected and each inoculated into the stems of 16, seven-to-eight-month-old, A. crassicarpa trees. The fungal cultures were grown on PDA for 7 days at 25 °C, and 6 mm agar plugs were cut from the leading edges of the cultures using a sterilized cork borer. The same size cork borer was flame-sterilized and used to make wounds in the stems of the trees, removing the phloem tissues to expose the cambium. The agar plugs were then placed in the wounds, mycelium-side facing the cambium and the inoculation sites were covered with Parafilm[®] (Bemis NA) and sealed with adhesive tape. Sixteen trees were inoculated in the same manner with sterile PDA to serve as controls.

Inoculations were inspected after 30 days, by removing the bark to assess lesion development. Lesion lengths were measured from the edges of visible discolouration in the wood. A Shapiro–Wilk test and Levene's test were performed in R (v. 3.2.3), to assess whether the data was normally distributed and homogeneous, respectively. The data were subsequently raised to the power of -0.5 in R to obtain a normal distribution. To test the difference between lesion length of the different treatments and the controls, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the agricolae package in R was performed (de Mendiburu 2019). Ad hoc Tukey's bootstrap test was performed with the log-transformed data at $\alpha = 0.05$, to determine whether there were significant differences between the individual isolates compared to the control and between the isolates themselves. All plots were made using ggplot in the package R tidyverse (Wickham 2017).

To test Koch's postulates, xylem samples from the margins of the lesions were taken to attempt reisolation of the inoculated fungi. Small pieces of the xylem tissue, including those from the control plants, were plated onto PDA and FSM amended with 100 μ g streptomycin, and incubated at 25 °C for 5 days. The resulting isolates were identified using DNA sequences for the translation elongation factor 1- α (TEF1- α) as described above. Resulting sequences were aligned to the original isolate sequence data in CLC Bio Main Workbench v. 6 (CLC Bio, www. clcbio.com), to confirm the presence of the inoculated fungi.

Results

PCR amplification and sequencing

Beetle specimens

In total, 51 beetle galleries were extracted from infested trees (Fig. 1a), and 61 beetle specimens were collected. Based on preliminary morphological characterisation, five different beetle taxa were identified. Successful PCR amplification of the COI gene was carried out for all beetle specimens, with a resulting amplicon length of approximately 800 bp.

Morphological characters, such as body size (Fig. 1b), and ML phylogenetic analysis of the DNA sequence data (Fig. 2), showed that Euwallacea perbrevis (TSHBa) was the most abundantly collected beetle species, representing 49% of the samples. The 15 individual TSHBa beetles sequenced represented two distinct COI haplotypes (Fig. 2), one of which is novel. These haplotypes differed from each other by 6 bp, but both grouped into the same clade with other specimens collected from Indonesia (Gomez et al. 2018), with a 100% bootstrap support. The novel haplotype, represented by seven individuals, differed by 4 bp to its closest lineage (MH276909), isolated from East Java, Indonesia. The second haplotype was represented by eight individuals and grouped with a previously identified specimen from East Java, Indonesia (MH276910) with a bootstrap support of 89% (Gomez et al. 2018). The aligned dataset for COI (94 taxa, 569 characters) was deposited in TreeBASE (http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/study/TB2: S25628) and all sequences obtained for the TSHBa beetle specimens in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 2).

Morphological identification using the online, Southeast Asia ambrosia beetles identification resource (http://idtools.org/id/wbb/sea-ambrosia/ index.php), and BLAST results of COI sequences of representative individuals, identified the remaining three beetle taxa as: *Xyleborinus exiguus* Wood &



Fig. 1 *Euwallacea perbrevis* and its brood gallery. **a** Brood gallery of *Euwallacea perbrevis* (TSHBa) in two-year-old *A. crassicarpa*. **b** Mature female *Euwallacea perbrevis* (TSHBa) beetle collected from infested *Acacia crassicarpa* in Indonesia. Scale bar = 1.0 mm

Fig. 2 Phylogenetic tree based on maximum likelihood (ML) analysis of COI gene sequences for various ambrosia beetle species in the Euwallacea fornicatus sensu lato complex (Gomez et al. 2018). Specimens in bold were sequenced in this study and represented two distinct haplotypes. Both haplotypes grouped with the other specimens collected from Indonesia with 100% bootstrap support. One novel haplotype represented by seven individuals was supported with a bootstrap of 95%, whereas the second haplotype grouped with other previously identified specimen from Indonesia (MH276910) and contained eight individuals with a bootstrap support of 89%. Ambrosiophilus sexdentatus (HM064051) represents the outgroup



