Simple Sequence Repeat Markers Distinguish among Morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea*

TREENA BURGESS, 1,2* MICHAEL J. WINGFIELD, 1 and BRENDA W. WINGFIELD 2

Forestry and Agriculture Biotechnology Institute¹ and Department of Genetics,² University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, Republic of South Africa

Received 17 May 2000/Accepted 19 October 2000

Sphaeropsis sapinea is a fungal endophyte of Pinus spp. that can cause disease following predisposition of trees by biotic or abiotic stresses. Four morphotypes of S. sapinea have been described from within the natural range of the fungus, while only one morphotype has been identified on exotic pines in the Southern Hemisphere. The aim of this study was to develop robust polymorphic markers that could be used in both taxonomic and population studies. Inter-short-sequence-repeat primers containing microsatellite sequences and degenerate anchors at the 5' end were used to target microsatellite-rich areas in an S. sapinea isolate. PCR amplification using an annealing temperature of 49°C resulted in profiles containing 5 to 10 bands. These bands were cloned and sequenced, and new short-sequence-repeat (SSR) primer pairs were designed that flanked microsatellite-rich regions. Eleven polymorphic SSR markers were tested on 40 isolates of S. sapinea representing different morphotypes as well as on 2 isolates of the closely related species Botryosphaeria obtusa. The putative I morphotype was found to be identical to B. obtusa. Otherwise, the markers clearly distinguished the remaining three morphotype. The B morphotype was the most genetically diverse, and the isolates could be further divided based on their geographic origins. Sequencing of different alleles from each locus showed that the most polymorphic markers had mutations within a microsatellite sequence.

Sphaeropsis sapinea is a fungal endophyte of Pinus spp. that is associated with symptomless infections and that was introduced into the Southern Hemisphere along with its hosts. Predisposition due to a variety of biotic and abiotic stress factors can result in this normally benign fungus causing substantial deaths in exotic pine plantations (12, 29). In South Africa, for example, significant economic losses in pine plantations occur due to shoot and crown dieback after hail (29, 35). S. sapinea is thought to reproduce solely by asexual mitospores, as no known sexual stage has ever been found in this well-studied fungus. Phylogenetic studies based on internal transcribed spacer sequence data group this fungus with species of Botryosphaeria that have Sphaeropsis anamorphs, most closely related to Botryosphaeria obtusa (11).

In the mid 1980s, two morphotypes (A and B) of *S. sapinea* from the United States were described, based on spore morphology and culture characteristics (19). This division was confirmed using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers (27). Two recent studies have provided evidence for a third and possibly a fourth morphotype. De Wet et al. (5) used the RAPD markers and morphological characters and showed the existence of a C morphotype of *S. sapinea* among isolates from Indonesia that had spores larger than those of the A morphotype. Likewise, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) fingerprinting of ribosomal DNA (DNA) coupled with morphological characters led Hausner et al. (9) to propose an I morphotype among Canadian isolates that had spores intermediate in size between those of the A and B

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: FABI, 74 Lunnon St., University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, Republic of South Africa. Phone: 27 12 420 3858. Fax: 27 12 420 3960. E-mail: treena.burgess@fabi.up .ac.za. morphotypes. RAPD analysis of New Zealand isolates of *S. sapinea* has also indicated high genomic variability and possibly the existence of more than just the A and B morphotypes (S. J. Kay, R. L. Farrell, D. Hofstra, T. Harrington, S. Duncan, A. Ah Chee, E. Hadar, Y. Hadav, and R. Blanchette, APPS, 12th Biennial Conf. Asia-Pacific Plant Pathol. New Millennium, p. 348).

RAPD-PCR uses short primers (10 bp) and low annealing temperatures to produce differential banding patterns between individuals, usually due to mutations at the primer binding sites. This results in dominant markers (presence or absence of a band) and occasionally codominant length polymorphism markers (23). With diploid organisms, dominant markers can be a problem because homozygous and heterozygous alleles cannot be distinguished, which results in biased gene diversity estimates (10). Many fungi are haploid, and distinguishing homozygous and heterozygous alleles is not necessary; however, some isolates will have null alleles, and this makes analysis difficult. In addition, the low annealing temperatures used for RAPD-PCR often cause problems with repeatability and reproducibility in other laboratories (2).

Codominant markers are powerful tools for genetic analysis of populations. RFLP analysis, which involves cutting genomic DNA with restriction enzymes to produce a complex DNA profile, does produce codominant markers, but it requires large quantities of DNA (23). RFLP analysis following the amplification of a known region of genomic DNA, such as the IGS region of stet rDNA (9), requires less DNA than RFLP analysis of complete genomic DNA (15). However, as only a few bands are produced, this technique can be used to identify isolates but is not suitable for population studies.

Techniques such as sequence characterized amplified regions PCR and simple sequence repeat (SSR), or microsatel-

TABLE 1. Isolates used in this study

Species	Isolate no.	Other code	Morphotype	Origin	Collector	SSR profile
S. sapinea	CMW 190 ^c	124 ^a	А	USA	M. A. Palmer	11215322765
	CMW 5974	94-100 ^e	А	USA	G. Stanosz	11217312665
	CMW 5975	94-29 ^e	А	USA	G. Stanosz	11215322562
	CMW 4332 ^c	95-69 ^e	А	USA	G. Stanosz	11215322962
	CMW 5976	94-111 ^e	А	USA	G. Stanosz	11215322664
	CMW 5977		А	Australia	T. Burgess	11215322572
	CMW 5978		А	Australia	T. Burgess	11214322562
	CMW 5979		А	Australia	T. Burgess	11214322862
	CMW 5980		А	South Africa	H. Smith	11214322872
	CMW 5981		А	New Zealand	P. TenVelde	11214322862
	CMW 4899 ^c		А	Mexico	M. J. Wingfield	11216322862
	CMW 5693	SSM 910875 ^d	А	Canada	J. Reid	11217413565
	CMW 5695	SSM 900297 ^d	А	Canada	J. Reid	11215323762
	CMW 189 ^c	$124^{a,b}$	В	USA	M. A. Palmer	23323274213
	CMW 4334 ^c	474^{b}	В	USA	G. Stanosz	13223274213
	CMW 4333 ^c	215 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	В	USA	G. Stanosz	23325275313
	CMW 5982		В	USA	M. J. Wingfield	43327269112
	CMW 5983		В	USA	M. J. Wingfield	43326268117
	CMW 5984		В	USA	M. J. Wingfield	33323267116
	CMW 5985		В	USA	M. J. Wingfield	13325267116
	CMW 4896 ^c		В	Mexico	M. J. Wingfield	23318283318
	CMW 4900 ^c		В	Mexico	M. J. Wingfield	53315283317
	CMW 4898 ^c		В	Mexico	M. J. Wingfield	13317286313
	CMW 4897 ^c	SSM 910843 ^d	В	Mexico	M. J. Wingfield	43315283315
	CMW 5694	SSM 910843 ^d	В	Canada	J. Reid	23224276213
	CMW 5698	UM 955^d	В	Canada	J. Reid	23224274216
	CMW 5699	UM 958^d	В	Canada	J. Reid	23224276213
	CMW 5986		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332431
	CMW 5987		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332431
	CMW 5988		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332451
	CMW 5989		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332451
	CMW 5990		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332431
	CMW 4876 ^c		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332451
	CMW 4877 ^c		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	41212332441
	CMW 4878 ^c		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11312332451
	CMW 4881 ^c		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332451
	CMW 4883 ^c		Ċ	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332451
	CMW 4886 ^c		С	Indonesia	M. J. Wingfield	11212332451
	CMW 5696	SSM 920729 ^d	I	Canada	J. Reid	22311241624
	CMW 5697	SSM 810704 ^d	Ι	Canada	J. Reid	22311241724
B. obtusa	CMW 5991			South Africa	W. A. Smit	22131151724
	CMW 5992			South Africa	W. A. Smit	22131151724

^a Palmer et al. (19).