Bright 1992 (syn. X. perexiguus; 97% identity to HM064109), Xylosandrus crassiusculus Motschulsky 1866 (99% identity to KX035196) and E. similis Ferrari 1867 (94% identity to KU727036). The remaining beetle was identified as Hypothenemus Westwood 1836 (99% identity eruditus to KY800193), using the BLAST results of COI sequences and the online site 'Featured Creatures' (http://entnemdept.ufl.edu/creatures/). With the exception of H. eruditus, these are all ambrosia beetles that reside in the tribe Xyleborini. Hypothenemus eruditus is a true weevil in the Cryphalini.

Fungal isolates

Nighty-nine fungal isolates were obtained from the beetle mycangia and brood galleries. These included 18 different fungal genera (Supplementary Table 1), of which *Fusarium* spp. were most commonly isolated across all isolation methods. Due to the fact that beetles in the *E. fornicatus* complex commonly have an association with *Fusarium* spp. (Kasson et al. 2013), the *Fusarium* isolates obtained from the selected sub-population of *E. perbrevis* became the focus of this study.

The second most abundant fungi isolated were Hypocrealean fungi. In addition, a single isolate of a *Graphium* sp. and *Paracremonium* sp. were collected, both of which have previously been identified as Fig. 3 Multilocus phylogenetic analysis of the species in the Ambrosia *Fusarium* Clade (AFC) using combined sequences from the ITS, TEF1- α , and RPB2 gene regions. The phylogram was constructed using maximum likelihood with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Isolates in bold were sequenced in this study. Two early diverging monophyletic sister clades are identified as Clade A and B. The highlighted boxes indicate the 19 species within the AFC and are identified as AF-1 through to AF-19 using an ad hoc nomenclature (Na et al. 2018; Carrillo et al. 2019). The new species in this study, *Fusarium rekanum* is represented by AF-19. The beetle vector of the AFC fusaria is indicated where known. *Fusarium neocosmosporiellum* (NRRL22468) represents the outgroup. T represents ex-type cultures

community members associated with other species in the *E. fornicatus* complex (Lynch et al. 2016; Na et al. 2018). A single isolate was also collected that is genetically very similar to *Ambrosiella roeperi* T.C. Harr. & McNew (99% identity to NR154684), the mycangial symbiont of *X. crassiusculus* (Harrington et al. 2014).

A collection of 14 isolates of a *Fusarium* sp., originating from both mycangial isolations and isolations from the beetle galleries, was selected for further phylogenetic analysis using the ITS-LSU, TEF1- α and RPB 2 datasets. The amplicon lengths for the ITS-LSU, TEF1- α and RPB 2 gene regions were approximately 1100 bp, 700 bp and 1400 bp, respectively. All sequences obtained for the 14 *Fusarium* sp. isolates in this study were deposited in GenBank

Table 2 Descriptions and sequences of specimens collected in this study and used for phylogenetic analysis

Specimen	Genetic lineage	Country	Locality	GenBank accession COI gene region
EB471	Euwallacea perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249122
EB472	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249123
EB473	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249124
EB474	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249125
EB475	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249126
EB476	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249127
EB477	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249128
EB478	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249129
EB479	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249130
EB480	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249131
EB481	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249132
EB482	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249133
EB483	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249134
EB484	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249135
EB485	E. perbrevis (TSHBa)	Indonesia	Pekanbaru, Riau	MN249136



Deringer

(Table 1). The aligned sequences for the ITS (89 taxa, 393 characters), RPB 2 (89 taxa, 1145 characters), TEF1- α (89 taxa, 278 characters), and the combined ITS, RPB2 and TEF1- α (89 taxa, 1815 characters) datasets, were deposited in TreeBASE (http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/study/TB2:S25627). The best model of evolution for the combined dataset was identified as TIM+I+G.

Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses of the individual TEF1- α (Supplementary Fig. 1) and RPB2 (Supplementary Fig. 2) datasets, as well as the combined ITS, RPB 2 and TEF1- α (Fig. 3) dataset, consistently showed that the isolates from both the *E. perbrevis* mycangia and brood galleries, represented a distinct and previously undescribed species. This new taxon, which had high bootstrap support in all analyses, formed a new AFC lineage in subclade B, identified here as AF-19.