^b Smith and Stanosz (27).

^c de Wet et al. (5).

^d Hausner et al. (9)

^e Provided by G. Stanosz.

lite, PCR, where known DNA sequences are amplified, provide codominant Mendelian markers. These are much more powerful than dominant markers and can be used to determine population genetic structure, kinship, reproductive mode, and genetic isolation (21, 33). SSR markers are usually found by probing partial or enriched genomic libraries with di- or trinucleotide repeats (18). However, polymorphic markers, often rich in microsatellite repeats, have been developed by sequencing fragments amplified by RAPD-PCR (3, 4, 6) and inter-SSR (ISSR) PCR (2, 6, 34). During the last decade, SSR markers have been extensively used in population studies of many plants and animals (31), although to date there have been few studies of fungi (16). Fungal studies have generally used only a few markers, predominantly for genotyping (7, 8, 14, 17). Recently, however, Epichloë endophytes have been identified in planta using 11 polymorphic microsatellite markers (16).

The aim of this study was to develop polymorphic SSR markers for the identification of different *S. sapinea* morphotypes which could also ultimately be used in population studies. The robustness of the markers was tested on the previously described morphotypes. Polymorphic alleles at each locus were sequenced to establish the specific base changes causing the length polymorphisms and also to determine whether alleles were identical in length through mutation or by descent.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal cultures. Forty single conidial isolates of *S. sapinea* were used in this study: 13 of the A morphotype, 14 of the B morphotype, 11 of the C morphotype and 2 of the I morphotype (4, 9, 19, 27) (Table 1). A morphotype isolates were from the United States, Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa. B morphotype isolates were from the United States and Mexico. Isolates from the United States included CMW 190 and 189, first described as representative of the A and B morphotypes, respectively, by Palmer et al. (19). Many other isolates had been

ADAPTER	5'	CTA	ATA	CGA	CTC	ACT	ATA	GGG	CTC	GAG	CGG	CCG	ccc	GGG	CAG	\mathbf{GT}
													H ₂ N-	ccc	GTC	CA
40.4																

FIG. 1. Alignment of adapter and primer sequences used in genome walking as given by Siebert et al. (26).

characterized in previous studies (Table 1) (4, 9, 19, 27). C morphotype isolates were collected from four plantations within 20 km of each other in Indonesia (4). I morphotype isolates were from Canada (9). Two isolates of a closely related species, *B. obtusa*, were included for comparison. The isolates were maintained on malt extract agar and are all stored in the culture collection at the Forestry and Agriculture Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria.

DNA extraction. A small plug (4 mm^2) of actively growing mycelium from the edge of 7-day-old cultures was transferred to Eppendorf tubes containing 0.5 ml of malt extract broth. The tubes were inverted and incubated at 25°C for 3 days. The tubes were then centrifuged, the broth was removed, and the mycelium was freeze-dried and stored at -20°C until it was required. The mycelium (approximately 10 mg) was ground to a fine powder with a pestle in the same Eppendorf tube, using liquid nitrogen to keep the mycelium frozen, and DNA was extracted as previously described (22). The DNA concentration was estimated by comparing the intensity of ethidium bromide fluorescence of the DNA sample to a known concentration of lambda DNA marker on agarose gels using a UV transilluminator imaging system (UVP, Cambridge, United Kingdom).

Development of SSR markers. ISSR-PCR of S. sapinea isolate CMW 5977 (belonging to the A morphotype) was conducted with seven primers, 5'DDB (CCA)₅, 5'DHB(CGA)₅, 5'YHY(GT)₅G, 5'HVH(GTG)₅, 5'NDB(CA)₇C, 5'NDV(CT)₈, and 5'HBDB(GACA)₄, as previously described (34) except that an annealing temperature of 49°C was used for all of the primers. The amplification products from each ISSR primer were purified with the High Pure PCR product purification kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The purified products were ligated overnight at 10°C into the pGEM-T vector using the pGEM-T Easy Vector System (Promega, Madison, Wis.). The ligation products were transformed into competent Escherichia coli JM109 (Promega) and screened on Luria-Bertani medium containing 80 µg of X-Gal (5-bromo-4chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside) ml⁻¹, 0.5 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-Dthiogalactopyranoside), and 100 µg of ampicillin ml⁻¹. Positive clones were grown overnight in Luria-Bertani medium containing 100 µg of ampicillin ml⁻¹, and the plasmids were recovered by alkaline lysis (25). Plasmid DNA was digested with EcoRI to release the insert and determine its size. Inserts were sequenced with the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems) using the T7 and Sp6 universal primers. The products were separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) on an ABI Prism 377 DNA sequencer (Perkin-Elmer Corp.). Electropherograms were analyzed using Sequence Navigator software (Perkin-Elmer Corp.).

Genome walking. For some sequences, the microsatellite region of interest was at the beginning or end of the insert in the region recognized by the ISSR primer. In order to obtain the full repeat sequence, genome walking was performed using a modification of the method previously described (26). Genomic DNA (1.2 µg) of isolate CMW 5977 was digested in separate tubes with 10 U of one of three blunt-ended restriction enzymes (HaeIII, EcoRV, and ScaI). The cut DNA was extracted as described above, and the adapter DNA (Fig. 1) was then ligated to each restriction digest, creating three libraries (26). Each library was used as a template in primary PCRs, using a gene-specific primer from the sequence of interest and the first adapter-specific primer, AP1 (Fig. 1). The PCR mixture contained 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 50 µM (each) deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 300 nM (each) primer, 2 ng of DNA, 5 U of Taq DNA polymerase, and water to a final volume of 50 µl. The reactions were carried out in an Eppendorf (Hamburg, Germany) thermocycler programmed for an initial denaturization of 1 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C and 6 min at 68°C, and a final extension of 15 min at 68°C. The lower strand of the adapter has an amine group that blocks polymerase extension, preventing the generation of the primer binding site, unless a defined distal gene-specific primer extends a DNA strand opposite the upper strand of the adapter.

A secondary PCR was conducted with 1 μ l of a hundredfold dilution of the primary PCR product using a nested gene-specific primer and the second adapter-specific primer, AP2 (Fig. 1). The reaction mixture composition was the same, as were the cycle parameters, except that 20 cycles were performed. AP2 is shorter than the adapter. If any individual strands are generated that contain double-stranded adapter sequences at both ends, then the ends of these strands form a panhandle structure following the denaturization step due to the presence

of inverted repeats. This structure is stable and will not be amplified. Thus, strands that have the adapter at one end and the specific primer at the other are favored.