RPB 2 was the most informative gene region, providing high bootstrap support for eight of the 19 AFC species, including the new lineage (AF-19) emerging from this study (Supplementary Fig. 2). In contrast, bootstrap analysis of the ITS rDNA provided the weakest signal and resolved only three of the 19 AFC species (Supplementary Fig. 3). As has been found previously, the combined analysis (ITS, RPB2 and TEF1- α), provided the strongest support for the evolutionary relationships within members of the AFC, with high bootstrap support for 11 of the 19 AFC species. In the combined analysis, a total of 13 out of 19 AFC members were resolved with the exceptions of AF-12, AF-13, AF-14, AF-15, AF-17 and AF-18 (Fig. 3). Thus, phylogenetic analyses across two of the three gene regions consistently supported the presence of a previously unknown Fusarium lineage (AF-19), distinct from all other previously identified clades for Fusarium spp. associated with ambrosia beetles.

The topology of the ML phylogram presented in this study based on the combined data set, differed slightly from that in a previous study by Carrillo et al. (2019). Unlike their analyses, we excluded the RPB 1 gene region as we were unable to successfully amplify this gene region. Major groupings within both analyses were, however, similar. Bootstrap support for the two AFC clades (Clade A and B of Kasson et al. 2013) was well supported (Fig. 3). However, support for the backbone of the AFC Clade B, in which our isolates **Fig. 4** Morphological characteristics of *Fusarium rekanum* (ex-type CMW 52862 = PPRI 27163) on PDA and SNA. **a–c**, **i** Morphology of *F. rekanum* cultures grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA), **d–h**, **j–k** morphology of 14-day-old *F. rekanum* cultures grown on synthetic low-nutrient agar (SNA). **a**. Colony morphology on PDA at 2 weeks. **b**, **c** White-buff conidial masses formed in culture on PDA after 1 month. **d–h** Simple to branched aerial conidiophores forming 0-multiseptate conidia, often swollen apically with 1–5 septa or oval to short clavate with 0–1 septa. **i** Multiseptate sporodochial conidia with a round, blunt apical cell, and a barley notched distinct foot-like basal cell with 4–5 septa. **j–k** Round to oval chlamydospores, in chains, formed intercalary or terminally in hyphae. Scale bars: c = 2 mm, d-i = 30 µm, j-k = 5 µm

from *E. perbrevis* grouped, was weak with 11 nodes having a bootstrap value below 50%.

Taxonomy

Based on morphological and molecular evidence, the *Fusarium* isolates from *E. perbrevis* mycangia and brood galleries collected from infested *A. crassicarpa,* represent a novel associate of the TSHBa beetle. It is consequently described as follows:

Fusarium rekanum sp. nov. Lynn & Marinc. (Figs. 3, 4).

MycoBank (MB 831926).

Etymology The name *rekanum* is derived from the Bahasa Indonesia word "rekan" meaning associate and reflects the relationship between the fungus and its beetle vector, *E. perbrevis*.

Culture characteristics Colony colour on PDA at 25 °C for 14 days in dark white to buff (pale yellow). Colony margin smooth and colony elevation raised to umbonate. Reverse pigmentation pale luteous to luteous. Odour of yeast or brewing beer. Exudates absent. Colonies on PDA in dark radial mycelial growth rates of an average of 2.49 mm/day at 15 °C, 4.94 mm/day at 20 °C, 7.66 mm/day at 25 °C, 7.52 mm/day at 30 °C and 0.9 mm/day at 35 °C. Conidial pustules, produced on sporodochia, formed on older cultures grown on PDA, pale luteous to saffron, luteous to sienna, and ochreous. Aerial *mycelium* sparse with occasional pionnotal colony appearance, or developed abundantly, loose to floccose, white. Chlamydospores formed abundantly in hyphae, rarely in conidia, mostly round to oval, intercalary or terminal, single, paired, rarely clustered, or often in chains, hyaline, smooth, rarely rough-



walled, $6-(7)-9.5-(11.5)-14.5 \times 4-(7)-9-(11)-$ 14 µm. Sclerotia absent. Sporulation on SNA abundant, particularly under UV light, retarded on PDA. Sporodochia formed sparsely on SNA, abundantly on PDA. Aerial conidiophores formed abundantly on SNA and PDA, erect, tall, thin walled and narrow with no tapering towards apex, mostly unbranched, 24- $(49.5)-77.5-(105.5)-139.5 \times 2-(4.5)-6-(7.5)-$ 10 µm, forming monophialides integrated in apices. Aerial phialides simple, sub-cylindrical with slight bulging at midsection, with discreet collarette. Aerial conidia (1) mostly oval, two-celled oval to obovoid with truncated base, 0-1(-2)-septa, 7.5-(10)-13- $(16)-18 \times 3-(4.5)-5-(6)-7 \mu m$, (2) falcate to clavate, slightly curved cylindrical, (0–)2–5(–6)-septate conidia, morphologically similar to the falcate to clavate sporodochial conidia. Sporodochial conidiophores thick, short and typically undulate, mostly unbranched or irregularly branched, forming apical monophialides. Sporodochial phialides simple, curved or crooked tube shaped, with discreet collarette. Spor-

lides. Sporodochial phialides simple, curved or crooked tube shaped, with discreet collarette. Sporodochial conidia (1) hyaline, mostly curved clavate, swollen in upper parts, tapering toward the base, often with a round, blunt or occasionally slightly papillate apical cell, with a barley notched distinct foot–like basal cell, (0-)2-6 (-6)-septate, formed on PDA and SNA, 33–(36)–40–(44)–48 × 6.5–(7)–8.5–(9.5)– 11.5 µm, (2) obovoid or short-clavate to pyriform, curved or straight conidia, with a rounded apex and truncate base, (0-)1(-2)-septate, formed sometimes together with multi-septate conidia from sporodochial conidiophores, particularly on PDA.

Habitat Acacia crassicarpa plantations infested with ambrosia beetles in Riau, Indonesia.

Distribution Riau, Indonesia.

Specimen examined Indonesia, Riau, Pelalawan, Acacia crassicarpa plantation, isolated from A. crassicarpa infested with E. perbrevis (TSHBa), April 2017, K. M. T. Lynn, HOLOTYPE PREM 62333, living culture ex-type CMW 52862 = PPRI 27163.

Additional specimens Indonesia, Riau, Pelalawan, *A. crassicarpa* plantation, isolated from a mycangium of *E. perbrevis* (TSHBa). November 2018, K. M. T. Lynn, PREM 62334, living culture CMW 53688 = PPRI 27165; PREM 62335, living culture CMW 53691 = PPRI 27164.

Notes: Fusarium rekanum resembles *Fusarium* spp. residing in the clade that accommodates the associates of *Euwallacea* spp., particularly *F. euwallaceae*, *F.*

kuroshium, and F. ambrosium, in various morphological features. It differs from F. euwallaceae, F. kuroshium and F. ambrosium in producing very few rough-walled chlamydospores formed on conidia. Fusarium rekanum also differs from both F. euwallaceae and F. kuroshium in the pigmentation of the conidial pustules, which are lighter in colour on PDA, and the lack of pigmented sporodochial conidia. Fusarium kuroshium has darker colonies after 14 days when compared to F. rekanum.

Pathogenicity assay

The A. crassicarpa trees inoculated with the two isolates of F. rekanum sp. nov, showed no external signs of disease after 30 days. However, red-coloured lesions, that were absent in the control inoculation, were observed around the inoculation sites, underneath the bark (Fig. 5). Acacia crassicarpa inoculated with isolate CMW 52862 (Treatment 1) produced lesions with a mean length of 8.2 ± 4.8 cm and A. crassicarpa inoculated with isolate CMW 51764 (Treatment 2) produced lesions with a mean length of 13.9 ± 7.6 cm. Trees treated with sterile agar plugs as controls produced wound response lesions having a mean length of 5.2 ± 1.6 cm. Mean lesion lengths associated with isolate CMW 51764 (Treatment 2) were found to be significantly larger than the controls (P < 0.05). Isolate CMW 52862 (Treatment 1) did not produce significantly longer lesions when compared to the negative control (P > 0.05) (Fig. 6).

The TEF1- α sequences generated for the cultures re-isolated from the lesions, aligned perfectly to the TEF1- α sequence data for the isolates (CMW 52862 and CMW 51764) used for the inoculations. Isolations from the stained margins of the lesions (wound response) associated with the control inoculations yielded only secondary contaminant fungi.