The amplification products were separated on a 1% agarose gel. If no bands were produced after the secondary PCR, then the thermocycler program was changed for both the primary and secondary PCR for an initial denaturization of 1 min at 95°C, followed by cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C, and 6 min at 68°C, and a final extension of 15 min at 68°C. The rationale was that 68°C might have been too high for the gene-specific primer to bind, and thus, using a lower annealing temperature could produce improved binding and amplification of the desired region.

The resultant bands were excised from the gel and dissolved in 100 μ l of water, and 1 μ l was used as a template in a tertiary PCR using the same reaction mixture composition as before but changing the thermocycler program for an initial denaturization of 2 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 40 s at 60°C, 1 min at 72°C, and a final extension of 7 min at 72°C. The amplification product was then purified, sequenced, and aligned with the known sequence.

PCR amplification of SSR loci. Specific primers were designed to flank microsatellite-rich regions. Particular care was taken to identify primer pairs that would amplify different-size fragments. This allows multiplexing of more than one reaction per lane during analysis of the fragments. Twenty-two primer pairs were constructed to amplify microsatellite-rich regions or SSRs in *S. sapinea*. Primer pairs were designed with a melting temperature between 58 and 66°C (Table 2). SSR-PCR was conducted with a PCR mixture containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 100 μ M (each) deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 300 nM (each) primer, 2 ng of DNA template, 0.5 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase, and water to a final volume of 25 or 50 μ l. The reactions were carried out in either a HYBAID (Teddington, United Kingdom) or an Eppendorf thermocycler programmed for an initial denaturization of 2 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 40 s at the annealing temperature (Table 3), and 1 min at 72°C.

Polymorphisms were identified by separating the amplification products by nondenaturing PAGE (6% acrylamide in 50 mM Tris-borate-EDTA buffer; 7 h at 140 V) followed by silver staining (1). If a primer pair produced a single band that was polymorphic in different isolates, then one of the pair was labeled with a phosphoramidite fluorescent dye, TET or FAM (MWG, Ebersberg, Germany) (Table 2).

Separation of SSR-PCR products. Fluorescence-labeled SSR-PCR products (0.5 μ l containing approximately 1.5 ng of DNA from each amplification product) and 0.5 μ l of the internal standard GS-500 TAMRA (Perkin-Elmer Corp.) were added to 1.5 μ l of loading buffer. The mixture was heated to 95°C for 3 min. One microliter of this mixture was separated by PAGE (4.25% acrylamide) on an ABI Prism 377 DNA sequencer. The allele size was estimated by comparing the mobility of the SSR products to that of the internal size standard as determined by GeneScan 2.1 analysis software (Perkin-Elmer Corp.) in conjunction with Genotyper 2 (Perkin-Elmer Corp.). Repeatibility was confirmed by running the same reference samples (from *S. sapinea* isolate CMW 5977) on every gel.

Data analysis. Data from Genotyper were compiled in Excel files (Microsoft). For each isolate, a data matrix of characters was compiled by scoring the presence or absence of each allele at each locus. For simplicity, these data are presented as multistate characters (Table 1). Parsimony analysis was performed on the data set using PAUP* (30). The most parsimonious trees were obtained by using heuristic searches with random addition in 1,000 replicates, with the tree bisection-reconnection branch-swapping option on and the steepest-descent option off. Bootstrap consensus trees were constructed using the same conditions.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The sequences for each of the SSR loci have been deposited in the GenBank database with the accession numbers AF263294 to -304 for SS1 to SS11, respectively.

RESULTS

Evaluation of SSR markers. The ISSR primers each amplified 5 to 10 bands that ranged in size between 300 and 2,500 bp. A total of 42 cloned inserts were sequenced, 6 from each of the primers. Nineteen SSR primer pairs were designed to flank microsatellite-rich regions found within the sequence.

Genome walking was performed by using primers designed from four cloned inserts, and when completed, a further three SSR primer pairs were designed (TB35 and -36, TB41 and -42, TB43 and -44). Thus, from 42 clones, 22 primer pairs were designed. Repeat motifs in the core sequence ranged from 3 to

TABLE 2.	Core sec	juence am	plified by	y SSR	primer	pairs	designed	for S	. sapinea
----------	----------	-----------	------------	-------	--------	-------	----------	-------	-----------