Discussion

Based on morphological and molecular characteristics, the results of this study show that *E. perbrevis* (the Tea Shot Hole Borer a) is the most commonly encountered beetle infesting healthy *A. crassicarpa* trees in the sampled areas of Riau, Indonesia. This beetle was identified following the recent taxonomic revision of Smith et al. (2019), that included the same



Fig. 5 Lesions formed on seven to eight-month-old *Acacia* crassicarpa trees inoculated with a control and with *Fusarium* rekanum after a 30-day incubation period. **a** Wound response of

species collected in East Java, Indonesia. The dominant fungal associate of this beetle was identified as a new AFC *Fusarium* species, described here as *F. rekanum* sp. nov. A preliminary inoculation trial with *F. rekanum* sp. nov. showed that the fungus is able to cause lesions but that it is likely not an aggressive pathogen on *A. crassicarpa*.

Specimens collected across multiple geographically separated compartments, at varying time points and on trees of different ages, show that *E. perbrevis* is a common pest on the sampled, non-native *A. crassicarpa* in Riau, Indonesia. This is the same species that has previously been treated in the aggregate species *E. fornicatus* (Smith et al. 2019), and that is believed to be native to the area. The common occurrence of this beetle over a relatively wide distribution suggests that they have migrated from the natural forests into the *A. crassicarpa* plantations.

Fusarium rekanum could be distinguished as a novel lineage, separate from the 18 other previously identified *Fusarium* spp. (AFC species) associated with *Euwallacea* spp. (Carrillo et al. 2019) based on multilocus phylogenetic inference. The invasive haplotype (H8) of *E. perbrevis* (TSHBa), has recently

A. crassicarpa inoculated with the control. **b** Red lesions on *A. crassicarpa* inoculated with CMW 52862 (Treatment 1)

been shown to vector five members (AF-1, AF-13, AF-14, AF-17, AF-18) of the AFC (Carrillo et al. 2019). Thus far, only F. ambrosium (AF-1) has been formally described (Gadd and Loos 1947; Kasson et al. 2013), while the remaining four taxa (AF-13, 14, 17, 18), originating from Taiwan, have yet to be named (Carrillo et al. 2019). None of these AFC members were isolated from E. perbrevis mycangia or corresponding brood galleries such as those analysed in the present study. Our phylogenetic analysis suggests that F. rekanum is relatively distantly related to these other AFC members (Fig. 3). Because F. rekanum is the only species thus far described from Indonesia, this could reflect the geographic origins, plant hosts, and different haplotypes of the Euwallacea beetles from which the fungi were isolated.

The two isolates of *F. rekanum* tested for pathogenicity in this study resulted in lesions, but these were relatively small, and similar to those produced in the control inoculated *A. crassicarpa*. This implies that the fungus has a low level of aggressiveness, although this was a preliminary study including only a small number of trees. Future studies including a greater number of isolates would be



Fig. 6 Statistical variance and mean of log transformed lesion lengths (cm) on inoculated *A. crassicarpa*, represented in a boxplot. Based on the ad hoc Tukey's bootstrap test, Treatment 1 (CMW 52862) is not significantly different from the control as

required to better understand whether the fungus might play a role in tree decline. Therefore, it is possible that this species is purely involved in providing nutrition for its beetle symbiont (Beaver 1989; Farrell et al. 2001).

Currently, the damage observed on *A. crassicarpa* appears to be the combination of the mechanical damage caused by mass attack of beetles, and the repeated inoculation of the weakly pathogenic

denoted by (A). Treatment 2 (CMW 51764) is the only treatment that is significantly different, both from the control and from treatment 2 (B)

Fusarium sp. The contribution, if any, of the other fungi isolated in this study (Supplementary Table 1), in the observed tree decline would also need to be further investigated. This would especially be true for the *Graphium* sp. and *Paracremonium* sp. as they have previously been shown to play an important role in the development and establishment of their beetle hosts (Lynch et al. 2016).

Mass accumulation of a variety of ambrosia beetles in a host can occur over a period of months, with multiple species coexisting in the same habitat (Carrillo et al. 2014). In the present study, five different beetle species were found in moderately infested tree hosts. However, as South East Asia is believed to be the native range of many species of the Xyleborini (Hulcr et al. 2015), it is possible that many more species of ambrosia beetle and their associated fungi could infest A. crassicarpa. This overlap in gallery occupation by different ambrosia beetle species, may give rise to lateral transmission of the associated fungi between different beetle species (Ploetz et al. 2017). How specific these beetle and fungal associations are, and whether F. rekanum is obligately required for the survival and development of E. perbrevis, remains to be determined.

The extensive native range of species in the *E*. *fornicatus* species complex and the results of phylogenetic analyses presented here, and in previous studies on these insects, suggests that there is substantial biological variation among native populations of *E. perbrevis* and their *Fusarium* spp. associates (Gomez et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019). Consistent with a recent study by Carrillo et al. (2019), we hypothesise that a promiscuous relationship exists between members of the *E. fornicatus* complex and *Fusarium* spp., in areas where these organisms are native. This is in contrast to the strict symbiosis observed in non-native areas (O'Donnell et al. 2015; Stouthamer et al. 2017).

Acknowledgements This study was initiated through the bilateral agreement between the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI) and the April Group, RGE, Indonesia. We acknowledge members of Tree Protection and Cooperation Programme (TPCP) and the National Research Foundation (NRF, SFH170527234109), South Africa for financial support. We thank Darryl Herron, Arista Fourie, Joey Hulbert, Wilma Nel and members of the April Group, RGE, Indonesia, particularly Pantun David Mangatas Lumban Gaol and Hengki Marantika, for their technical assistance. Two anonymous reviewers provided valuable input that enabled us to improve the original manuscript, for which we are grateful.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Aoki T, O'Donnell K, Scandiani MM (2005) Sudden death syndrome of soybean in South America is caused by four species of *Fusarium: Fusarium brasiliense* sp. nov., *F.* cuneirostrum sp. nov., *F. tucumaniae*, and *F. virguliforme*. Mycoscience 46:162–183. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10267-005-0235-y
- Aoki T, Kasson M, Berger MC et al (2018) Fusarium oligoseptatum sp. nov., a mycosymbiont of the ambrosia beetle Euwallacea validus in the Eastern U.S. and typification of F. ambrosium. Fungal Syst Evol 1:13–22. https:// doi.org/10.3114/fuse.2018.01.03
- Aoki T, Smith JA, Kasson MT et al (2019) Three novel Ambrosia Fusarium Clade species producing clavate macroconidia known (F. floridanum and F. obliquiseptatum) or predicted (F. tuaranense) to be farmed by Euwallacea spp. (Coleoptera: Scolytinae) on woody hosts. Mycologia 11:1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514. 2019.1647074
- Batra LR (1963) Ecology of ambrosia fungi and their dissemination by beetles. Kansas Acad Sci 66:213–236. https:// www.jstor.org/stable/3626562
- Beaver RA (1989) Insect-Fungus relationships in the bark and ambrosia beetles. Beetle-Fungus Assoc. https://doi.org/10. 1016/b978-0-12-751800-8.50011-2
- Boland JM, Woodward DL (2019) Impacts of the invasive shot hole borer (*Euwallacea kuroshio*) are linked to sewage pollution in southern California: the enriched tree hypothesis. PeerJ 7:1–22. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj. 6812
- Carrillo D, Duncan RE, Ploetz JN et al (2014) Lateral transfer of a phytopathogenic symbiont among native and exotic ambrosia beetles. Plant Pathol 63:54–62. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/ppa.12073
- Carrillo JD, Rugman-Jones PF, Husein D et al (2019) Members of the *Euwallacea fornicatus* species complex exhibit promiscuous mutualism with ambrosia fungi in Taiwan. Fungal Genet Biol 133:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb. 2019.103269
- Cognato AI, Hoebeke ER, Kajimura H, Smith SM (2015) History of the exotic ambrosia beetles *Euwallacea interjectus* and *Euwallacea validus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Xyleborini) in the United States. J Econ Entomol 108:1129–1135. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tov073
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2015) jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and high-performance computing. Eur PMC Funders Gr 9:6–9. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nmeth.2109.jmodeltest
- de Mendiburu F (2019) Agricolae: statistical procedures for agricultural research version 1.2-8. http://tarwi.lamolina. edu.pe/~fmendiburu)
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Eskalen A, Stouthamer R, Lynch SC et al (2013) Host range of Fusarium dieback and its ambrosia beetle (Coleoptera: Scolytinae) vector in southern California. Plant Dis 97:938–951. https://doi.org/10.1094/pdis-11-12-1026-re