SSR primer pair				See	quenc	e			Calculated $T_m (^{\circ}\mathrm{C})^b$	Expected fragment length (bp)	Core sequence ^c	Annealing temp (°C)	Band pattern
TB1 TB2-2	5' 5'	CAT CCA	GCA AGT	TCG GAT	ATC GAC	CTG CCT	TAG ATA	AGC GAG	60 60	324	Alternates between regions rich in G, C, and T and regions rich in G, C, and A (each about 20 bp)	58	Single band; polymorphic
TB3 TB4	5' 5'	CCT GAA	CAG TGA	TCC CAG	TCT AGG	AAC ACA	ATC TAG	ACC TCC	58 58	297	Sequence rich in T, TT, and TTT	58	Single band; monomorphic
TB5 TB6	5' 5'	TGT CGC	GGT TCA	GAG TTT	AGA GCT	CTA GGA	CTG ACT	GAC TGG	60 60	199	$(GA)_3(CT)_4$; sequence rich in A	58	Single band; polymorphic
TB7 TB8	5' 5'	GCC CAG	GAA TGT	TTG CGA	AAC TTG	GGC CTC	AGA GCT	GC CG	62 61	182	Sequence rich in G and A (>70%)	58	Single band; polymorphic
TB9 TB10	5' 5'	CGA CCT	CAC CCA	GAA TGT	CAA CAA	CCA CAG	CTG CGG	GC TG	60 60	182	Sequence rich in CCG and GGT	58	Single band; monomorphic
TB11 TB12	5' 5'	CTG GAC	ATA ATT	GTA CTC	GAC AAC	GGC GGT	GCT GAG	CG CC	61 60	174	$*GA*(GA)_{3}*(GA)_{2}*$	54–64	Multiple bands
TB13 TB14	5' 5'	GAG CGA	TCC CAA	TTC TTA	GTT AGC	CGG GTG	GAA GCG	C TG	60 60	291	*(CCCT) ₃ *(GCC) ₄	62	Single band; monomorphic
TB15 TB16	5' 5'	GAG CGG	GAC AGG	TCC TGG	GAC CTT	GCA CTC	GAG TTA	T AG	61 60	406	Regions rich in CT, CTT, and CCT	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB17 TB18	5' 5'	GCA GCT	ACC TGA	GCT TTA	TCT ATG	GGA ATG	ATT GTG	TC CG	57 55	205	$*(T)_{22}*$; regions rich in T	54-64	No amplification
TB19 TB20-2	5' 5'	CCT CTC	GAG ATT	CGA GGC	CTC TGC	CAA GAA	GCT ACG	TG TG	61 62	453	*(T) ₁₉ *; regions rich in C and T or G and A	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB21 TB22	5' 5'	CCA CTT	TCA TAC	GGA TTA	AGC CGT	CTT CAT	TGT GGT	GAC GCG	60 58	237	$*(G)^{5}*(T)_{7}*(T)_{9}A(T)_{5}*(TCTCCC)_{3}*$	58	Single band; polmorphic
TB23 TB24	5' 5'	GAC GAT	AGA CAG	CAT TCG	CTA GTC	GGC GAG	CCT ACG	GC AG	61 61	384	$\begin{array}{l} *(T)_6C(T)_4*(T)_8(GATTTT)_2*(TCC)_5*\\ (CGA)_3*;\ a\ 100\mbox{-bp}\\ T\mbox{-rich\ region\ }(>60\%) \end{array}$	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB25 TB26	5' 5'	GGT CAC	CTA TGG	AAT TGC	GCT TGC	GCT TTT	GGC CGT	C GCC	59 61	320	*(TGC) ₃ *(TACA) ₃ *(GAC) ₃ *(GGT) ₄ * (GCA) ₈ *	54–64	Multiple bands
TB27 TB28	5' 5'	GTA CCG	CGT CAC	ACG ATA	TAC AGA	CCC TGC	AGA CAG	CG GA	61 60	260	*(CG) ₃ *(A) ₆ *; sequence rich in GAA and TC	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB29 TB30	5' 5'	CAT CCA	TTC CCG	GCT CCA	GCC GAC	AAA ACC	CAC ATT	TCT AG	58 61	310	$(CGGG)_{3*}(TG)_{4*}(TA)_{3*}(TTTC)_{5*}(TC)_{5*}$	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB31 TB32	5' 5'	CCT CAG	AAG CGG	CAG ACC	CGA CAC	CGC TGA	CTT GAT	TC AC	61 61	310	Sequence rich in T	54–64	Multiple bands
TB33 TB34	5' 5'	CGG GAA	ACC ACA	CAC CCC	TGA GTG	GAT TGC	ACC GAG	AG TG	61 61	374	$(T)_8 G(T)_5 (CT)_4 $	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB35-2 TB36 ^a	5' 5'	CCA GCA	CGA TGG	ATA CAT	ACG CAG	CCC TGT	CCA CTG	CC GC	61 61	286	$(GA)_5*(GAAA)_5*(TA)_3*(CA)_4*$ (CCCG) ³ *	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB37 TB38	5' 5'	CAG GAC	CGG TTG	TTT TCT	CAT CCT	TGA ACC	AAT GAT	GCC TCC	58 60	252	$(T)_{15}(GC)_4(TG)_4(GA)_4$	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB39 TB40	5' 5'	GTG GAC	AAG TGG	GGT GAG	TCT GGG	GCC AGC	TGT ATA	GT TG	60 61	472	$\substack{*(TA)_5*(GAC)_3*(GAT)_5*(T)_{15}*\\(CAA)_3*(A)_9*}$	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB41 TB42 ^a	5' 5'	GCC CAG	AAC CGG	CCT CGA	AAT TTG	GCT CGG	TCC TAT	ATG GG	60 60	313	$(CA)_{2}(CA)_{2}(CA)_{12}CCCAA(CA)_{4}(CAG)_{3}(CCG)_{3}$	62	Single band; polymorphic
TB43 TB44 ^a	5' 5'	GTA GGA	ACA AGT	TTT ACT	CCC ACA	CAC TGG	GTC TCT	AGC TCG	60 58	174	*(GTT) ₂ AA(GTT) ₂ (G) ₇ *(GGGTA) ₃ *	58	Single band; polymorphic

^a Primer pairs designed after genome walking.

^b I_m , melting temperature.

c Parentheses indicate repeated motifs, and subscript numbers indicate the number of repeats. *, unspecified length of sequence.

22 uninterrupted repeats, with most inserts comprising a number of repeat motifs separated by imperfect repeats (Table 2). TB35 and -36 and TB29 and -30 were found to amplify overlapping regions of sequence, and the pair TB29 and -30 was

thereafter excluded (Table 2).

Of the 21 primer pairs (TB29 and -30 excluded), only one failed to produce bands over a range of annealing temperatures, three produced multiple bands, and three were mono-

				Allele(s)				
Locus	SSR primers	Annealing temp (°C)		S. sapinea morphotype			a sheer a	Mutational processes ^d
	4		A	В	С	I	b. <i>obiusa</i>	
SS1	TB1 and 2-2	58	326	326, 361, 432, 468, 508	326, 468	361	361	Transversion: $C \rightarrow A$ Transition: $G \rightarrow A_{0}$, $C \rightarrow T_{3}$
SS2	TB5 and 6	58	198	206	198	204	204	Indel: TGT, C, AC (CCCGGTCTCTGCTACATGAACGAGGACGGGGCTG) ₁₋₅ Transversion: C→A ₂ Transition: G→A, C→T
SS3	TB7 and 8	58	182	182, 184	182, 184	184	171	Indel: CATA, C, A, GT, AA, G Transversion: C→A Transition: G→A ₃
SS4	TB15 and 16	62	406	406, 409	406	406	Null	Indel: AAA, A₂, G₃ Transversion: G→C
SS5	TB19 and 20-2	62	452, 453, 454, 455	451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456	450	443	443	Indet: G_2 Transversion: $G \rightarrow T$, $T \rightarrow A$ Transversion: $G \rightarrow C$, T
SS6	TB21 and 22	58	237	224	237	224	220	Transition. $\Box \rightarrow 3_5, \Box \rightarrow 1_2$ Indef: A, TGTA, $\Box \rightarrow A, \Box \rightarrow C$ Transversion: $\Box \rightarrow A, \Box \rightarrow C$ Transition: $\Box \rightarrow A, \Box \rightarrow T_3$
SS7	TB23 and 24	62	384, 383	396, 404, 411, 415	387	389	394	Indel: CAC, C ₂ , G, TTATTTT, TCCCTC Transversion: C→A, G→C Transition: G→A ₆ , C→T ₆ ,
SS8	TB35-2 and 36	62	285	287, 293, 301, 305, 333, 337, 349	285	281	281	Indel: T_2 , CC, A ₂ , (T) ₀₋₄ , TCT, CTC, (TCT) ₀₋₇ Transversion: $C \rightarrow A$, $T \rightarrow A$ Transition: $G \rightarrow A_2$, $C \rightarrow T_2$
SS9	TB37 and 38	62	252, 253, 255, 256, 257	236, 237, 238	250	253, 255	255	Indel: AGA, TA, \overrightarrow{G}_2 , A, $(\overrightarrow{G}AAA)_{0-16}$ Transversion: $\overrightarrow{G} \rightarrow C$, $\overrightarrow{C} \rightarrow A$, $\overrightarrow{T} \rightarrow A$ Transition: $\overrightarrow{G} \rightarrow A$,, $\overrightarrow{C} \rightarrow T_3$
SS10	TB41 and 42	62	313, 315	288	308, 310, 312	306	306	Indel: T, A ₂ , G, (\tilde{T}) ₀₋₁₂ , TGG, TAG(TG) ₃ G Transversion: $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$, $T \rightarrow A$ Transition: $G \rightarrow A$, $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow T$,
SS11	TB43 and 44	58	174, 176, 177	174, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180	173	176	176	Indel: $(CA)_{0-9}CCCAA(CA)_{0-4}$ Transversion: $G \rightarrow C$, $T \rightarrow A_2$, $G \rightarrow T$, $C \rightarrow A$ Transition: $G \rightarrow A_2$, $C \rightarrow T_2$ Indel: $(C)_{0-5}$, T_2 , A_2 , CT, $(G)_{0-66}$, $(A)_{6}CCACC$, $TAGG(A)_{3}GA$
^a Pai	entheses surrour	nd repeated	motifs, with the subscript	indicating the number of repeats.	Subscripts after	motifs not i	in parenthe	eses indicate a mutation that occurs more than once in the sequence but not as a repeat.



FIG. 2. Unrooted most parsimonious tree generated from SSR polymorphic data showing bootstrap values for the major branches. The solid arrows indicate the strongly supported branches separating the A, B, and C morphotypes of *S. sapinea* from the putative I morphotype and the closely related *B. obtusa*. The open arrows indicate the moderately supported branches separating geographically isolated populations of the B morphotype.

morphic. The remaining 15 SSR primer pairs each amplified a single band that was polymorphic between isolates of *S. sapinea* (Table 2). The primer pairs amplified fragments at annealing temperatures of either 58 or 62° C and produced fragments ranging in size from 174 to 472 bp.

Identification of the putative I morphotype. Two isolates of the I morphotype were examined. Phylogenetic analysis grouped these isolates with *B. obtusa*, and their separation from the other *S. sapinea* morphotypes had strong bootstrap support (Fig. 2). At SS2, SS5, SS8, and SS10, alleles were shared by *B. obtusa* and the I isolates which were not present in the other morphotypes (Table 3). Both *B. obtusa* and the putative I isolates shared alleles with the B isolates at SS1 and with the A isolates at SS9 and SS11. I isolates also shared alleles with the B isolates at SS4. Interestingly, sequences of SS5, SS8, SS10, and SS11 were identical for the putative I isolates and *B. obtusa* (Fig. 3). Allele size differed between the putative I isolates and

B. obtusa at SS7 and SS9. However, in both cases this was due to a mutation in a microsatellite region, and all other substitutions and small indels were identical (Fig. 3).

Segregation of SSR alleles. C isolates were monomorphic at 8 of the 11 loci, producing a total of only 15 alleles across all loci (Tables 1 and 3). A isolates were monomorphic at 5 of the 11 loci but produced 23 alleles across all loci. B isolates were only monomorphic at three loci (SS2, SS6, and SS10) and were the most diverse, with 38 alleles across all loci (Table 3).

At three loci (SS1, SS3, and SS4), one allele was shared among *S. sapinea* morphotypes A, B, and C. At all these loci, A isolates were monomorphic, and at SS4, C isolates were also monomorphic. At SS1 and SS3, C isolates had a second allele that was also present in B isolates. Isolates of the A and C morphotypes shared alleles at three further loci (SS2, SS6, and SS8), with both morphotypes being monomorphic at these loci. Overall, A and C isolates shared alleles at six loci, and at four of these loci, both morphotypes were monomorphic (Table 3).