- Farrell BD, Sequeira AS, Meara BCO et al (2001) The evolution of agriculture in beetles (Curculionidae: Scolytinae and Platypodinae). J Evol 55:2011–2027. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb01318.x
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W et al (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol 3:294–299
- Freeman S, Sharon M, Maymon M et al (2013) Fusarium euwallaceae sp. nov.—a symbiotic fungus of Euwallacea sp., an invasive ambrosia beetle in Israel and California. Mycologia 105:1595–1606. https://doi.org/10.3852/13-066
- Gadd CH, Loos CA (1947) The ambrosia fungus of *Xyleborus* fornicatus Eich. Trans Br Mycol Soc 31:13–19. https://doi. org/10.1016/s0007-1536(47)80003-8
- Gomez DF, Skelton J, Steininger MS et al (2018) Species delineation within the *Euwallacea fornicatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) complex revealed by morphometric and phylogenetic analyses. Insect Syst Divers 2:1–11. https:// doi.org/10.1093/isd/ixy018
- Guindon S, Dufayard J, Lefort V (2010) New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59(3):307–321
- Harrington TC, McNew D, Mayers C et al (2014) Ambrosiella roeperi sp. nov. is the mycangial symbiont of the granulate ambrosia beetle, *Xylosandrus crassiusculus*. Mycologia 106:835–845. https://doi.org/10.3852/13-354
- Hughes MA, Riggins JJ, Koch FH et al (2017) No rest for the laurels: symbiotic invaders cause unprecedented damage to southern USA forests. Biol Invasions 19:2143–2157. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-017-1427-z
- Hulcr J, Dunn RR (2011) The sudden emergence of pathogenicity in insect-fungus symbioses threatens naive forest ecosystems. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 278:2866–2873. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.1130
- Hulcr J, Atkinson TH, Cognato AI et al (2015) Morphology, taxonomy, and phylogenetics of bark beetles. In: Bark beetles: biology and ecology of native and invasive species. Elsevier Inc., pp 41–84
- Hulcr J, Black A, Prior K et al (2017) Studies of ambrosia beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in their native ranges help predict invasion impact. Florida Entomol 100:257–261. https://doi.org/10.1653/024.100.0219
- Jacobs K, Bergdahl DR, Wingfield MJ et al (2004) *Lep-tographium wingfieldii* introduced into North America and found associated with exotic *Tomicus piniperda* and native bark beetles. Mycol Res 108:411–418. https://doi.org/10. 1017/s0953756204009748
- Jordal BH, Sequeira AS, Cognato AI (2011) The age and phylogeny of wood boring weevils and the origin of subsociality. Mol Phylogenet Evol 59:708–724. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ympev.2011.03.016
- Kajimura H, Hijii N (1992) Dynamics of the fungal symbionts in the gallery system and the mycangia of the ambrosia beetle, *Xylosandrus mutilatus* (Blandford) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in relation to its life history. Ecol Res 7:107–117. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02348489
- Kasson MT, O'Donnell K, Rooney AP et al (2013) An inordinate fondness for *Fusarium*: Phylogenetic diversity of

fusaria cultivated by ambrosia beetles in the genus *Euwallacea* on avocado and other plant hosts. Fungal Genet Biol 56:147–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb. 2013.04.004 Girkendall LR Biedermann PHW Jordal BH (2015) Evolution