360 BURGESS ET AL.

(a)	SS5		
	CMW5991 CMW5697 (T)	**-C+G+**-G+*-T+T-**-G+C-*T-***A+**-T*T-**-C+A*-G+-C+-C+G+C+C+C+C+C+C+C++C++C++C++C++C++C++C++	
	CMW4881 (C)	*G-*-A*-G*C-*-C*-T*T-**C*C-*-A*G*T-*-*C-*T-*T-*G-*CTA*-*TTTTTTTTTTTTTT*	
	CMW5989 (C)	*G-*-A*-G*C-*-C*T*T-**-C*C-*-A*G*T-**C-*T-*T-*G-*CTA*-*TTTTTTTT* 450 bp	
	CMW189 (B)	*6 - *6 - *7 - *6 - *7 - *5 + 5 - 7 + -7 + -7 + -7 + 77 + -7 +	
	CMW4334 (B) CMW5978 (A)	*G-*G*L**C*****A*A*************************	
	CMW5979 (A)	$G_{-+} - G_{} - G_{} - G_{} - G_{} - G_{$	
	CMW5981 (A)	*G-*-A*-G*C-*-C*-*-G*-T*T-**-C*C-*-A*G*T-*-*C-*T-*T-*G-*CTA*-*TTTTTTTTTTT* 452 bp	
	CMW5977 (A)	*G-*-A*-G*C-*-C*-*-G*-T*T-**-C*C-*-A*G*T-*-*C-*T-*T-*G-*CTA*-*TTTTTTTTTTTTT* 453 bp	
	CMW5983 (B)	*G - *G - *T - *C - *T - * *A - * - T* - C*TGT*AC*C - *T - *G*T - * - *C - *T - *T A* *TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	
	CMW5982 (B)	G-*G-*T-*C-*T-*-*A-*A-T*-C*TGT*AC*C-*T-*G*T-*-*C-*T-*T-*-A**-*TTTTTTTTTTTTT* 455 bp	
(b)	SS 7		
(0)	CMW5974 (A)	*T**-G*A-*-*-T*A-*-A*-A*-A*-A**	383 bo
	CMW5977 (A)	*T**-G*A-*-*-T*A-*-A*-A*-A*-A*-C*-T*T-*-G*T-*-T*-T*T*-GA*-*GATTTT-**TTTT***(),*A*-T*-C*-G*T-*-A* 3	384 bp
	CMW4878 (C)	*T*-*-G*A-*-*T*A-*-A*-A*A*-C*-T*-C*-G*T-*-T*-T**-A*-*GATTTT-**TTTT*TCC*(),*A*-T*-C*-G*T-*-A* 3	387 bp
	CMW5696 (I)	$ \begin{array}{c} *T^* - * - (3^* - * + C + A_* + (2^* - (3^* + C^* - (3^* + C^* - (3^* + T^* - C^* + C(3^* + T^* * C(3A_* + * CTTCTT + + T^*TCC * () (* + - C^* + C^* - + - C^* + C^* + - (* - C^* + - + - (* - + - + + C^* + - + - C^* + - + - (* - + - + + C^* + - + + C^* + - + + + C^* + - + + + - + - + - + - + - + $	189 bp
	CMW5991	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	194 hn
	CMW5985 (B)	*-*CC*A-*-G*A*C-*A-*G-*C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-T**T*C-*T*GATTTT-**CTC*TTTT*TCCTCCC*()(+T*C-*A-*-G*-C*G-* 3	396 bp
	CMW4334 (B)	* -* CC*A-*-G*A*C-*-T*G-*GA*-*G-*C-*-C*-G*T-*-*C-*-T**TTTT*-GA*T*GATTTT*CT*T*TTTT*TCCTCCCCCC()	104 bp
	CMW4898 (B)	*-*CC*A-*-G*A*C-*A-*G-*G-*G-*A*G-*C-*-C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-T**T*-G-*T*GATTTT-**CTC*TTTT*TCCTCCTCC*(TCC),*T*C-*A-*-G*-C*G* 4	i11 bp
(c)	SS8		
	CMW5991	*(-+, T*-C*-X*-A**(), (), (),	
	CMW5697 (1) CMW5977 (b)	$\mathbf{x}_{C-1} = \mathbf{x}_{C-1} = x$	
	CMW5979 (A)	-4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4	
	CMW5978 (A)	*C-*A-*-C*-*C*-*A*T-**() ₄ () ₇ GAAA*-A*-C*-A*-T*-G*-A*G-* 285 bp	
	CMW4897 (B)	*-T*-T*-C*-X*-A*AGATAG*(),(),*-A*-C*-A*-T*-G*G-*-A* 287 bp	
	CMW189 (B)	$*C - \pi_{A} - *T - *G*C - * - A*AGA - G*()_{7}$,GAAAGAAAA*-A*-C*-A*-T*-G*-A*C-* 293 bp	
	CMW4898 (B)	$-C - A_{A}^{} - C^{} - A_{A}^{} A^{} A_{A}^{} A^{} (A^{})_{4}^{} (A^{} - A^{} A^{-$	
	CMW5985 (B)	*-T*-T*-C*-*-A*A*-A*AGAG*(), (GAAA), GAAAGAAAGAAAGAAAGAAA*-A*-C*-A*-T*-G*G-*-A* 333 bp	
	CMW5984 (B)	*-T*-T*-C*-*-A*A*-A*AGAG*() ₄ (GAAA) ₂ GAAAGAAAGAAAGAAAGAAAGAAAGAAA*-A*-C*-A*-T*-G*-A*-A* 333 bp	
	CMW5982 (B)	*-T*-T*-C*-*-A*A*-A*AGAG*(GAAA),(GAAA),GAAAGAAAGAAAGAAAGAAAGAAA*-A*-C*-A*-T*-G*-A*-A* 349 bp	
(d)	SS9		
	CMW5982 (B)	$*G_{-+} - *C_{+} - \cdots - *G_{-+} - *G_{-+} - *G_{++} - *G_{+} - *G_{+$	
	CMW5985 (B) CMW189 (B)	$^{*}G^{-} \cdots ^{*}C^{*} \cdots ^{*}G^{*} \cdots ^{*}G^{*}C^{-} C^{*} C^{*}C^{*} C^{*} C^$	
	CMW4876 (C)	*-C**C*TTTTTTT*-AT*-A*T-*C-*-G**-C*TA*-*-T*TAGTG*TGTGG*-A*-A*-G**-G**-G**-250 bp	
	CMW5977 (A)	*-C**C*TTTTTTTT*GAT*-A*T-*C-*-G*G-*-C*TA*-*-T**TAGTG*TGTGG*-A*-A*-G*	
	CMW5974 (A)	*-C**C*TTTTTTTTTTTTT*GAT*-A*T-*C-*-G*G-*-C*TATGTG*TGTGG*-A*-A*-G*-G**-G4*	
	CMW5697 (1) CMW5991	*-C*(AA*-*)TTT*****-*-*-*-*-********	
	CMW5979 (A)	*-C**C*TTTTTTTTTT-*GAT*-A*T-*C-*-G*G-*-C*TA*-*-T*TAGTG*TGTGG*A*-A*-G*G**-G*A*-* 256 bp	
	CMW5981 (A)	*-C**C*TTTTTTTTTT-*GAT*-A*T-*C-*-G*G-*-C*TA*-*-T**TAGTG*TGTG6*-A*-A*-G**-G*A*-* 256 bp	
	CMW4332 (A)	*-C**C*TTTTTTTTTTTT*GAT*-A*T-*C-*-G*G-*-C*TA*-*-T**TAGTG*TGTGG*-A*-A*-G**-G*A*-* 257 bp	
(a)	0122		
(\mathbf{c})	CMW4997 (B)		
	CMW5697 (I)	1 - (-1) - (-1	
	CMW5991	*-C*C-*-A*C*-T-*-A*-C*-C*CC-CACCCCCAACACACACG*-C*	
	CMW5989 (C)	*T-*C-*-A*-*C*G-*-C*T-*C-ACAC-ACACACACACACACACA*T-*-A*-A*-G*A-*A-*-C*-C*-C* 308 bp	
	CMW4876 (C)	$^{+}T_{-}^{+}C_{-}^{+}-A^{+}-C^{+}-C^{+}C_{-}^{+}C_{-}A^{C}C_{-}A^{C}A^{C}A^{C}A^{C}A^{-}C^{C}A^{C}A^{C}A^{A}+C^{+}-A^{+}-G^{+}A^{-}+A^{-}C^{+}-C^{+}-C^{+}-310$ bp	
	CMW5978 (A)	1 - 1	
	CMW5977 (A)	*T-*-T*-A*-*C*-G-*T-*-C*C-ACAC-ACACACACACACACCCAACACACACA	
(f)	SS11		
. /	CMW4876 (C)	**A*T-*C-****-C*G-*A-*T*G*T-*GG*T-*A-*-G*TA6GAAAGA**-C*-* 173 bp	
	CMW4878 (C)	**A*T-*C-***-C*G-*A-*T*G*T-*GG*T-*A-*-G*TAGGAAAGA**-C*-* 173 bp	
	CMW5977 (A)	**A*T-*C-**	
	CMW5978 (A)	$\pi A^{*}I^{-*}U^{-*}$	
	CMW4898 (B)	C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C =	
	CMW5697 (I)	**A*T-*C-*TAA**T-*-C*A-*-*G**G**C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-C*-C*7.6 bp	
	CMW5991	**A*T-*C-*TAA**T-*-C*A-*-*G**GG*C*-T*-G*TAGGAAAGA*CT*-C*-* 176 bp	
	CMW190 (A)	**A*1-*(-**-**-C*G-*A-*T*G*T-*G6GGGGG*1-*A-*-G*TAGGAAAGA**-C*-* 177 bp	
	CMW5982 (B)		
	CMW4896 (B)	*CCCCC+A+-G+-A+*AAAAAACCACC+T-+-C+-G+-*G+-A+*T-+-T+-G+*CT+T-+T+-*CB+*CT+T-+T+-*CB+*CT+T-+T+-*CB+*CT++T+-*CB+*CT+*CT+*CT+*CT+*CT+	

FIG. 3. Aligned nucleotide sequences indicating polymorphism among different isolates of *S. sapinea* at loci SS5 (a), SS7 (b), SS8 (c), SS9 (d), SS10 (e), and SS11 (f). *, unspecified length of homologous sequence; -, gaps that indicate variation between aligned sequences. The isolate code is given at the beginning, and the length of the fragment is shown at the end of each sequence. The morphotype of the isolate is given in parentheses after the code. The isolate without a morphotype, CMW5991, is *B. obtusa*.

Isolates of the B and C morphotypes also shared an allele at locus SS5 (Table 3). B isolates shared alleles with A isolates at SS11. B isolates shared alleles with other morphotypes at five loci, but they were polymorphic at all these loci, whereas isolates from the other morphotypes were monomorphic. Overall, B isolates displayed much more variability than A or C isolates. At three loci, SS7, SS9, and SS10, all morphotypes had unique alleles. These loci potentially could be used individually to distinguish the *S. sapinea* morphotypes.

Parsimony analysis of SSR markers. The data matrix comprised 65 characters, each character representing an individual allele at one of the 11 polymorphic SSR loci. Of the 65 characters, 55 were parsimony informative. Heuristic searches using parsimony resulted in 362 trees of 114 steps, one of which is shown in Fig. 2. Bootstrap analysis supported strong branches separating each of the morphotypes.

The A morphotype had 12 genotypes among 13 isolates, the B morphotype had 13 genotypes among 14 isolates, and the C

morphotype had 4 genotypes among 11 isolates (Fig. 2). Isolates representing the C morphotype were closely related. Isolates CMW 4878, 4881, 4883, 4886, 5987, 5988, and 5990, from four plantations in Indonesia, had identical SSR profiles. These clustered together with CMW 4877 with moderate bootstrap support (Table 1). Isolates CMW 5986 and 5989 were also identical.

A isolates were also closely related to each other, but less so than for the C isolates. Isolates CMW 5974 from the northcentral United States and CMW 5693 from Canada differed from other A isolates and clustered together with moderate bootstrap support. All other isolates clustered together, with weak bootstrap support for any further divisions within this group.

Isolates representing the B morphotype showed the most variation with the largest distances between subgroups (Fig. 2). The isolates separated into three groups, with moderate bootstrap support based on their origins: Mexico (isolates CMW 4896, 4897, 4898, and 4900), California (isolates CMW 5982, 5983, 5984, and 5985), and north-central United States and Canada (isolates CMW 189, 4333, 4334, 5694, 5698, and 5699) (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

Source of polymorphisms. For each locus, two or more alleles were sequenced. There were many transitions and transversions that altered the sequence but not the size of the fragment (Fig. 2). Polymorphisms at loci SS5 (Fig. 3a), SS7 (Fig. 3b), SS8 (Fig. 3c), SS9 (Fig. 3d), and SS10 (Fig. 3e) were due to a mutation within a repeat motif. Polymorphism in locus SS1 resulted from a 36-bp indel that had been inserted between one and five times (Table 3). Polymorphisms at the remaining loci were due to indels of various sizes (Table 3 and Fig. 3).

At several loci, isolates of the same morphotype with the same allele size were also sequenced. At loci SS1, SS5, SS8, and SS11, A isolates with the same allele size had the same sequence (Fig. 3a, c, and f). At loci SS5 and SS11, C isolates with the same allele size also had the same sequence (Fig. 3a and f). For the B morphotypes, isolates with the same allele size at loci SS6 and SS9 had different sequences (Fig. 3d), while at loci SS5 and SS11 they had the same sequence (Fig. 3a and f). From these examples, it appears that for the A morphotype, alleles of the same size have identical sequences, and for the B morphotype, alleles of the same size can have different sequences. This supports observations of high levels of diversity among isolates of the B morphotype (Fig. 2).

At loci SS1, SS3, SS4, SS5, and SS11, alleles from B isolates were the same size as those from either the A or C isolates. These were sequenced to determine whether the alleles were identical by descent or through mutation. Alleles of the same size from different morphotypes at loci SS1, SS3, and SS4 had the same sequence. At loci SS5 and SS11, alleles of the same size from different morphotypes had different sequences (Fig. 2a and f and Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In this study, ISSR-PCR was effectively used to produce SSR markers for *S. sapinea*. From 42 sequences amplified by ISSR, 22 primer pairs were designed, and of these, 15 produced polymorphic amplification products. This is a success rate of

35% compared with less than 10% for more traditional methods of obtaining polymorphic markers, such as screening genomic libraries with a microsatellite probe (2).

The SSR markers developed in this study clearly distinguished among the morphotypes of S. sapinea. Isolates previously designated as representing the A and B morphotypes using RAPD and morphological data were once again found within these groups using SSR-PCR (19, 27, 28). There were 16 common isolates for the current study and that of de Wet et al. (5), with the resultant classification of the morphotypes being the same for all but 2 isolates. These isolates, CMW 4886 and 4899, had been difficult to classify using RAPD (J. de Wet, personal communication). Isolate CMW 4899 was designated as belonging to the C morphotype by de Wet et al. (5), while SSR-PCR placed it firmly in the A morphotype group. CMW 4886 was designated as an A morphotype isolate by de Wet et al. (5), but using SSR-PCR it was shown to represent the C morphotype, along with all the other Indonesian isolates. The five isolates designated as belonging to the A and B morphotypes using RFLP (9) also fell into those groups using SSR-PCR. Overall, characterization of isolates by SSR-PCR gave the same results as those previously derived using the RAPD and RFLP techniques (4, 9, 27, 28).

The use of higher annealing temperatures and automated analysis resulted in highly reproducible SSR markers that are much more robust than the RAPD markers used previously (4, 27, 28). The SSR markers are also more useful than the RFLP profiles generated from rDNA (9). These RFLP profiles can be used to distinguish between morphotypes, but compared with polymorphic markers, they are of little use in population studies. Three of the SSR markers (SS7, SS9, and SS10) produced different-size alleles for each of the morphotypes and could be used for a simple diagnostic test to distinguish morphotypes of *S. sapinea*.

An interesting result of this study was that the two isolates described by Hausner et al. (9) as belonging to a putative I morphotype were found to be identical to *B. obtusa*. *B. obtusa* is closely related to *S. sapinea* and has a *Sphaeropsis* anamorph (11). *B. obtusa* is a cosmopolitan fungus that is commonly found in temperate areas on numerous woody hosts, including *Pinus* spp. (20). The two isolates used in this study were collected in Ontario, Canada, from *Picea glauca* and *Pinus banksiana* (9). Examination of SSR marker sequences for representative isolates of all morphotypes of *S. sapinea* and *B. obtusa* revealed that while some substitutions and small indels are unique to *B. obtusa*, many are identical to those found in either the A or B morphotype.

Parsimony analysis of SSR markers generated in this study strongly supported the divisions among the three *S. sapinea* morphotypes. The C morphotype, however, clustered closely with the A morphotype. This confirmed previous observations based on culture characteristics, spore morphology, and internal transcribed spacer sequence data (5). The isolates of the B morphotype were much more polymorphic than those of the A or C morphotype. This is particularly interesting, as the markers were developed using isolate CMW 5977, which is a representative of the A morphotype. As a general principle, markers are usually more diverse in the population for which they are designed.

The 14 isolates of the B morphotype separated into three

groups based on geographic location. At five loci, different alleles were fixed in these geographically isolated populations. Genetic isolation can lead to the loss of shared polymorphisms (32, 33). Thus, when all isolates are examined together, it would appear that the population consisted of a number of clones when in fact each genetically isolated population could be undergoing recombination. In order to ascertain the mode of reproduction, geographically isolated populations will need to be examined separately (32, 33).

Genomic regions containing microsatellites are evolving and mutating more rapidly than other areas due to slipped-strand mispairing during replication, with the slippage rate dependent upon the length of the repeat (13). Thus, the longer the repeat the more likely there is to be slippage. This is supported by our sequence data. We observed that the most polymorphic of the 11 loci examined were those where mutations occurred in a repeat motif (SS7, SS8, SS9, and SS10).

In this study, we have clearly demonstrated sequence differences between alleles of the same size. At loci SS5 and SS11, isolates of the A and B morphotypes of S. sapinea all shared an allele. Sequencing of these fragments showed that they are very different. Although microsatellite alleles are considered to be codominant markers, differences in alleles are measured based solely on size. There is the possibility of single point mutations within the flanking sequence that do not result in a change in the fragment length. It is also possible that different indels could result in fragments of the same size that have different sequences. Thus, the genotypic diversity in a population will always be underestimated using such markers. Additional information could be obtained from loci such as SS11, using single-strand conformation polymorphisms of same-size alleles to confirm their similarity in sequence as well as size (6, 24).