- Kirkendall LR, Biedermann PHW, Jordal BH (2015) Evolution and diversity of bark and ambrosia beetles. In: Bark beetles: biology and ecology of native and invasive species. Elsevier Inc., pp 85–156
- Kück P, Longo GC (2014) FASconCAT-G: extensive functions for multiple sequence alignment preparations concerning phylogenetic studies. Front Zool 11:1–8
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33:1870–1874. https://doi.org/10. 1093/molbev/msw054
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ (1997) Identification of clinically important ascomycetous yeasts based on nucleotide divergence in the 5' end of the large-subunit (26S) ribosomal DNA gene. J Clin Microbiol 35:1216–1223
- Leslie JF, Summerell BA (2006) The Fusarium laboratory manual
- Lynch SC, Twizeyimana M, Mayorquin JS et al (2016) Identification, pathogenicity and abundance of *Paracremonium pembeum* sp. nov. and *Graphium euwallaceae* sp. nov. two newly discovered mycangial associates of the polyphagous shot hole borer (*Euwallacea* sp.) in California. Mycologia 108:313–329. https://doi.org/10.3852/15-063
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES science gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. 2010 Gatew Comput Environ Work GCE 2010. https://doi. org/10.1109/gce.2010.5676129
- Mueller UG, Gerardo NM, Aanen DK et al (2005) The evolution of agriculture in insects. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 36:563–595. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36. 102003.152626
- Na F, Carrillo JD, Mayorquin JS et al (2018) Two novel fungal symbionts *Fusarium kuroshium* sp. nov. and *Graphium kuroshium* sp. nov. of Kuroshio shot hole borer (*Euwallacea* sp. nr. *fornicatus*) cause Fusarium Dieback on woody host species in California. Plant Dis 102:1154–1164. https://doi.org/10.1094/pdis-07-17-1042-re
- O'Donnell K, Cigelnik E (1997) Two divergent intragenomic rDNA ITS2 types within a monophyletic lineage of the fungus *Fusarium* are nonorthologous. Mol Phylogenet Evol 7:103–116. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1996.0376
- O'Donnell K, Kistler HC, Cigelnik E, Ploetz RC (1998) Multiple evolutionary origins of the fungus causing Panama disease of banana: concordant evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial gene genealogies. Proc Natl Acad Sci 95:2044–2049. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.5.2044
- O'Donnell K, Sarver BAJ, Brandt M et al (2007) Phylogenetic diversity and microsphere array-based genotyping of human pathogenic *fusaria*, including isolates from the multistate contact lens-associated U.S. keratitis outbreaks of 2005 and 2006. J Clin Microbiol 45:2235–2248. https:// doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00533-07
- O'Donnell K, Sutton DA, Rinaldi MG et al (2010) Internetaccessible DNA sequence database for identifying fusaria from human and animal infections. J Clin Microbiol 48:3708–3718. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00989-10

- O'Donnell K, Sink S, Libeskind-Hadas R et al (2015) Discordant phylogenies suggest repeated host shifts in the *Fusarium-Euwallacea* ambrosia beetle mutualism. Fungal Genet Biol 82:277–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb. 2014.10.014
- O'Donnell K, Libeskind-Hadas R, Hulcr J et al (2016) Invasive Asian *Fusarium – Euwallacea* ambrosia beetle mutualists pose a serious threat to forests, urban landscapes and the avocado industry. Phytoparasitica 44:435–442. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12600-016-0543-0
- Ploetz RC, Konkol JL, Narvaez T et al (2017) Presence and prevalence of *Raffaelea lauricola*, cause of laurel wilt, in different species of ambrosia beetle in Florida, USA. J Econ Entomol 110:347–354. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/ tow292
- Ranger CM, Schultz PB, Frank SD et al (2015) Non-native ambrosia beetles as opportunistic exploiters of living but weakened trees. PLoS ONE 10:1–21. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0131496
- Roy K, Ewing CP, Hughes MA et al (2018) Presence and viability of *Ceratocystis lukuohia* in ambrosia beetle frass from Rapid 'Ōhi'a death-affected *Metrosideros polymorpha* trees on Hawai'i Island. For Pathol. https://doi.org/10. 1111/efp.12476
- Sandoval-Denis M, Lombard L, Crous PW (2019) Back to the roots: a reappraisal of *Neocosmospora*. Persoonia - Mol Phylogeny Evol Fungi 43(1):90–185. https://doi.org/10. 3767/persoonia.2019.43.04

- Skelton J, Jusino MA, Carlson PM et al (2019) Relationships among wood-boring beetles, fungi, and the decomposition of forest biomass. Mol Ecol 28:4971–4986. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/mec.15263
- Smith SM, Gomez DF, Beaver RA et al (2019) Reassessment of the species in the *Euwallacea Fornicatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) complex after the rediscovery of the "lost" type specimen. Insects 10:1–11. https://doi. org/10.3390/insects10090261
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu033
- Stouthamer R, Rugman-Jones P, Thu PQ et al (2017) Tracing the origin of a cryptic invader: phylogeography of the *Euwallacea fornicatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) species complex. Agric For Entomol 19:366–375. https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12215
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. PCR Protoc 315–322. https://doi.org/10. 1016/b978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1
- Wickham H (2017) Welcome to the tidyverse. J Open Source Softw 4:43. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.