The SSR markers developed in this study can be used to distinguish morphotypes of S. sapinea. However, the markers are more powerful than a simple diagnostic tool. Interesting results will emerge from comparing populations of this asexual fungus from distinct geographic locations. Thus, future studies will focus on the population diversity and recombination within and between native and introduced populations of S. sapinea.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Oliver Preisig, Albe van der Merwe, Juanita de Wet, and Paulette Bloomer for advice and Paul TenVelde for collecting isolates of S. sapinea in New Zealand.

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the University of Pretoria, the National Research Foundation, members of the Tree Pathology Co-operative Programme, and THRIP funding from the Department of Trade and Industry, South Africa.

REFERENCES

- 1. Blum, H., H. Beier, and H. J. Gross. 1987. Improved silver staining of plant proteins, RNA and DNA in polyacrylamide gels. Electrophoresis 8:93-99.
- 2. Brady, J. L., N. S. Scott, and M. R. Thomas. 1996. DNA typing of hop (Humulus lupulus) through application of RAPD and microsatellite marker sequences converted to sequence tagged sites (STS). Euphytica 91:277-284.
- 3. Burt, A., D. A. Carter, T. J. White, and J. W. Taylor. 1994. DNA sequencing with arbitrary primer pairs. Mol. Ecol. 3:523-525.
- 4. Desmarais, E., I. Lanneluc, and J. Lagnel. 1998. Direct amplification of length polymorphisms (DALP) or how to get and characterize new genetic markers in many species. Nucleic Acids Res. 26:1458-1465.
- 5. de Wet, J., M. J. Wingfield, T. A. Coutinho, and W. D. Wingfield. 2000. Characterization of Sphaeropsis sapinea isolates from South Africa, Mexico and Indonesia. Plant Dis. 84:151-156.
- 6. Dusabenyagasani, M., N. Lecours, and R. C. Hamelin. 1998. Sequence-

tagged sites (STS) for molecular epidemiology of scleroderris canker of conifers. Theor. Appl. Genet. 97:789-796.

- 7. Geistlinger, J., K. Weising, W. J. Kaiser, and G. Kahl. 1997. Allelic variation at a hypervariable compound microsatellite locus in the ascomycete Ascochyta rabiei. Mol. Gen. Genet. 256:298-305.
- 8. Groppe, K., and T. Boller. 1997. PCR assay based on a microsatellitecontaining locus for detection and quantification of Epichloë endophytes in grass tissue. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:1543-1550.
- 9. Hausner, G., A. A. Hopkin, C. N. Davis, and J. Reid. 1999. Variation in culture and rDNA among isolates of Sphaeropsis sapinea from Ontario and Manitoba. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 21:256-264.
- 10. Isabel, N., J. Beaulieu, P. Thériault, and J. Bousquet. 1999. Direct evidence for biased gene diversity estimates from dominant random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) fingerprints. Mol. Ecol. 8:477-483.
- 11. Jacobs, K. A., and S. A. Rehner. 1998. Comparison of cultural and morphological characters and ITS sequences in anamorphs of Botryosphaeria and related taxa. Mycologia **90:**601–610. 12. Laughton, E. M. 1937. The incidence of fungal diseases of timber trees in
- South Africa. S. Afr. J. Sci. 33:337-382.
- 13. Levinson, G., and G. A. Gutman. 1987. Slipped-strand mispairing: a major mechanism for DNA sequence evolution. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:203-221.
- 14. Longato, S., and P. Bonfante. 1997. Molecular identification of mycorrhizal fungi by direct amplification of microsatellite regions. Mycol. Res. 101:425-432
- 15. Mahuku, G. S., T. Hsiang, and L. Yang. 1998. Genetic diversity of Microdochium nivale isolates from turfgrass. Mycol. Res. 102:559-567.
- 16. Moon, C. D., B. A. Tapper, and B. Scott. 1999. Identification of Epichloë endophytes in planta by microsatellite-based PCR fingerprinting assay with automated analysis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:1268-1279.
- 17. Neu, C., D. Kaemmer, G. Kahl, D. Fischer, and K. Weising. 1999. Polymorphic markers for the banana pathogen Mycosphaerella fijiensis. Mol. Ecol. 8:523-525.
- 18. Ostrander, E. A., P. M. Jong, J. Rine, and G. Duyk. 1992. Construction of small-insert genomic DNA libraries highly enriched for microsatellite repeat sequences, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:3419-3423
- 19. Palmer, M. A., E. L. Stewart, and M. J. Wingfield. 1987. Variation among isolates of Sphaeropsis sapinea in North Central United States. Phytopathology 77:944-948.
- 20. Punithalingam, E., and J. M. Waller. 1973. Botryosphaeria obtusa. CMI descriptions of pathogenic fungi and bacteria, no. 394. Commonwealth Mycological Institute and Association of Applied Biologists, Kew, England.
- 21. Queller, D. C., J. E. Strassmann, and C. R. Hughes. 1993. Microsatellites and kinship. Tree 8:285-288.
- 22. Raeder, U., and P. Broda. 1985. Rapid preparation of DNA from filamentous fungi. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 1:17-20.
- 23. Rafalski, J. A., and S. V. Tingey. 1993. Genetic diagnostics in plant breeding: RAPDs, microsatellites and machines. Trends Genet. 9:275-279.
- 24. Rosendahl, S., and J. W. Taylor. 1997. Development of multiple genetic markers for studies of genetic variation in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi using AFLP. Mol. Ecol. 6:821-829.
- 25. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 26. Siebert, P. D., A. Chenchik, D. E. Kellogg, K. A. Lukyanov, and S. A. Lukyanov. 1995. An improved PCR method for walking in uncloned genomic DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 23:1087-1088.
- 27. Smith, D. R., and G. R. Stanosz. 1995. Confirmation of two distinct populations of Sphaeropsis sapinea in the Northern Central United States using RAPDs. Phytopathology 85:669-704.
- 28 Stanosz, G. R., W. J. Swart, and D. R. Smith. 1999. RAPD marker and isozyme characterization of Sphaeropsis sapinea from diverse coniferous hosts and locations. Mycol. Res. 103:1193-1202.
- 29. Swart, W. J., M. J. Wingfield, and P. S. Knox-Davies. 1985. Sphaeropsis sapinea, with special reference to its occurrence on Pinus spp. in South Africa. S. Afr. For. J. 35:1-8.
- 30. Swofford, D. L., PAUP* 4.0 phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods). Sinauer, Sunderland, Mass.
- 31. Tautz, D. 1989. Hypervariability of simple sequences as a general source of polymorphic DNA markers. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:6463-6471.
- 32. Taylor, J. W., D. M. Geiser, A. Burt, and V. Koufopanou. 1999. The evolutionary biology and population genetics underlying fungal strain typing. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 12:126-146.
- Taylor, J. W., D. J. Jacobson, and M. C. Fisher. 1999. The evolution of 33 asexual fungi: reproduction, speciation and classification. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 37:197-246.
- 34. van der Nest, M. A., E. T. Steenkamp, B. D. Wingfield, and M. J. Wingfield. 2000. Development of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers in Eucalyptus from amplified inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSR). Plant Breeding 119: 433-436
- 35. Zwolinski, J. B., E. J. Swart, and M. J. Wingfield. 1990. Economic impact of a post-hail outbreak of dieback induced by Sphaeropsis sapinea. Eur. J. For. Pathol. 20:405-411.